

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALPHONSO OLIPHANT, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
et al.,
Defendants

No. C-08-5048 MMC

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS’
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL MATERIALS RELATED
TO DEFENDANTS’ MOTION IN LIMINE
NO. 2; DIRECTIONS TO DEFENDANTS**

Before the Court is defendants’ “Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Materials Related to Defendants’ Motion in Limine No. 2 Regarding Qualified Immunity,” filed May 25, 2010. Having read and considered the administrative motion, the Court rules as follows:

1. Because defendants’ Motion in Limine No. 2 references matter that appears to consist of confidential personnel records, defendants’ administrative motion is hereby GRANTED. Accordingly, defendants are hereby DIRECTED to electronically file, no later than June 3, 2010, the unredacted version of Motion in Limine No. 2 under seal. See General Order No. 62 (“If a motion to file under seal is granted in part or full, the requesting party will e-file the document under seal according to the procedures outlined in the FAQs on the ECF website.”).

2. Under the Civil Local Rules of this District, a request to file a document under

1 seal "must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." See Civil L.R.
2 79-5(a). Here, defendants' Motion in Limine No. 2 does not, in its entirety, consist of
3 sealable material. For example, Motion in Limine No. 2 includes a discussion of legal
4 principles applicable to qualified immunity as well as a summary of evidence previously
5 filed in the public record.¹ Accordingly, defendants are hereby DIRECTED to electronically
6 file, no later than June 3, 2010, a redacted version of Motion in Limine No. 2 in the public
7 record.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: June 1, 2010

11 
12 MAXINE M. CHESNEY
13 United States District Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹These examples are illustrative in nature.