

Before the Court are appellant Grace Miles's ("Miles") "Request for Extension of
Time to File," filed February 17, 2009, by which Miles requests an extension of time in
which to file her opening brief in the above-titled action, and Miles's "Notice of Filing, Stay,"
filed February 20, 2009, by which Miles appears to assert that the action has been
automatically stayed in light of Miles's having filed a petition for bankruptcy. Defendants
have filed opposition to Miles's Request for Extension of Time. Having read and
considered the parties' respective submissions, the Court rules as follows.

1. Miles's Request for Extension of Time is hereby DENIED, for the reason that
Miles has failed to show good cause for the relief requested. In particular, Miles's
statement that she will be having surgery during the week of February 23, 2009 and that
she was "in severe pain" during the week of February 16, 2009 (see Miles Decl. ¶ 4) does
not constitute good cause for an extension of the February 20, 2009 deadline for Miles to
file her opening brief, particularly given the two previous extensions Miles has been granted

and the period of more than four months that she has had in which to prepare her brief. 1 2 Further, although Miles states she is seeking representation (see id. ¶ 11), Miles fails to 3 provide any evidence of any efforts she has undertaken in such regard, and, consequently, 4 Miles has failed to demonstrate good cause for an extension of time in which to conduct her 5 search. Lastly, Miles's statements concerning computer problems "[i]n December" (see id. ¶ 16), "[t]wo weeks ago while in the law library" (see id. ¶ 18) and in September (see id. Ex. 6 7 1) likewise do not constitute good cause for an extension, for the reason that Miles has failed to demonstrate such problems were anything more than isolated incidents, and, as 8 9 noted, Miles has had over four months in which to prepare her opening brief in the instant action.1 10

Miles is hereby informed that, despite her having filed a petition for bankruptcy,
the instant action is not subject to the automatic stay provided by 11 U.S.C. § 362(a), for
the reason that the action has not been brought against Miles. See 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1)
(providing bankruptcy petition operates as automatic stay of "action or proceeding against
the debtor"). In particular, Miles is the appellant herein and was the plaintiff in the
underlying action.

As the deadline for Miles to file her opening brief was February 20, 2009 (see
Order filed Dec. 15, 2008, at 2), and, to date, no such brief has been filed, Miles is hereby
ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, in writing and no later than March 13, 2009, why the
instant action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. If Miles fails to timely
respond to the Court's order herein, the instant action will be dismissed.

22

23

25

26

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: February 27, 2009

States District Judge

²⁷ ¹Further, the Court notes that Miles's computer problems have not prevented her
²⁸ from preparing fifteen motions, requests, objections, and other filings in the instant action, all prior to the filing of her opening brief.

2