Thomas v. Sprint N	extel Corporation et al		
1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP		
2	A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations FRED R. PUGLISI, Cal. Bar No. 121822		
3	fred R. Puglisi, Cal. Bar No. 121822 fpuglisi@sheppardmullin.com JAMES J. MITTERMILLER, Cal. Bar No. 85177		
4	james J. Mit Termiller, Cal. Bar No. 85177 jmittermiller@sheppardmullin.com VALERIE E. ALTER, Cal. Bar No. 239905 valter@sheppardmullin.com 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600 Los Angeles, California 90067-6017 Telephone: 310-228-3700 Facsimile: 310-228-3701		
5			
6			
7			
8	Attorneys for Defendant, SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10			
11			
12		G N 2.00 05110 TEH	
13	RUDOLPH THOMAS, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,,	Case No. 3:08-cv-05119-TEH	
14	Plaintiff,	SECOND STIPULATION EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
15	v.		
16	SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION and SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC., d/b/a		
17	SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC., d/b/a SPRINT, SPRINT PCS and/or NEXTEL and DOES 1 - 50,	[Complaint Filed: November 10, 2008]	
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	W02-WEST:1ESN1\401254021.2 -1-		

Doc 17

Dockets.Justia.com

- 1			
1			
2			
3	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1 ("Parties may stipulate in writing, without a		
4	Court order, to extend the time within which to answer or otherwise respond to the		
5	complaint, or to enlarge or shorten the time in matters not required to be filed or		
6	lodged with the Court, provided the change will not alter the date of any event or any		
7	deadline already fixed by Court order.") plaintiff Rudolph Thomas and defendant Sprint		
8	Solutions, Inc., hereby stipulate:		
9			
10	1. To a seven (7)-day extension from January 7, 2009 to January 14,		
11	2009 of the deadline for defendant Sprint Solutions, Inc. to answer or otherwise respond to		
12	the Complaint in this action; and		
13			
14	2. If defendant Sprint Solutions, Inc. moves to dismiss the Complaint		
15	rather than file an answer, plaintiff's time to oppose the motion to dismiss will be extended		
16	by seven (7) days, from February 2, 2009 to February 9, 2009.		
17			
18	Dated: January 6, 2009		
19	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP		
20	// Fred R. Puglisi		
21	By		
22	FRED R. PUGLISI		
23	Attorneys for Defendant SPRINT SOLUTIONS, INC.		
24			
25			
26			
27			

28

Dated: January 6, 2009

HAGENS, BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

// Jeff D. Friedman

By

JEFF D. FRIEDMAN Attorneys for Plaintiff RUDOLPH THOMAS

