1.11

· • •

Richard B. Newman (#195191) Klein Zelman Rothermel LLP 485 Madison Avenue - 15 <sup>th</sup> floor New York, NY 10022 Telephone: 212-935-6020 Facsimile: 212-753-8101 <i>Attorneys for Defendants</i> Jason K. Singleton (SBN 166170) Richard E. Grabowski (SBN 236207) Singleton Law Group 611 "L" Street, Suite A Eureka, CA 95501 Phone: (707) 441-1177 Facsimile: (707) 441-1533 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiffs</i>	Richard Idell (SBN 069033) Idell & Seitel, LLP 465 California Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 986-2400 Facsimile: (415) 392-9259 Attorneys for Defendants
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ASIS INTERNET SERVICES, a California	Case No. C 08-4856 WHA
corporation, and JOEL HOUSEHOLTER, dba KNEELAND ENGINEERING, dba FOGGY.NET,	AMENDED AND FURTHER STIPULATION RE: HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
Plaintiffs, vs.	PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2) AND 12(b)(6) OR, IN THE
CONSUMERBARGAINGIVEAWAYS, LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, dba	ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(e) AND REQUEST FOR
OPINIONRESEARCHPANEL aka OPINIONRESEARCHPANEL.COM;	ORDER CONTINUING DATE FOR HEARING FOR GOOD CAUSE AND
CONSUMER REVIEW NETWORK, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, dba CONSUMERREVIEWNETWORK.COM;	SETTING NEW DATE FOR FILING OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION, AND ORDER
DIRECTGIFTCARDPROMOTIONS, LLC, an	THEREON. Date: March 19, 2009
Illinois limited liability company, dba LAPTOPREVIEWPANEL aka	Time: 2:00 p.m.
LAPTOPREVIEWPANEL.COM; JEFF M.	Place: Courtroom 9
ZWEBEN; and DOES ONE through FIFTY,	Case No. C 08-04856 WHA
l	
AMENDED AND FURTHER STIPULATION RE: HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2) AND 12(b)(6) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(c) AND REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING DATE FOR HEARING FOR GOOD CAUSE AND SETTING NEW DATE FOR FILING OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION, AND ORDER THEREON.	

1 2	inclusive, Defendants.	Hon. Judge William Alsup, Presiding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	California corporation, and JOEL HOUSEHOLTH FOGGY.NET (collectively "Plaintiffs"), on the or LLC, an Illinois Limited Liability Company, dba OPINIONRESEARCHPANEL.COM; CONSUM liability company, dba CONSUMERREVIEWNE DIRECTGIFTCARDPROMOTIONS, LLC, an III LAPTOPREVIEWPANEL aka LAPTOPREVIEW other hand, pursuant to Rule 7-7(b) of the Local R District of California. WHEREAS, on January 15, 2009, Defendation the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and WHEREAS, the noticed date for hearing of 2:00 p.m.; and WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their opposition 2009; and WHEREAS, the parties have not previous! Defendants' motion to dismiss; and WHEREAS, on February 25, 2008, Plainti wish to supplement their Opposition to the Motion WHEREAS, if approved by the Court, Plai on or before March 5, 2009; and AMENDED AND FURTHER STIPULATION RE: HEARING DA FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2) AND 12(b)(6) OR, IN THE AL	The hand, and CONSUMERBARGAINGIVEAWAYS, OPINIONRESEARCHPANEL aka ER REVIEW NETWORK, LLC, a Delaware limited TWORK.COM; and linois limited liability company, dba VPANEL.COM (collectively "Defendants"), on the sules of the United States District Court, Northern ants filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of an Defendants' motion to dismiss is March 19, 2009 at on to Defendants' motion to dismiss on February 10, ly stipulated to continue the hearing date on ffs' Counsel advised Defendants' counsel that they a to Dismiss; and intiffs' counsel will file their Supplemental Opposition Case No. C 08-04856 WHA

WHEREAS, if approved by the Court, Defendants' counsel will file their Reply to the
 Opposition and Supplemental Opposition by March 12, 2009; and

WHEREAS, if approved by the Court, the parties have agreed to have the hearing date on
Defendants' motion to dismiss continued to March 26, 2009 at 2:00 p.m. in order that Plaintiffs may file
Supplemental Opposition by March 5, 2009 and Defendants shall have until March 12, 2009 to file a
Reply to the Opposition and Supplemental Opposition,

NOW THEREFORE, the parties do agree as follows and jointly request that the Court continue
the hearing date on the Motion to Dismiss to March 26, 2009 and Order that any Supplemental
Opposition be filed by March 5, 2009 and that any Reply brief be filed by March 12, 2009; the Parties
hereby stipulate as follows:

111.The hearing date on Defendants' motion to dismiss shall be continued to March 26, 200912at 2:00 p.m.

2. Any Supplemental Opposition of Plaintiffs to the Motion to Dismiss be filed by March 5,
2009.

3. Any Reply of the Defendants to the Opposition or Supplemental Opposition be filed by
March 12, 2009.

4. Good cause exists for the requested Order in that Plaintiffs have indicated that they would
like to file a Supplemental Opposition, and would do so by March 5, 2009, and Defendants request
additional time to Reply to the Opposition and Supplemental Opposition.

5. This stipulation shall be filed with the Court for an Order thereon. The Parties jointly
request that the Court continue the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss to March 26, 2009 at 2:00
p.m. and Order that any Supplemental Opposition be filed by March 5, 2009 and any Reply be filed by
March 12, 2009.

Case No. C 08-04856 WHA

AMENDED AND FURTHER STIPULATION RE: HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2) AND 12(b)(6) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT
 PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(e) AND REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING DATE FOR HEARING FOR GOOD CAUSE AND SETTING NEW DATE FOR FILING OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION, AND ORDER THEREON.

24 25

26

## Case 3:08-cv-04856-WHA Document 41 Filed 03/03/2009 Page 4 of 5

SO STIPULATED: 1 SINGLETON LAW GROUP 2 3 -3-04 2009 By: Dated: 4 Jason K. Singleton 5 Richard E. Grabowski Attorneys for Plaintiffs 6 7 KLEIN ZELMAN ROTHERMEL LLF 8 9 10 Dated: Richard B. Newman Л Attorneys for Defendants 12 13 Dated: IDELL & SEITEL LLP 14 By: 15 Richard J. Idell, Attorneys for Defendants 16 [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION . 17 The stipulation of the parties having come before the Court and good cause appearing therefore, 18 it is hereby ordered that the hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dispussis continued to March 26, 2009 19 at 2:00 p.m. Any Supplemental Opposition shall be filed by March 5. 200 and any Reply shall be filed SO ORDERED 20 by March 12, 2009. Μ PX 21 Dated: March 4 . 2009 22 HONORABLE WILLAW ALSUP 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE This order does not approve any supplemental opposition itself. Any such filing must 24 be accompanied by a request for leave to file setting forth good cause therefor 25 26 AMENDED AND FURTHER STIPULATION RE: HEARING DATE ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2) AND 12(b)(6) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT 27 PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 12(c) AND REQUEST FOR ORDER CONTINUING DATE FOR HEARING FOR GOOD CAUSE AND SETTING NEW DATE FOR FILING OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION. AND, ORDER 28 THEREON.

1

2

3

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I am employed in the City and County of Manhattan, State of New York in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the following service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Klein Zelman Rothermel LLP, 485 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022.

4	LLP, 485 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022.			
5		On I served the following document(s):		
6				
7				
8 9		by regular UNITED STATES MAIL by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below. I am readily familiar with the practice of Klein Zelman Rothermel LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. According to		
10		that practice, items are deposited with the United States Postal Service at New York, New York on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that, on motion of the		
11 12		party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or the postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in this affidavit.		
13		by ELECTRONIC MAIL. As this case is subject to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California ECF program, pursuant to General Rule 45, upon the filing of		
14		the above-entitled document(s) an automatically generated e-mail message was generated by the Court's Court's electronic filing system and sent to the address(es) shown below and		
15	5 constitutes service on the receiving party.			
16 17		The Singleton Law Group Jason K. Singleton		
18		Richard E. Grabowski 611 ""L"" Street, Suite A Europa CA 05501		
19		Eureka, CA 95501		
20 21	I certify and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, that I employed in the office of an attorney qualified to practice in this court, and that I executed this			
22	declaration at New York, New York.			
23				
24				
25		Richard B. Newman		
26 27				
28				
	<u>{00092578;</u>			
	CERTIFI	Case No. C 08-4856 WHA		