| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | MALTZ ASSOCIATES,) |
| 12 | Plaintiff(s),) No. C08-4626 VRW (BZ) |
| 13 | v.) SECOND DISCOVERY ORDER |
| 14 | HEWITT ASSOCIATES, |
| 15 | Defendant(s). |
| 16 | / |
| 17 | It is ORDERED that Documents 13 and 28 be produced |
| 18 | by Wednesday, January 20, 2010. The Court regards the |
| 19 | discussion to be of a business nature and there is nothing |
| 20 | before the Court that suggests that Power was seeking legal |
| 21 | advice from Ostling on December 10, 2007. |
| 22 | Dated: January 15, 2010 |
| 23 | Remard Timmerman |
| 24 | Bernard Zimmerman |
| 25 | United States Magistrate Judge |
| 26 | G:\BZALL\-REFS\MALTZ V. HEWITT\Second Disc Order BZ.wpd |
| 27 | C. (PRIME / REFORMENTA V. MENTIT (SECOND DISC OLDET BA.WPD |
| 28 | |
| | 1 |