Court deems the matter suitable for decision on the parties' respective submissions, VACATES the hearing scheduled for February 4, 2011, and rules as follows.

The Fourth through Eighth Causes of Action, by which the City alleges various claims under California law, are, for the reasons stated by Daer, subject to dismissal, in that, as to each such cause of action, the City has failed to allege facts sufficient to state a claim against Daer, either based on his own conduct or as the alter ego of KFD.<sup>2</sup> (See Mot. at 4:21-6:21.) In particular, the City's allegations are substantially identical to those allegations previously found insufficient by the Court. (See Order Granting KFD and Daer's Mot. to Dismiss, Jan. 13, 2010; Mot. at 5:5-6:8.)

Accordingly, Daer's motion to dismiss the Fourth through Eighth Causes of Action from the 4ACC will be granted. Further, because the 4ACC represents the City's third effort to state a claim against Daer and the City's allegations against said defendant have not materially changed, further leave to amend will not be granted.

## CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, Daer's motion to dismiss is hereby GRANTED, and the Fourth through Eighth Claims for Relief in the City's Fourth Amended Counter and Cross-Claim as alleged against Daer are hereby DISMISSED.<sup>3</sup>

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 31, 2011

MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As the City acknowledges (<u>see</u> Opp. at 8:25-26), the 4ACC contains no alter ego allegations with respect to KFD and Daer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If, in the course of discovery or otherwise during the pendency of the above-titled action, the City develops new facts with respect to Daer's alleged liability, the City may seek relief from this order by way of appropriate motion.