

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO, CSBN 44332  
United States Attorney  
2 JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143  
Assistant United States Attorney  
3 Chief, Civil Division  
ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909  
4 Assistant United States Attorney

**\*E-Filed 03/18/2010\***

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
6 Telephone: (415) 436-7124  
FAX: (415) 436-7169

7 Attorneys for the United States and  
8 Defendants Huelga and Merendino

9 MICHAEL F. HERTZ  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

10 DAVID J. KLINE  
Director

11 COLIN A. KISOR  
Trial Attorney

12 Office of Immigration Litigation  
District Court Section

13 P.O. Box 868 Ben Franklin Station  
Washington D.C., 20044

14 Telephone: (202) 532-4331  
FAX: (202) 305-7000

15  
16 Attorneys for the United States

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
19 SAN JOSE DIVISION

20 COMMITTEE FOR IMMIGRANT  
RIGHTS OF SONOMA COUNTY, et al., No. CV-08-4220-RS

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

**JOINT STIPULATION TO RESET THE  
DEFENDANTS' ANSWER DATE;  
ORDER**

23 COUNTY OF SONOMA, *et al.*,

24 Defendants.  
25 \_\_\_\_\_/

26 Through their respective counsel, the parties hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

- 27 1. On March 10, 2010, the Oakland Division of this Court, the Honorable Phyllis  
28 Hamilton presiding, entered an Order (Docket No. 164) granting in part and denying in

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

part the Federal and County Defendants’ motions to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”); that Order did not expressly require Plaintiffs to amend the SAC, nor did it set a date on which Answers were due.

2. Accordingly, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)(A), the Federal and County Defendants’ believe that their respective Answers to the SAC are currently due to be filed and served on March 24, 2010.

3. The Defendants request, and Plaintiffs agree to extend the deadline for the responsive pleading forty-five (45) days, to **May 10, 2010**, within which the Defendants must file and serve their respective Answers to the SAC, barring other intervening orders from the Court.

4. The parties agree that the scheduling or preparation of the Answers will have no effect on the scheduling of other aspects of the proceedings, and shall not impact the timing of, or any party's ability to propound or duty to respond to, discovery in any way.

5. Notwithstanding the foregoing, County Defendants have indicated their intent to seek leave of Court to file a motion to reconsider/clarify the Order re Motions to Dismiss, and also seek a temporary protective order with respect to discovery pursuant to the provisions of *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937 (2009); this stipulation is entered into without prejudice to seek and obtain such relief.

Dated: March 18, 2010

STEVEN M. WOODSIDE, County Counsel

By \_\_\_ s/s Anne L. Keck \_\_\_\_\_  
Anne L. Keck  
Deputy County Counsel

Attorneys for Defendants the County of Sonoma, Sheriff-Coroner William Cogbill, and Deputy Sheriff Morris Eric Salkin

