

****E-filed 05/10/2010****

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

9
10 COMMITTEE FOR IMMIGRANT RIGHTS
OF SONOMA COUNTY, et al.,

No. C 08-4220 RS

11 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER REQUESTING FURTHER
BRIEFING**

12 v.

13 COUNTY OF SONOMA, et al.,

14 Defendants.
15 _____/

16 On May 7, 2010, the individual federal defendants filed a notice of interlocutory appeal as to
17 the March 10, 2010 Order granting in part and denying in part the motions to dismiss the Second
18 Amended Complaint. It appears that the federal defendants believe that their deadline to appeal
19 was not affected by the pendency of their motion to reconsider, which was under submission. *But*
20 *see, Mitchell v. Maurer, 67 F.2d 286 (9th Cir. 1933).*

21 No later than May 17, 2010, the parties shall submit supplemental briefs setting forth their
22 views as to the impact of the notice of appeal, if any, on this Court's jurisdiction to decide the
23 motion for reconsideration either as to the federal defendants, the county defendants, or both.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26 Dated: 05/10/2010

27 
28 RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE