Reed v. McDonald

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 No. C- 08-5292 MHP JOHNNY REED, 9 Petitioner, ORDER RE 10 **EXTENSION OF** VS. 11 MICHAEL D. McDONALD, Warden 12 Respondent. 13 14 15 It has come to this court's attention that on February 8, 2010, a motion to extend time to file 16 an amended habeas petition was filed in this action. No proposed order was submitted and, 17 therefore apparently, the motion was not forwarded to chambers. This motion requested an 18 extension of thirty days. It appears that counsel has not followed up on this and has not filed the 19 amended petition despite the passage of over six months. In view of this lapse, although it might be 20 appropriate to dismiss the petition, the court gives petitioner until September 30, 2010, to file the 21 amended petition. 22 No further extensions will be granted and failure to timely file the amended petition will 23 result in dismissal of the action. 24

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: September 3, 2010

25

26

27

28

United States District Court Judge Northern District of California

Doc. 13