

1 LAW OFFICES OF  
 2 THOMAS M. MULLANEY  
 3 Thomas M. Mullaney  
 4 (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 5 [mullaw@msn.com](mailto:mullaw@msn.com)  
 6 275 Madison Avenue, Suite 3700  
 7 New York, New York 10016  
 8 Tel 212.223.0800 - Fax 212.661.9860

LARRY D. DRURY, LTD.  
 Larry D. Drury  
[ldrurylaw@aol.com](mailto:ldrurylaw@aol.com)  
 100 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1010  
 Chicago, Illinois 60602  
 Tel 312.346.7950 – Fax 312.346.5777

6 BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP  
 7 Eric M. George (SBN 166403)  
 8 [egeorge@bgrfirm.com](mailto:egeorge@bgrfirm.com)  
 9 Michael A. Bowse (SBN 189659)  
 10 [mbowse@bgrfirm.com](mailto:mbowse@bgrfirm.com)  
 11 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2400  
 12 Los Angeles, California 90067  
 13 Tel (310) 274-7100 - Fax (310) 275-5697

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Diane Marolda, Individually  
 11 and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

12 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP  
 13 Richard A. Rothman (*pro hac vice*)  
 14 Bruce A. Colbath (*pro hac vice*)  
 15 767 Fifth Avenue  
 16 New York, New York 10153  
 17 Tel (212) 310-8000

16 Attorneys for Defendant Symantec Corporation

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 DIANE MAROLDA, Individually and on  
 22 behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,  
 23 Plaintiff,  
 24 vs.  
 25 SYMANTEC CORPORATION,  
 26 Defendant.

Case No. 08-cv-05701 EMC  
 The Hon. Edward M. Chen

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
 ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE  
 REVISED PROPOSED ORDER AND  
 NOTICE**

Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen  
 Trial Date: None Set

1 WHEREAS, on August 10, 2012, this Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's  
2 Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "August 10  
3 Hearing");

4 WHEREAS, at the August 10 Hearing this Court identified several remaining  
5 questions regarding the settlement and the proposed class notice plan;

6 WHEREAS, the Court ordered the parties to file a revised proposed order for  
7 preliminary approval and a revised notice plan by August 24, 2012;

8 WHEREAS, the parties have been working diligently together, and with the advice  
9 of the Class Administrator, to resolve the questions identified by the Court;

10 WHEREAS, the parties require additional time to finalize certain aspects of the  
11 settlement and proposed notice plan, including the development of criteria for the  
12 determination of valid claims and the technological details and feasibility of administering  
13 some form of online claims processing; and

14 WHEREAS, the parties will endeavor to resolve the remaining questions by no later  
15 than September 7, 2012.

16 NOW THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE  
17 THAT:

18 The Parties shall have up to and including September 7, 2012 to prepare and file a  
19 revised proposed order for preliminary approval, a revised notice plan, and any other  
20 documents responsive to the issues raised at the August 10 Hearing.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Dated: August 23, 2012

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS M. MULLANEY  
Thomas M. Mullaney

2

LARRY D. DRURY, LTD.  
Larry D. Drury

3

4

BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP  
Eric M. George  
Michael A. Bowse

5

6

By           /s/ Michael A. Bowse            
Michael A. Bowse

7

8

Attorneys for Diane Marolda, Individually  
and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

9

10

11 Dated: August 23, 2012

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP  
Richard A. Rothman  
Bruce A. Colbath

12

13

By           /s/ Bruce A. Colbath            
Bruce A. Colbath

14

15

Counsel for Defendant Symantec Corporation

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,  
IT IS SO ORDERED

Date: 08/24/2012

