

1 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION  
 CINDY COHN (145997)  
 2 cindy@eff.org  
 LEE TIEN (148216)  
 3 KURT OPSAHL (191303)  
 KEVIN S. BANKSTON (217026)  
 4 JAMES S. TYRE (083117)  
 454 Shotwell Street  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94110  
 Telephone: 415/436-9333; Fax: 415/436-9993

THOMAS E. MOORE III (115107)  
 tmoore@moorelawteam.com  
 THE MOORE LAW GROUP  
 228 Hamilton Avenue, 3rd Floor  
 Palo Alto, CA 94301  
 Telephone: 650/798-5352; Fax: 650/798-5001

6 RICHARD R. WIEBE (121156)  
 7 wiebe@pacbell.net  
 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD R. WIEBE  
 8 425 California Street, Suite 2025  
 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 9 Telephone: 415/433-3200; Fax: 415/433-6382

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,  
 CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN, on  
 14 behalf of themselves and all others similarly  
 15 situated,  
 16 Plaintiffs,  
 17 vs.  
 18 AT&T CORP., *et al.*,  
 19 Defendants.

CASE NO. C-06-0672-VRW  
**[PROPOSED] ORDER DEEMING CASES  
 RELATED AND ASSIGNING *JEWEL* TO  
 MDL DOCKET NO 06-1791 VRW, IN RE  
 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY  
 TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS  
 LITIGATION**

20 CAROLYN JEWEL, TASH HEPTING,  
 GREGORY HICKS, ERIK KNUTZEN and  
 21 JOICE WALTON, on behalf of themselves and  
 22 all others similarly situated,  
 Plaintiffs,  
 23 vs.  
 24 NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, *et al.*,  
 25 Defendants.  
 26  
 27  
 28

CASE NO. C-08-4373-CRB  
 [N.D. CAL Civ. L.R. 3-12, 7-11]

1 **RELATED CASE ORDER**

2 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11, Plaintiffs in the case of *Jewel, et al. v.*  
3 *National Security Agency, et al.*, No. C-08-4373-CRB (the “*Jewel* case”) have filed an  
4 Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related urging that the *Jewel* case be  
5 deemed related to *Hepting, et al. v. AT&T Corp., et al.*, No. C-06-0672-VRW (the “*Hepting*” case).

6 The Court finds that the *Jewel* case and the *Hepting* case **ARE RELATED** as defined by  
7 Rule 3-12. The Clerk of the Court is ordered to reassign the later filed action to the undersigned.  
8 Counsel are instructed that all future filings are to bear the initials **VRW** immediately after the case  
9 number. All matters presently scheduled for hearing in the reassigned case – including specifically  
10 the January 9, 2009 Initial Case Management Conference and deadlines associated therewith – are  
11 vacated and must be re-noticed for hearing before the undersigned.

12 The Court additionally assigns the *Jewel* case as a tag-along action to *In re National*  
13 *Security Agency Telecommunications Records Litigation*, MDL No. M:06-cv-01791-VRW, a  
14 proceeding in which the *Hepting* case is already included, as such assignment requires no action on  
15 the part of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation under Rule 7.5(a) of the Rules of Procedure  
16 of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

17  
18  
19 DATED: October \_\_, 2008

20  
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Hon. Vaughn W. Walker  
23 United States District Chief Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28