1	
2	
3	
4 5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	in the ontied states district cooki
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	MIGUEL CASTANEDA, KATHERINE
10	CORBETT, and JOSEPH WELLNER, on No. C 08-04262 WHA behalf of themselves and others similarly
11	situated,
12	Plaintiffs, ORDER RE PARTIES' MOTION TO REVISE EXISTING
13	v. SCHEDULING ORDERS
14	BURGER KING CORPORATION,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	If the Court receives a fully executed and final version of a stipulation of settlement in this matter on or before March 4, 2010, the Court will consider whether to use the hearing on
18	the parties' respective motions for partial summary judgment now set for March 18 to instead
19	consider a joint motion for preliminary approval of the settlement agreement. Meanwhile, the
20	hearing on the motions for partial summary judgment will remain on calendar as previously
21	scheduled. The parties' motion to revise the existing scheduling order based on their averment
22 23	that they have "agreed in principle" to a settlement is DENIED .
23 24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: February 23, 2010
27	Dated: February 23, 2010. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE