28

1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8	ALICIA HARRIS,	No. C-08-5198 EMC	
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE SUPPLEMENTAL	
10	v.	BRIEFING FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL	
11	VECTOR MARKETING CORPORATION,	(Docket No. 505)	
12	Defendant.	(Docket 110. 303)	
13	/		
14	Previously, the Court ordered the parties to provide supplemental briefing by December 29,		
15	2011, as to why notice to the entire class is not necessary. Thereafter, Plaintiff filed her renewed		
16	motion for final approval. Having done a preliminary review of the renewed motion, the Court		
17	hereby orders that the parties provide supplemental briefing also by December 29 as to whether		
18	there is any case authority permitting commitment of residual funds to a cy pres fund rather than to		
19	the class (whether the entire class or simply those who initially submitted claims) under the		
20	circumstances of this case. See, e.g., Nachshin v. AOL, LLC, No. 10-55129, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS		
21	23244, at *1, 8 (9th Cir. Nov. 21, 2011) (noting that the cy pres doctrine allows for distribution to		
22	the next best class of beneficiaries and that "federal courts frequently use the cy pres doctrine in the		
23	settlement of class actions where the proof of individual claims would be burdensome or distribution		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

of damages costly") (emphasis added). The parties should also address whether the interest in avoiding another notice to the class is a proper consideration.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 23, 2011

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge