Martin v. Ricoh Americas Corporation Doc. 15			
* ,		Case 3:08-cv-04853-EMC	
GWILLIAM, IVARY, CHIOSSO, CAVALLI & BREWER ATTORNEYS AT LAW A Professional Corporation P.O. Box 2079, Oakland, CA 94604-2079	1 2	GWILLIAM, IVARY, CHIOSSO, CAVALLI & BREWER	
	3	Oakland, CA 94612-3528 Telephone: (510) 832-5411 Facsimile: (510) 832-1918	
	4	1 acsimile. (310) 632 1716	
	5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	11	JOHN MARTIN Case No.: CV-08-04853 EMC	
	12	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
	ł	VS. ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
	13	RICOH AMERICAS CORPORATION, and	
	14	DOES 1-50, inclusive	
	15	Defendants. /	
	16		
	17 18	Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5;	
	19	The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
		Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)	
	20	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)	
	21	Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
	22	(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any form of ADR, must participate in an ADR	
	23	phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
	24	Private Process:	
	25	Private ADR (please identify process and provider)	
	26	The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: X the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order	
	27	referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) other requested deadline	
	28	-1-	
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
		Martin v. Ricoh Americas Corp. C 08-4853EMC	

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Martin v. Ricoh Americas Corp., USDC No. CV-08-04853

Document 13

Filed 01/21/2009

Page 2 of 2

Case 3:08-cv-04853-EMC