

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZENITH INSURANCE COMPANY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 08-5352 SC
)	No. 08-5353 SC
v.)	
)	RELATED CASES
RICHARD S. FULD, JR., <u>et al.</u> ,)	
)	ORDER CONSOLIDATING
Defendants.)	BRIEFING ON MOTIONS
)	<u>TO REMAND</u>
_____)	
)	
SAN MATEO COUNTY INVESTMENT POOL,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
RICHARD S. FULD, JR., <u>et al.</u> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
_____)	

Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matters have each filed a motion to remand. Zenith Docket No. 16; San Mateo Docket No. 16. The issues presented in the motions appear to be largely similar, if not identical. The Defendants are identical in each matter and the two different Plaintiffs are represented, at least in part, by the same counsel. The Court therefore ORDERS that briefing on the two motions to remand be consolidated. The following briefing schedule shall apply:

Defendants' Consolidated Opposition shall be filed no later than Friday, January 9, 2009.

1 Plaintiffs' Consolidated Reply shall be filed no later than
2 Friday ,January 16, 2009.

3 The hearing on the consolidated motions shall be on Friday,
4 February 6, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1.

5 Should any party believe that its interests are not
6 adequately represented due to this consolidation, it may request
7 leave from the Court to file a supplemental brief of no more than
8 five pages addressing its concerns. Leave shall be granted only
9 if good cause is shown. Any supplemental briefing filed without
10 leave of the Court shall be stricken.

11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13
14 December 16, 2008

15 
16 _____
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28