v. Cor	iseco, inc. et al				Dq			
	Case 3:08-cv-05746-SI	Document 55	Filed 05/06/2009	Page 1 of 4				
1 2 3 4	RAOUL D. KENNEDY (STATE BAR NO. 40892) SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3800 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 984-6400 Facsimile: (415) 984-2698 Email: Raoul.Kennedy@skadden.com							
5 6 7 8	JAMES R. CARROLL (ADMITTED <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> ) DAVID S. CLANCY (ADMITTED <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> ) CALE P. KEABLE (ADMITTED <i>PRO HAC VICE</i> ) SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP One Beacon Street, 31st Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02108 Telephone: (617) 573-4800 Facsimile: (617) 573-4822							
9 10	Email: James.Carroll@skadden.com Email: David.Clancy@skadden.com							
11 12	Attorneys for Defendants CONSECO, INC. and CONSECO LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY							
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION							
16	6 CEDRIC BRADY, DR. CHARLES ) CASE NO.: 3:08-CV-05746-SI )							
17 18	EUGENE KREPS, DR. JOHN M DR. HISAJI SAKAI, and JEAN Individually and On Behalf Of A	ÍcNAMARA, SAKAI,	/	AND PROPOSED LISHING BRIEFING	_			
10	Similarly Situated,	ui otners	) SCHEDULE AN					
20	Plaintiffs,		)					
21	v.		) )					
22	CONSECO, INC. and CONSEC INSURANCE COMPANY,	O LIFE	)					
23	Defendan	ts.	)					
24			)					
25			)					
26			)					
27								
28								
	STIPULATION ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CASE NO.: 3:08-CV-05746-SI							
	Dockets.Justi							

Dockets.Justia.com

WHEREAS on December 24, 2008, plaintiffs Cedric Brady, Dr. Charles Hovden,
 Marion Hovden, Dr. Eugene Kreps, Dr. John McNamara, Dr. Hisaji Sakai, and Jean Sakai (the
 "Plaintiffs") filed a Complaint against defendants Conseco, Inc. and Conseco Life Insurance
 Company (the "Defendants," referred to collectively with the Plaintiffs as the "Parties"), in the San
 Francisco Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the
 "Complaint"). On January 9, 2009, Plaintiffs served a summons and Complaint on each of the
 Defendants;

8 WHEREAS on April 23, 2009, the Plaintiffs filed with the Court an Amended
9 Complaint (Docket No. 51);

10 WHEREAS on April 24, 2009, the Parties filed with the Court a Stipulation
11 Extending Time To Answer, Move, Or Otherwise Respond To The Amended Complaint (Docket
12 No. 52) requesting that the date by which Defendants must answer, move, or otherwise respond to
13 the Complaint be extended to and including May 29, 2009, and the Court granted such relief by
14 Order dated April 29, 2009 (Docket No. 54);

WHEREAS Plaintiffs have requested and Defendants have agreed to extend the date
by which Plaintiffs shall be required to oppose any motion, including a motion to dismiss, brought
by Defendants in response to the Amended Complaint to and including June 30, 2009;

18 WHEREAS Defendants have requested and Plaintiffs have agreed to extend the date
19 by which Defendants may reply in further support of any motion, including a motion to dismiss,
20 brought by Defendants in response to the Amended Complaint to and including July 17, 2009;

WHEREAS the Parties have conferred and agree that the earliest date a motion,
including a motion to dismiss, brought by Defendants in response to the Amended Complaint may
be noticed to be heard by the Court consistent with the above schedule is July 31, 2009, or such
other date that the Court finds suitable; and

WHEREAS the Parties have conferred and agree that the interests of the Parties and
judicial economy would be best served if the Case Management Conference currently scheduled
for May 15, 2009 shall also be held on July 31, 2009, or such other date that the Court finds
suitable;

STIPULATION ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

CASE NO.: 3:08-CV-05746-SI

1	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the						
2	undersigned, that, subject to this Court's approval, Plaintiffs shall have to and including June 30,						
3	2009, within which to oppose any motion, including a motion to dismiss, brought by Defendants in						
4	response to the Amended Complaint and Defendants' reply thereto, if any, shall be filed no later						
5	than July 17, 2009.						
6	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned,						
7	that, subject to this Court's approval, a hearing on any motion, including a motion to dismiss,						
8	brought by Defendants in response to the Amended Complaint shall be held July 31, 2009, or as						
9	soon thereafter as Defendants may be heard.						
10							
11	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned,						
12	that, subject to this Court's approval, the Case Management Conference currently scheduled for						
13	May 15, 2009 shall be held on July 31, 2009, or as soon thereafter as is convenient for the Court.						
14	ACCORDINGLY, subject to this Court's approval, the briefing and Case						
15	Management Conference schedule shall be as follows:						
16 17	June 30, 2009 Last day for Plaintiffs to oppose any motion, including a motion to dismiss, brought by						
17	Defendants in response to the Amended Complaint						
18 19	July 17, 2009Last day that Defendants may reply in further support of any such motion						
20	July 31, 2009 AT 9 A.M. Hearing on any such motion						
21	July 31, 2009 AT 2:30 P.M. Case Management Conference						
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	STIPULATION ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CASE NO.: 3:08-CV-05746-SI						

	Case 3:08-cv-05746-SI	Document 55	Filed 05/06/2009	Page 4 of 4			
1 2	DATED: May 6, 2009	Millstein & Associates					
3		By:	/s/ David J. Millstein				
4				Millstein for Plaintiffs			
5	DATED: May 6, 2009		Gilbert Og	hinksy LLP			
6	DATED. May 0, 2009		Glibert Os				
7		By:	/s/ August J. Matteis August J				
8	August J. Matteis, Jr. Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
9							
	DATED: May 6, 2009		Skadden, Arps, Slate,	Meagher & Flom LLP			
11		By:	/s/ David S. Clancy				
12			James R. Carroll (Ad	Kennedy Imitted Pro Hac Vice)			
13			Cale P. Keable (Adu	Imitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) mitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )			
14 15	Attorneys for Defendants Conseco, Inc. and Conseco Life Insurance Company						
15 16	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45						
17	I, David S. Clancy, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation And Proposed Order Establishing Briefing Schedule And Case Management Conference. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. I declare under						
18							
19							
20	correct.						
21	Executed this 6th day of May 2009, at Boston, Massachusetts.						
22	By: <u>/s/ David S. Clancy</u>						
23		Dy.	David S	S.Clancy			
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED,						
25	Dated:	JLATION IT IS SO By:		ran Docum			
26		Ĵ	Hon. Sus	san Illston			
27							
28							
	STIPULATION ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CASE NO.: 3:08-CV-05746-SI						