1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	DARRYL E. BROWN,
12	Plaintiff(s), No. C 08-4885 CRB (PR)
13	v. ) ORDER OF SERVICE
14	JAMES TILTON, et al., (Doc # 4)
15	Defendant(s).
16	
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner at California State Prison, Corcoran, has filed a pro se
18	civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that on December 14,
19	2006, while he was at Salinas Valley State Prison (SVSP), he was repeatedly
20	assaulted by correctional officers D. Beatty, B Chavez, E. Hernandez and J. Ruiz
21	at "the behest" of Sergeant J. Mensing. Plaintiff specifically alleges that he was
22	"punched," "kicked" and "dragged and slammed on the floor."
23	Plaintiff seeks damages and appointment of counsel.
24	DISCUSSION
25	A. <u>Standard of Review</u>
26	Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which
27	prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a
28	governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable

claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint
"is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such
relief." Id. § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed, however.
Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. <u>West v. Atkins</u>, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. <u>Legal Claims</u>

Whenever prison officials stand accused of using excessive force in
violation of the Eighth Amendment, the core judicial inquiry is whether force
was applied in a good-faith effort to maintain or restore discipline, or maliciously
and sadistically to cause harm. <u>Hudson v. McMillian</u>, 503 U.S. 1, 6-7 (1992).
Liberally construed, plaintiff's allegations state a cognizable § 1983 claim for
damages for use of excessive force against correctional officers D. Beatty, B
Chavez, E. Hernandez and J. Ruiz, and Sergeant J. Mensing.

The other named defendants are dismissed because it is well-established that there is no respondeat superior liability under § 1983, i.e., there is no liability under § 1983 solely because one is responsible for the actions or omissions of another. <u>See Taylor v. List</u>, 880 F.2d 1040, 1045 (9th Cir. 1989).

C. <u>Request for Appointment of Counsel</u>

Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel (doc # 4) is DENIED for lack of exceptional circumstances. <u>See Terrell v. Brewer</u>, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Wilborn v. Escalderon</u>, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986).

1	CONCLUSION
2	For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,
3	1. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall
4	serve, without prepayment of fees, copies of the complaint in this matter, all
5	attachments thereto, and copies of this order on the following defendants at
6	SVSP: D. Beatty, B Chavez, E. Hernandez, J. Ruiz and J. Mensing. The clerk
7	also shall serve a copy of this order on plaintiff.
8	2. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as
9	follows:
10	a. No later than 90 days from the date of this order, defendants
11	shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. A motion
12	for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and
13	shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, and shall
14	include as exhibits all records and incident reports stemming from the events at
15	issue. If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by
16	summary judgment or other dispositive motion, they shall so inform the court
17	prior to the date their motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be
18	served promptly on plaintiff.
19	b. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed
20	with the court and served upon defendants no later than 30 days after defendants
21	serve plaintiff with the motion.
22	c. Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment
23	under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your
24	case. Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for
25	summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there
26	is no genuine issue of material factthat is, if there is no real dispute about any
27	
28	3

fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary 1 judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. <u>Rand v. Rowland</u>, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App A).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff is also advised that a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) will, if granted, end your case, albeit without prejudice. You must "develop a record" and present it in your opposition in order to dispute any "factual record" presented by the defendants in their motion to dismiss. Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003).

d. Defendants shall file a reply brief within 15 days of the date on which plaintiff serves them with the opposition.

The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the e. reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

3. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

4

1	4. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on
2	defendants, or defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing
3	a true copy of the document to defendants or defendants' counsel.
4	5. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must
5	keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply
6	with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the
7	dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
8	SO ORDERED.
9	DATED: December 24, 2008 CHARLES R. BREYER
10	United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	G:\PRO-SE\CRB\CR.08\Brown, D1.serve.wpd 5