

1 ****E-filed 03/30/2010****

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

COMMITTEE FOR IMMIGRANT RIGHTS
OF SONOMA COUNTY, et al.,

No. C 08-4220 RS

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO
SEEK RECONSIDERATION**

v.

COUNTY OF SONOMA, et al.,

Defendants.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-9, defendants County of Sonoma, Sheriff-Coroner William Cogbill and Deputy Sheriff Morris Eric Salkin (collectively, "County defendants") request leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's Order re Motions to Dismiss, entered on March 10, 2010 (Docket No. 164).¹ Without prejudice to the possibility of a subsequent conclusion that sufficient grounds for reconsideration do not exist, leave to file a motion for reconsideration is hereby granted. County defendants shall file their motion no later than April 1, 2010, with briefing

¹ The County defendants style their request as an "ex parte motion." Under Civil Local Rule 7-10, an ex parte motion is one filed without notice to opposing party, and is permissible only where authorized under the circumstances by a statute, Federal Rule, local rule, or Standing Order. The County defendants, however, e-filed their motion, with the result that it was automatically served on all parties who have appeared. The motion, therefore, was not made "ex parte" within the meaning of the Local Rules, despite its label.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

to proceed as provided in Civil Local Rule 7. All discovery as to County Defendants herein is hereby stayed pending further order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 03/30/2010



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE