1 DARRYL M. WOO (CSB No. 100513) dwoo@fenwick.com 2 CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB No. 136411) cmorrow@fenwick.com 3 TYLER A. BAKER (CSB No. 65109) tbaker@fenwick.com 4 FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street. 12th Floor 5 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300 6 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350 7 Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants INTERCEDE GROUP PLC and INTERCEDE LTD. 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 FENWICK & WEST LLP 13 ACTIVIDENTITY CORPORATION, a Case No.: 3:08-cv-04577 VRW Delaware Corporation, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 14 Plaintiff, ORDER FOR INJUNCTION AND RELATED RELIEF 15 V. 16 Hon. Bernard Zimmerman Judge: INTERCEDE GROUP PLC AND 17 INTERCEDE LTD., both of Lutterworth, UK, 18 Defendants. 19 20 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR

INJUNCTION AND RELATED RELIEF

Case No. 08-cv-04577 VRW

Defendants and counterclaimants Intercede Group plc and Intercede Ltd. (collectively, "Intercede"), Plaintiff ActivIdentity Corporation ("ActivIdentity"), and Mr. Michael Neumann submit this stipulation and proposed order for a protective order for an injunction against Mr. Michael Neumann and related relief.

On October 6, 2009, Plaintiff ActivIdentity proposed Mr. Neumann as a consultant under Paragraph 10 of the Stipulated Protective Order ("Protective Order") entered in this case (Doc # 51 at 8) whereby he would obtain access to Intercede's confidential information, including information designated as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" and provided a undertaking signed by Mr. Neumann stating that he had read the Protective Order and agreed to be bound by all of the provisions thereof.

Paragraph 9 of the Protective Order expressly prohibits an employee or independent contractor of a party from serving as a consultant and further prohibits retained consultants or experts from becoming an employee or independent contractor (which would include serving as a non-litigation consultant) for a party for a period of two years following the conclusion of this litigation.

On November 19, 2009, Intercede objected to the disclosure of Intercede's confidential information to Mr. Neumann as permitted under the Protective Order.

On January 5, 2010, Mr. Neumann executed an agreement to directly serve as a non-litigation consultant for ActivIdentity. ActivIdentity subsequently executed this same agreement on January 12, 2010.

On January 8, 2010, this Court conducted a discovery conference and granted Intercede leave to file a motion for protective order barring the disclosure of Intercede confidential information to Mr. Neumann under the terms of the Protective Order. At the same time, the Court ordered that Mr. Neumann could gain access to Intercede's confidential information, including Intercede's most sensitive information – its technical specifications and source code — in the interim based on his agreement to be bound by the Protective Order and on the risk that if Intercede's motion was granted, Mr. Neumann may be disqualified and enjoined from any use of Intercede's confidential information to which he may have been exposed. Doc # 95.

Following the discovery conference and the Court's Order granting Mr. Neumann interim access to Intercede confidential information, counsel for ActivIdentity provided and Mr. Neumann received the following documents containing Intercede confidential information concerning the technical specifications of its products, bearing the following bates numbers, title and dates:

1. INT0003386 - 3393: Card Server (15 September 2005);
2. INT0003394 - 3401: Device Batch System (21 November 2002);

- 3. INT0003402 3419: Edefice Applet Management Web Integration (06 August 2002);
- 4. INT0003420 3428: IssueCard Key-Management Behaviour (21 November 2002);
- 5. INT0003429 3446: Key Ceremony HSM (21 November 2002);
- 6. INT0003447 3459: Open Platform card integration (8 July 2003);
- 7. INT0003460 3501: OpenPlatform SmartCard (06 March 2003); and
- 8. INT0003502 3516: Applet Management (20 October 2006)

On January 15, 2010, Intercede filed its motion for protective order barring the disclosure of Intercede confidential information to Mr. Michael Neumann. Doc # 97.

On January 20, 2010, the day that ActivIdentity's opposition to Intercede's motion for protective order was due, counsel for ActivIdentity sent a letter to Intercede which stated:

"ActivIdentity has reconsidered Intercede's objections to Mr. Neumann's disclosure and has elected to withdraw Mr. Neumann as a proposed consultant requiring access to Intercede's confidential information. Mr. Neumann will, of course, continue to be bound by the terms of the Protective Order, as stated in his undertaking."

On January 21, 2010, ActivIdentity filed a statement of non-opposition to the motion for protective order, disclosing for the first time that ActivIdentity had retained Mr. Neumann directly as a non-litigation consultant separate and apart from, and in addition to his proposed duties as an expert or consultant in the litigation. Doc # 104.

On January 22, 2010, Intercede filed a reply to ActivIdentity's statement of non-

opposition which requested that the Court grant the pending motion for protective order and order further necessary relief in light of the newly disclosed facts.

On January 25, 2010, ActivIdentity filed a motion to strike, or in the alternative, for leave to file an opposition brief to Intercede's request for sanctions and a finding of contempt in its reply brief.

On February 1, 2010, the Court issued an Order (Doc # 122): (1) granting Intercede's motion for protective order (Doc # 97) as unopposed; (2) denying without prejudice Intercede's motion for sanctions and contempt (Doc # 108), specifically stating that "[i]f defendants wish, they may re-file a properly noticed motion in compliance with the Civil Local Rules; and (3) denying ActivIdentity's motion to strike (Doc # 110) as moot.

Accordingly, the parties and Mr. Neumann hereby stipulate and request the Court order as follows:

- 1. Mr. Neumann is hereby enjoined from making any use of or disclosing any Intercede confidential information disclosed to him under Protective Order and the Court's Order.
- 2. Mr. Neumann has identified to Intercede in writing and under penalty of perjury, in Exhibit A, attached:
  - a. an approximate date of when such confidential information was made available to him;
  - b. when Mr. Neumann and ActivIdentity first entered into discussions regarding non-litigation consulting services;
  - c. the nature, scope, and subject matter of Mr. Neumann's new consulting work for ActivIdentity; and
  - d. when Mr. Neumann informed Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati that he was in direct contact with ActivIdentity, which included discussions regarding and/or an agreement to provide non-litigation consulting services.
- 3. Mr. Neumann has confirmed in writing and under penalty of perjury, in Exhibit A, attached, that:
  - a. he has read and understood the Protective Order, the Court's Order, and this Order and agrees to abide by them;
  - b. he has destroyed all copies of Intercede confidential information and any notes regarding this confidential information;

	1	Dated: March 29, 2010 Respectfully submitted,
	2	MICHAEL NEUMANN
	3	By: Michael Varian
	4	Michael Neumann
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	<u>ORDER</u>
	9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE SHOWING, IT IS SO
	10	ORDERED.
ATOPNEYS AT EAW SAR FRANCES O	11	Dated: April 1, 2010 Small former
	12	The Honoral le Bernard Zimmerman United States District Court Magistrate Judge
	13	
	14	
	15	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION
	16	I hereby attest that I have received Julie M. Holloway's concurrence in the e-filing of this
	17	document as indicated by the "conformed" signature (s/) above.
	18	By: /s/ Joseph S. Belichick
	19	Joseph S. Belichick
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	3m

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INJUNCTION AND RELATED RELIEF

PENWIK & WEST LLP

- 5 -

Case No. 08-cv-04577 VRW

	1	Dated: March, 2010 Respectfully submitted,	
	2	MICHAEL NEUMANN	
	3	By: Michael Neumann	
	4	Whenaer Neumann	
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8	<u>ORDER</u>	
	9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE SHOWING, IT IS SO	
	10	ORDERED.	
	11	Dated:	
	12	The Honorable Bernard Zimmerman United States District Court Magistrate J	
LAW	13		
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANGSCO	14		
ATTO	15	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION	
	16	I hereby attest that I have received Julie M. Holloway's concurrence in the e-filing of	of this
	17	document as indicated by the "conformed" signature (s/) above.	
	18	Dy. 15/ 3050ph D. Benefick	
	19	Joseph S. Belichick	
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		