For the Northern District of California

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9			
10	MIGUEL CASTANEDA, KATHERINE		
11	CORBETT, and JOSEPH WELLNER, on behalf of themselves and others similarly No. C 08-04262 WHA		
12	situated,		
13	Plaintiffs, ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT		
14	v. BURGER KING CORPORATION'S OBJECTIONS TO NOVEMBER 25		
15	BURGER KING CORPORATION, DISCOVERY ORDER		
16	Defendant/		
17			
18			
19			
20	defendant Burger King Corporation objected to that discovery order pursuant to Federal Rule of		
21			
22	A party may serve and file objections to the order within 14 days after being served with a copy. A party may not assign as error a		
23	defect in the order not timely objected to. The deadline for objections to the November 25 discovery order was December 9.1		
24			
25			
26			
27	¹ The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure were revised effective as of December 1, 2009. Under the previous Rule 72(a) objections had to be filed within 10 days excluding weekends and holidays which in this		
28	instance would have resulted in a deadline of December 10, 2009. Defendant's objections would have been untimely under the previous rules as well. Pursuant to Rule 6(d), three days are added to the deadline to respond if service is made under Rule 5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E) or (F). Even assuming <i>arguendo</i> that this 3-day extension applies to Magistrate Larson's order pursuant to Rule 72(a), defendant's objections would still be untimely.		

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	Defendant Burger King Corporation's objections are therefore untimely. They are accordingly		
2	DENIED.		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
4		Win Ahre	
5	Dated: December 23, 2009.		
6		WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7			
8			
9			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			