

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC.)	Case No. 08-4966 SC
SECURITIES LITIGATION)	
_____)	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
This Order Relates to:)	DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S
_____)	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CASE NOS. 08-4966 SC, 08-5027 SC,)	<u>FILE UNDER SEAL</u>
and 08-5273 SC)	
_____)	

Plaintiff Alaska Electrical Pension Fund ("Plaintiff") brings this Administrative Motion to file under seal certain documents designated as confidential by Defendant Cadence Design Systems, Inc. ("Defendant"). Docket No. 111 ("Motion"). Pursuant to a protective order granted by the Court, see Docket No. 112 ("Stipulated Protective Order"), Defendant has designated certain documents it produced in discovery as confidential. In Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment ("MPSJ Opp'n"), which Plaintiff filed under seal, Plaintiff referenced a number of these documents. Plaintiff also attached these documents as exhibits to a declaration in support of its MPSJ Opposition, which Plaintiff also filed under seal. Williams Decl., Exs. 1-18, 28, 29.¹

Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) requires a party seeking to file a

¹ Shawn A. Williams ("Williams"), counsel for Plaintiff, filed a declaration in support of the MPSJ Opposition.

1 document designated as confidential by another party to file an
2 administrative motion with the court. The designating party then
3 has seven days to preserve the document's confidentiality by filing
4 and serving a "declaration establishing that the designated
5 information is sealable" and "a narrowly tailored proposed sealing
6 order." Id. Both parties have complied with this rule: Plaintiff
7 filed the present Motion, and Defendant submitted a declaration
8 explaining in detail why the material is proprietary and
9 confidential business information. See Cooley Decl.² Defendant
10 seeks to protect some, but not all, of the documents, and it has
11 identified the specific language it seeks to keep confidential by
12 attaching suggested redacted versions of each document. See id.,
13 Exs. 4, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 17, 18, 28, 29.

14 The Court GRANTS IN PART and DENIES IN PART this Motion. The
15 following documents attached as exhibits to the Cooley Declaration
16 shall be filed under seal:

- 17 • Exhibit 4 (Bates numbered CDNS00003667-3668);
- 18 • Exhibit 6 (Bates numbered CDNS00000971-0972);
- 19 • Exhibit 7 (Bates numbered CDNS00000975-0979);
- 20 • Exhibit 8 (Bates numbered CDNS00000474-0479);
- 21 • Exhibit 13 (Bates numbered CDNS00002729-2730);
- 22 • Exhibit 14 (Bates numbered CDNS00002617-2638);
- 23 • Exhibit 17 (Bates numbered CDNS00002582-2604);
- 24 • Exhibit 18 (Bates numbered CDNS00000374-0378);
- 25 • Exhibit 28 (Bates numbered CDNS00002049); and
- 26 • Exhibit 29 (Bates numbered CDNS00000538-0539).

27 _____
28 ² Tom Cooley ("Cooley"), counsel for Defendant, has filed a
declaration in support of the Motion. Docket No. 111.

1 Plaintiffs shall e-file the above-listed documents, but only
2 in the redacted form proposed by Defendants. Plaintiffs shall e-
3 file Exhibits 1-3, 5, 9-12, 15-16, 19-27, and 30-47 without
4 redaction. Plaintiff shall also e-file a version of its MPSJ
5 Opposition in which any reference to the redacted text in the above
6 documents is redacted.

7

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9

10 Dated: June 17, 2010


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28