28

## 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 VIOLET R. MYERS-ARMSTRONG, No. C 08-04741 WHA 11 Plaintiff, 12 **ORDER DISMISSING CASE** v. 13 ACTAVIS TOTOWA, LLC, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings was granted in part and denied in part 17 in an order dated April 22, 2009 (Dkt. 43). In that order, the action was dismissed because 18 plaintiff failed to state a claim. The order, however, provided plaintiff with fourteen calendar 19 days to file a motion seeking leave to amend the complaint. Although the fourteen-day deadline 20 has passed, no such motion has been filed. Accordingly, this action will be dismissed without 21 further leave to amend, the file will be closed, and judgment shall be entered. 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: May 13, 2009 26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 27