Martin v. Evans et al

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Russell Martin,

NO. C 08-04067 JW

Plaintiff,

ORDER REQUIRING SUPPLEMENTAL **EVIDENCE**

M. S. Evans, et al.,

Defendants.

Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Compelling Defendants to Reply to Plaintiff's Complaint. In their Opposition to the Motion, Defendants contend that the Motion is "premature . . . because [Plaintiff] did not exhaust administrative remedies for at least one of his two claims." However, Defendants offer no evidence in support of this contention.

The Court finds that it would benefit from supplemental evidence as to this issue.

Accordingly, on or before **January 27, 2012**, Plaintiff shall file evidence showing that he exhausted administrative remedies for his claims.

Dated: January 25, 2012

tates District Chief Judge

¹ (Plaintiff Russell Martin's Notice of Motion and Motion for an Order Compelling 26 Defendants to Reply to Plaintiff's Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support, hereafter, "Motion," Docket Item No. 59.) 27

² (Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel a Reply to the Complaint at 2, Docket Item No. 60.)

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: Adam Charles Wright awright@nixonpeabody.com Jose Alfonso Zelidon-Zepeda Jose.ZelidonZepeda@doj.ca.gov Matthew J. Frankel mfrankel@nixonpeabody.com Seth Lewis Neulight sneulight@nixonpeabody.com Dated: January 25, 2012 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/ JW Chambers Susan Imbriani **Courtroom Deputy**