1

2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 NUVEEN MUNICIPAL HIGH INCOME No. C 08-4575 SI 8 OPPORTUNITY FUND, et al., ORDER DIRECTING FURTHER 9 Plaintiffs, **BRIEFING ON NUVEEN'S ILLINOIS** SECURITIES ACT CLAIM 10 v. 11 CITY OF ALAMEDA, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 Stone & Youngberg moved for summary judgment on plaintiffs' claim under the Illinois 14 Securities Act arguing, inter alia, that plaintiffs have not established a sufficient physical nexus with 15 16 Illinois to invoke the Act. Plaintiffs assert that the Notes were marketed and sold to plaintiffs in Illinois. Neither S&Y nor plaintiffs submitted any evidence on this point. 17 The Court directs S&Y and plaintiffs to file by noon on May 18, 2011, supplemental briefs 18 19 addressing whether the "complained-of sale was a 'sale in [Illinois]." Benjamin v. Cablevision 20 Programming Investment, 114 Ill.2d 150, 158 (1986) (quoting McBreen v. Iceco, Inc., 12 Ill. App. 2d 21 372, 379 (1956)). The supplemental briefs shall be no more than 5 pages in length (not including any 22 evidence). The Court will take the matter under submission and issue a separate order on the Illinois 23 Securities Act claim.¹ 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: May 10, 2011 26 United States District Judge 27 The Court will issue an order on the balance of the issues raised by the parties' summary 28 judgment and related motions in advance of the May 18, 2011 status conference.

Dockets.Justia.com