

1 **ROBERT R. POWELL, ESQ. CSB#159747**
 2 **DENNIS R. INGOLS, ESQ. CSB#236458**
 3 **LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT R. POWELL**
 4 925 West Hedding Street
 San José, California 95126
 T: 408-553-0200 F: 408-553-0203

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 6 DANIELLE POLLAR, SHALAWN POLLAR
 as Guardian Ad Litem for J.A., a minor

7
 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9
 10 DANIELLE POLLAR, SHALAWN)
 POLLAR as Guardian Ad Litem for J.A.,)
 11 a minor,)
 Plaintiffs,)
 12)
 vs.)
 13)
 14 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA,)
 Mary Takeuchi, Dale Billiter, Michael Yee)
 15 CITY OF HAYWARD, Sam Sander,)
 Mike Edwards, and)
 16 DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,)
 17)
 Defendants.)
 18 _____)

Case No. 08-04196 BZ

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER RE: INCREASED PAGE
 LIMIT FOR PLAINTIFFS’
 OPPOSITION TO COUNTY
 DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT

19 The parties hereto, by and through their respective counsel, do hereby stipulate and agree
 20 as set forth below and request this court order same:

- 21
- 22 1. Plaintiffs may file a brief up to 29 pages in Opposition to the County Defendants’
 23 Motion for Summary Judgment which is filed and currently pending.
 - 24 2. County Defendants may file a Reply brief up to 4 pages longer than the default limit as
- 25

1 provided for by the Local Rules.¹

2 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

3 Dated: 2/22/10

4 /S/ Robert R. Powell
ROBERT R. POWELL, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs

5 Dated: 2/22/10

6 /S/ Rebecca Widen
REBECCA WIDEN, ESQ.
Attorney for County of Alameda, and
7 Individually Named Defendant
8 Employees of the County of Alameda


9
10 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

11 Based on the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore, the
12 Court hereby adopts the stipulation of the parties and orders as follows:

- 13
- 14 1. Plaintiffs may file a brief up to 29 pages in Opposition to the County Defendants’
15 Motion for Summary Judgment which is filed and currently pending.
 - 16 2. County Defendants may file a Reply brief up to 4 pages longer than the default limit as
17 provided for by the Local Rules.
- 18

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Date: 2/ 23 /2010

21 
22 JUDGE BERNARD ZIMMERMAN
23 U.S.D.C NORTHERN DISTRICT
24 CALIFORNIA – San Francisco

25 ¹ City Defendants’ counsel, Patrick Co, was contacted by e-mail regarding this stipulation, mostly for posterity sake, as the requested relief does not effect his clients. He did not respond, so the stipulation was filed without his approval. Plaintiffs’ counsel apologizes if the court disagrees with this approach.