Erspame	r v. Morri	son & Foerster LLP Long Term Disability Plan	Doc.	
	1 2	TAD A. DEVLIN (SBN: 1903555) SHANNON L. ROSS (SBN: 205714) GORDON & REES LLP		
	3	275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111		
	4	Telephone: (415) 986-5900 Facsimile: (415) 986-8054		
	5	Attorneys for Defendant MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP LONG TERM DISABILITY PLAN		
	6	MORRISON & POERSTER EEF EONG TERWID	JISABILIT I LAN	
	7			
	8	IN THE UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
2005	9	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	10			
	11	GORDON ERSPAMER) CASE NO. C08-04692 MMC	
	12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STANDARD	
	13	v.	OF REVIEW AND DISCOVERY	
	14	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP LONG TERM		
	15	DISABILITY PLAN,	}	
	16	Defendant.	}	
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
	20			
		STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING STANDARD OF REVIEW AND DISCOVERY	CASE NO. C08-04692 MMC	

	1			
Gordon & Rees LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111	1	The parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree that the de		
	2	novo standard of review applies to Plaintiff Gordon Erspamer's claim for long-term disability		
	3	benefits. The parties further stipulate and agree not to conduct discovery beyond the		
	4	administrative claim record, unless the Court instructs a party or the parties to address issues		
	5	outside the administrative claim record.		
	6	It is so stipulated.		
	7	DATED: February 19, 2009 LEWIS, FEINBERG, LEE, RENAKER &		
	8	JACKSON, P.C.		
	9			
	10	By: /s/ Daniel Feinberg Daniel Feinberg		
	11	Nina Wasow. Attorneys for Plaintiff		
	12	GORDÓN ERSPAMER		
	13	DATED: February 19, 2009 GORDON & REES LLP		
	14			
	15	By: /s/ Tad Devlin		
	16	Tad A. Devlin Shannon L. Ross		
	17	Attorneys for Defendant MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP LONG TERM		
	18	DISABILITY PLAN		
	19	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
	20	Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the Court will review Plaintiff's claim for long-term		
	21	disability benefits <i>de novo</i> and no discovery will be permitted unless the Court instructs a party		
	22	or the parties to address issues outside the administrative claim record. Accordingly, the Court		
	23	vacates the March 13, 2009 deadline for Plaintiff to file his Motion on Standard of Review and		
	24	vacates the April 16, 2009 hearing on the motion.		
	25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	26			
	27	DATED: February 20, 2009 Mafine M. Chekey		
	28	The Hohorable Maxine M. Chemy UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		

PRU/1054181/6358723v.1