\*E-Filed 2/3/11\* TONY WEST 1 Assistant Attorney General 2 JOHN R. TYLER Assistant Branch Director 3 ERIC B. BECKENHAUER, CSBN 237526 Trial Attorney 4 U.S. Department of Justice 5 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Ave. NW Washington, DC 20530 6 Telephone: (202) 514-3338 7 Facsimile: (202) 616-8470 E-mail: eric.beckenhauer@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for Defendants 9 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 13 NATIONAL LAWYERS' GUILD SAN No. C 08-5137 RS FRANCISCO CHAPTER, et al., 14 JOINT STATUS REPORT 15 Plaintiffs, AND STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS; AND [PROPOSED] 16 **ORDER** v. 17 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, et al., 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 WHEREAS, in an effort to narrow the issues before the Court, 22 1. The parties have entered into a series of stipulations to stay proceedings since April 23 24, 2009, to allow Defendants to conduct secondary searches and process potentially responsive 24 records, and to allow the parties to confer in an effort to resolve Plaintiffs' claims without the 25 Court's intervention. On October 30, 2009, as a result of those negotiations, Plaintiffs stipulated that 26 they did not contest the adequacy of the searches performed by Defendants DHS, DHS-OIG, or 27 CIS, or the propriety of the withholdings made by DHS-OIG; accordingly, pursuant to Rule 28 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the parties filed a stipulation of dismissal of this action with prejudice with respect No. C 08-5137 RS JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS 1

to those Defendants. Negotiations continued with respect to the remaining Defendants: CBP, EOIR, and ICE. Most recently, on January 7, 2011, the Court approved the parties' stipulations (a) to further stay proceedings through and including January 31, 2011, and (b) to submit a joint report advising the Court on the status of negotiations and processing, and/or a stipulation proposing a schedule to govern further proceedings, no later than January 31, 2011; and

- 2. EOIR has provided Plaintiffs with a letter describing the scope of its search and a preliminary, partial <u>Vaughn</u> index explaining the bases for its withholdings. In response, Plaintiffs have stipulated that they do not contest either the adequacy of the search performed by EOIR, or the propriety of the withholdings made by EOIR; and
- 3. ICE has provided Plaintiffs with a letter describing the scope of its search and a preliminary, partial <u>Vaughn</u> index explaining the bases for its withholdings. In response, Plaintiffs have stipulated that they do not contest either the adequacy of the search performed by ICE, or the propriety of the withholdings made by ICE; and
- 4. CBP has provided Plaintiffs with a letter describing the scope of its search and a preliminary, partial Vaughn index explaining the bases for its withholdings; Plaintiffs have responded with several objections and/or requests for clarification; and the parties have continued to confer to discuss the scope of CBP's search and the propriety of its withholdings. Since the last status report, CBP has released three additional responsive documents that were located during quality control checks, and has confirmed that the processing of potentially responsive records located during its secondary search is complete. CBP has also reconsidered the bases for its prior withholdings, and has determined as an exercise of its discretion, and without conceding that they were not properly withheld to release portions of three documents that were previously withheld in full. Plaintiffs are not yet prepared to stipulate to the adequacy of CBP's search or the propriety of its withholdings; however, further negotiation may eliminate the need for judicial resolution of these issues. The parties are in communication regarding a possible solution concerning the small number of documents remaining in dispute, and have agreed to confer again by February 3, 2011, to discuss these issues; and

WHEREAS it would minimize litigation costs and conserve judicial resources to stay

further proceedings in this case to permit the above-mentioned negotiations to continue, and to 1 2 permit the parties to confer further as described above; IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED by and between the parties, through 3 4 undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, that: 5 1. Further proceedings in this case are stayed for a period of approximately 2 months 6 through and including March 31, 2011, and 7 2. No later than March 31, 2011, the parties shall submit a joint report advising 8 the Court on the status of the above-mentioned negotiations and/or a stipulation 9 proposing a schedule to govern further proceedings. 10 // 11 // 12 // 13 // 14 // 15 // 16 // 17 // 18 // 19 // 20 // 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // 28

1	Dated: January 31, 2011
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Respectfully submitted,  /s/ Dominique-Chantale Alepin  JAYASHRI SRIKANTIAH IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS CLINIC STANFORD LAW SCHOOL 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, CA 94305 Tel: (650) 724-2442 Fax: (650) 723-4426  LINTON JOAQUIN KAREN TUMLIN NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2850 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Tel: (213) 639-3900 Fax: (213) 639-3911  JARED KOPEL LISA A. DAVIS DOMINIQUE-CHANTALE ALEPIN WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Tel: (650) 493-9300 Fax: (650) 565-5100
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
19	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION
20 21 22 23	In accordance with General Order 45(X), I hereby attest that I have obtained Dominique-Chantale Alepin's concurrence in the filing of this document.
24	[PROPOSED] ORDER
25	Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.
26 27 28	Dated:
	No. C 08-5137 RS Joint Status Report and Stipulation to Stay Proceedings 4