

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SASKIA RUSSELL, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
et al.,

Defendants.

NO. C08-5651 TEH

ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL  
BRIEFING FROM PLAINTIFFS

11  
12 The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' application for an order approving minors'  
13 compromise and Defendants' statement of conditional non-opposition thereto. Plaintiffs did  
14 not file a reply.

15 The Court shares Defendants' concern that Plaintiffs' papers list the total amount of  
16 the settlement as \$250,000 but discuss distribution of only \$200,000. If, as Defendants  
17 surmise, the remaining \$50,000 will be Plaintiff Saskia Russell's share of the settlement  
18 proceeds, it appears that this Court's approval is unnecessary as to that portion because  
19 Saskia Russell is not a minor. Nonetheless, the record should be clear as to the distribution  
20 of the settlement, and the Court therefore orders Plaintiffs to file a supplemental declaration  
21 explaining the \$50,000 difference on or before **August 20, 2010**.

22  
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24  
25 Dated: 08/17/10



26  
27  
28  
THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT