24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6		
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	ERIKA DOUGLAS,	No. C-08-5045 MMC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS; VACATING
11	v.	HEARING
12	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE,	
13	Defendants. /	
14		
15	Before the Court is defendant United States of America's ("United States") Motion to	
16	Dismiss, filed November 13, 2008. No opposition has been filed. Having read and	
17	considered the papers filed in support of the motion, the Court deems the matter	
18	appropriate for decision thereon, hereby VACATES the hearing scheduled for January 16,	
19	2009, and, for the reasons stated by the United States in its Memorandum of Points and	
20	Authorities in support of its motion, hereby GRANTS the motion.	
21	Accordingly, the instant action is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: January 12, 2009	Mafine M. Chesney MIXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge

¹As the United States points out, the United States is the sole proper defendant to the instant action. See Allen v. Veterans Admin., 749 F.2d 1386, 1388 (9th Cir. 1984) (noting "[t]he Federal Tort Claims Act provides that the United States is the sole party which may be sued for personal injuries arising out of the negligence of its employees"; further noting "[i]ndividual agencies of the United States may not be sued").

²On December 30, 2008, the United States filed a reply.