3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RICHARD MARMARO (Bar No. 91387) richard.marmaro@skadden.com JACK P. DICANIO (Bar No. 138782) jack.dicanio@skadden.com RONDA J. MCKAIG (Bar No. 216267) ronda.mckaig@skadden.com SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FI 300 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-3144 Tel: (213) 687-5000 Fax: (213) 687-5600 Attorneys for Defendant Raj P. Sabhlok UNITED STATE	LOM LLP ES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	) CASE NO. C08-04238 CRB
13	COMMISSION,	) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>) ORDER RE: EXTENDING PAGE</li> <li>) LIMITS AND TIME TO RESPOND FOR</li> </ul>
15	VS.	<ul> <li>REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF</li> <li>MOTION TO DISMISS/MOTION TO</li> </ul>
	RAJ P. SABHLOK, and MICHAEL PATTISON	) STRIKE COMPLAINT )
17	Defendants.	<ul> <li>Motion Hearing Date: February 6, 2009</li> <li>Time: 10:00 a.m.</li> </ul>
18 19		Location: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor Hon. Charles R. Breyer
20	//	
21	··· //	
22	//	
23	//	
24	//	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EX	TENDING PAGE LIMITS AND TIME TO RESPOND

1		
1	<u>STIPULATION</u>	
2	WHEREAS the Court's standing order sets forth a 15 page limit on motions,	
3	oppositions and reply briefs; and	
4	WHEREAS Mr. Sabhlok and Mr. Pattison took pains to ensure that their motions to	
5	dismiss and to strike the Complaint were within the 15 page limit; and	
6	WHEREAS the Securities & Exchange Commission filed oppositions to	
7	defendants' motions that exceeded the 15 page limit by 9 pages; and	
8	WHEREAS Defendants are prejudiced by this error; and	
9	WHEREAS, in order to respond to all of the arguments raised in the Securities &	
10	Exchange Commission's opposition, Defendants require additional pages for their reply briefs; and	
11	WHEREAS, in order to respond to all of the arguments raised in the Securities &	
12	Exchange Commission's opposition, Defendants require additional time to respond; and	
13	WHEREAS the Securities & Exchange Commission has represented to both	
14	Defendants that it does not oppose Defendants' requests for additional pages or time, and intends to	
15	confirm its assent to these requests in a separate request to the Court for a page limit extension;	
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT Messrs. Sabhlok and Pattison may each file	
17	a reply brief with a maximum of 25 pages, and that their reply briefs may be filed on January 27,	
18	2009.	
19		
20	Dated: January 20, 2009 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
21		
22	By: <u>/s/</u> Ronda McKaig	
23	Attorney for Raj P. Sabhlok	
24	Dated: January 20, 2009 NOSSAMAN LLP	
25		
26	By: <u>/s/</u> James Vorhis	
27	Attorney for Michael Pattison	
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING PAGE LIMITS AND TIME TO RESPOND 2	

