1 2 3 4 5	Richard G. Grotch, Esq. – SBN 127713 Sarvenaz J. Fahimi, Esq. –SBN 226148 CODDINGTON, HICKS & DANFORTH A Professional Corporation, Lawyers 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 300 Redwood City, California 94065-2133 Tel. (650) 592-5400 Fax.(650) 592-5027 E-mail: rgrotch@chdlawyers.com			
6	ATTORNEYS FOR Defendant UNITED AIR LINES, INC.			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	MARY C. KERNER,	No. C 08 4528 EDL		
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION SEEKING ORDER		
13	VS.	EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT UNITED AIRLINES TO ANSWER		
14	JORGE MENDEZ, PATRICK PHILIPS, KEN SMART, UNITED AIRLINES	PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT		
15	(UAL), Does 1 through 10, inclusive,	[CIVIL L.R. 7-12]		
16	Defendants.	Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte		
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
18	WHEREAS plaintiff Mary C. Kerner filed her original Complaint in this case on September			
19	29, 2008; and			
20	WHEREAS defendant United Air Lines, Inc., (hereinafter "United" erroneously sued herein			
21	as United Airlines (UAL)) filed a motion to dismiss the original Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule			
22	of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6); and			
23	WHEREAS plaintiff filed her Amended Complaint on February 24, 2009, which mooted			
24	United's Motion to Dismiss directed to the Original Complaint; and			
25	WHEREAS United intends to move to dismiss plaintiff's Amended Complaint pursuant to Rule			
26	12(b)(6); and			
27	///			
28	///			
	Stipulation Seeking Order Extending Time for Defendant United Airlines to Answer Plaintiff's Amended Complaint [Civil L.R. 7-12]; Case No. C 08 4528 EDL			

1	WHEREAS it is in the interests of judicial economy to allow the Court to consider and rule
2	upon United's motion and plaintiff's opposition thereto, prior to the time that United files an answer,
3	if any is required;

Plaintiff and United, through its counsel of record, respectfully move the Court, jointly,
pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, for an order extending the time within which United may answer
plaintiff's Amended Complaint, if an answer is necessary, to and including, 10 days after the Court
issues its ruling on United's Motion to Dismiss.

8	Dated: February 25, 2009 Respect	Respectfully submitted,	
9			
10	SHAPI	RO AND SHAPIRO	
11			
12		/s/ Carl B. Shapiro	
13	By:	Carl B. Shapiro	
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff Mary C. Kerner	
15			
16	CODDI	CODDINGTON, HICKS & DANFORTH	
17			
18	/	/s/ Sarvenaz J. Fahimi	
19			
20		Sarvenaz J. Fahimi (*)	
21		Attorneys for Defendant United Air Lines, Inc.	
	Onited All Lines, inc.		
22	 (*) I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document. PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 		
24			
25			
26	Maral 2, 2000		
27	Dated: March 2, 2009 Honoral	HEELE IT IS SO ORDERED	
28			
CODDINGTON, HICKS & DANFORTH A Professional Corp., Lawyers 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, #300 Redwood City, CA 94065 (650) 592-5400	Stipulation Seeking Order Extending Time for Defendant United Airlines to Answer Plaintiff's Amended Complaint [Civil L.R. 7-12]; Case No. C 08 4528 EDL2	Stares Duy of A Stares Dudge Elizabeth D. Laporte	