27

28

Dated: April 4, 2011.

1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 EDWARD E. ANDERSON, No. C 08-04195 WHA 9 Plaintiff, 10 ORDER REGARDING v. APRIL 1 LETTER FROM 11 AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., ATTORNEY ROESTI 12 Defendant. 13 14 On March 9, defendant's motion for summary judgment on all claims was granted, and 15 judgment was entered in favor of defendant and against plaintiff. On April 1, Attorney Roesti 16 filed a letter on plaintiff's behalf "in order to invite the court to vacate the judgment sua sponte." 17 The letter accused the undersigned judge of racial prejudice against African Americans, personal 18 bias against Attorney Roesti, and a conflict of interest regarding American Airlines. The letter 19 argued that legal and factual errors had been committed in the order granting summary judgment, 20 and it inferred that these supposed errors resulted from improper motives (Dkt. No. 146). 21 Letters are not the proper way to seek relief from the Court. No action will be taken on 22 Attorney Roesti's letter. If plaintiff seeks further action from this Court, then plaintiff should file 23 a timely motion for relief. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26

Dockets.Justia.com

United States District Judge