

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAALFRED E. FERNANDES,  
Petitioner,

No. C 08-5091 MHP (pr)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

v.

ROBERT L. AYERS, JR., warden,  
Respondent.**INTRODUCTION**

Alfred E. Fernandes, an inmate at San Quentin State Prison, filed this pro se action seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. His petition is now before the court for review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2243 and Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

**BACKGROUND**

Fernandes states in his petition that he is serving a sentence of 15 years to life plus one year in prison. His petition does not challenge his conviction but instead challenges a September 27, 2007 decision by the Board of Parole Hearings ("BPH") to find him not suitable for parole. Fernandes apparently filed an unsuccessful habeas petition in the California Supreme Court before filing this action.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons,

1. The petition's due process claim warrants a response.

2. The clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order, the petition and all attachments thereto upon respondent and respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on petitioner.

3. Respondent must file and serve upon petitioner, on or before **June 26, 2009**, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent must file with the answer a copy of all portions of the parole hearing record that have been previously transcribed and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

4. If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he must do so by filing a traverse with the court and serving it on respondent on or before **July 31, 2009**. Petitioner's traverse may not exceed 25 pages in length.

5. Petitioner is responsible for prosecuting this case. He must keep the court informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 13, 2009

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Marilyn Hall Patel  
United States District Judge