

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3  
4

5 COMMITTEE FOR IMMIGRANT RIGHTS  
6 OF SONOMA COUNTY, et al.,

7 Plaintiff(s),

No. C 08-4220 PJH

8 v.

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

9 COUNTY OF SONOMA, et al.,

10 Defendant(s).  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

11 Before the court are two stipulations of the parties concerning the scheduling of the  
12 settlement conference, which the court approves, and the briefing of the anticipated  
13 motions to dismiss the second amended complaint, which the court approves in part. In  
14 the stipulation concerning the settlement conference, the parties refer to their proposed  
15 briefing schedule for the motions to dismiss which sets forth a date of January 2, 2010 for  
16 the filing of replies and February 3, 2010 for the hearing on the motions. However, the  
17 stipulation concerning the briefing of the motions to dismiss, sets forth a January 20, 2010  
18 date for the replies and February 3, 2010 for the hearing. Given that January 2, 2010 is a  
19 Saturday, the court assumes the latter schedule is the one intended by the parties. The  
20 court notes that in recognition of the complexity of the complaint and the motions that will  
21 be filed, the parties have expanded threefold the amount of time for briefing, but have  
22 chosen not to similarly expand the amount of time that the court will have to prepare for the  
23 hearing after completion of briefing. Given that these motions will not be the only ones on  
24 the court's calendar, the court *sua sponte* continues the hearing date to February 17, 2010.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: October 14, 2009



27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge