

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Northern District of California

NUANCE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

ECOPY, INC.,

Defendant(s).

No. C 08-4227 JSW (MEJ)
Consolidated with C 08-4942 JSW (MEJ)

**ORDER RE: I.R.I.S' REQUEST FOR
PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION OF
DISCOVERY ORDER (DKT. #149)**

The Court is in receipt of I.R.I.S.'s Request for Partial Reconsideration of this Court's April 1, 2010 *Order Re: Joint Discovery Dispute Letter*. (Dkt. #149.) In that Order, the Court stated as follows: "[h]owever, Plaintiff's offer to 'pay for a server and necessary hardware onto which the source code could be copied and maintained at the office of [Plaintiff's] U.S. outside counsel[.]' is well-taken." (Order 2:16-18, Dkt. #145.) I.R.I.S.'s Request brings to the Court's attention that the use of the term "Plaintiff's" in brackets appears to order that I.R.I.S.'s source code be produced at counsel for Nuance's office, rather than counsel for I.R.I.S.'s office.

The Court hereby amends its April 1, 2010 Order. Plaintiff Nuance Communications, Inc. is ORDERED to pay for a server and necessary hardware onto which the relevant source code shall be copied and maintained at the office of I.R.I.S.' U.S. outside counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 8, 2010



Maria-Elena James
Chief United States Magistrate Judge