| | 1 | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| United States District Court For the Northern District of California | 2 | | |
| | 3 | | |
| | 4 | | |
| | 5 | | |
| | 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| | 7 | | |
| | 8 | | |
| | 9 | LORRELL HAMILTON, N | o. C 08-5563 MHP |
| | 10 | Plaintiff(s), O | RDER |
| | 11 12 | V. | Ninety-Day Conditional Dismissal) |
| | 13 | THE SHERWIN WILLIAMS COMPANY et al, | |
| | 14 | Defendant(s). | |
| | 15 | | |
| | 16 | The parties hereto, by their counsel, having advised the Court that they have agreed to a settlement of this action. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed with prejudice; provided, however, that if any party hereto shall certify to this Court, with a proof of service of a copy on opposing counsel, within 90 days from the date hereof, that the agreed consideration for the settlement has not been delivered over, this Order shall stand vacated, and the action shall be | |
| | 17 | | |
| | 18 | | |
| | 19 | | |
| | 20 | | |
| | 21 | restored to the calendar to be set for trial. | , |
| | 22 | | |
| | 23 | Dated: August 11, 2009 | Self-State |
| | 2425 | | MARILYN HALL PATEL United States District Court Judge |
| | 26 | | |
| | 27 | | |
| | 28 | | |
| | | | |

Hamilton v. The Sterwin Williams Company

Doc. 26