

United States District Court For the Northern District of California United States District Court For the Northern District of California 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

following procedure must be used for any attorneys' fees sought. It is structured to allow for a
 thorough evaluation of time expended.

1. No later than **JULY 17, 2010**, plaintiffs' attorneys must file and serve a detailed declaration, organized by discrete projects, breaking down all attorney and paralegal time sought to be recovered. For each project, there must be a detailed description of the work, giving the date, hours expended, attorney name, and task for each work entry, in chronological order. A "project" means a deposition, a motion, a witness interview, and so forth. It does not mean generalized statements like "trial preparation" or "attended trial." It includes discrete items like "prepare supplemental trial brief on issue X." The following is an example of time collected by a project.

PROJECT: ABC DEPOSITION (2 DAYS IN FRESNO)

12	Date	Time-	Description	Hours x	Rate =	Fee
13		keeper				
14	01-08-01	XYZ	Assemble and photocopy exhibits for	2.0	\$100	\$200
15	01-09-01	RST	use in deposition.	4.5	\$200	\$900
16	01-09-01	KSI	Review evidence and prepare to examine ABC at deposition.	4.3	\$200	\$900
17	01-10-01	XYZ	Research issue of work-product privilege asserted by deponent.	1.5	\$100	\$150
18	01-11-01	RST	Prepare for and take deposition.	8.5	\$200	\$1700
19	01-12-01	RST	Prepare for and take deposition.	<u>7.0</u>	\$200	<u>\$1400</u>
20	Project Total:			<u>23.5</u>		<u>\$4350</u>

21 2. All entries for a given project must be presented chronologically one after the 22 other, *i.e.*, uninterrupted by other projects, so that the timeline for each project can be readily 23 grasped. Entries can be rounded to the nearest quarter-hour and should be net of write-down for 24 inefficiency or other cause. Please show the sub-totals for hours and fees per project, as in the 25 example above, and show grand totals for all projects combined at the end. Include only entries 26 for which compensation is sought, *i.e.*, after application of "billing judgment." For each 27 project, the declaration must further state, in percentage terms, the proportion of the project 28 directed at issues for which fees are awardable and must justify the percentage. This percentage

should then be applied against the project total to isolate the recoverable portion (a step not
 shown in the example above).

3. A separate summary chart of total time and fees sought per individual timekeeper (not broken down by project) should also be shown at the end of the declaration.
This cross-tabulation will help illuminate all timekeepers' respective workloads and roles in the overall case.

4. The declaration must also set forth (a) the qualifications, experience and role of each attorney or paralegal for whom fees are sought; (b) the normal rate ordinarily charged for each in the relevant time period; (c) how the rates were comparable to prevailing rates in the community for like-skilled professionals; and (d) proof that "billing judgment" was exercised. On the latter point, as before, the declaration should describe adjustments made to eliminate duplication, excess, associate-turnover expense, and so forth. These adjustments need not be itemized but totals for the amount deleted per timekeeper should be stated. The declaration must identify the records used to compile the entries and, specifically, state whether and the extent to which the records were contemporaneous versus retroactively prepared. It must state the extent to which any entries include estimates (and what any estimates were based on). Estimates and/or use of retroactively-made records may or may not be allowed, depending on the facts and circumstances.

19 5. Ordinarily, no more than one attorney and one paralegal need be present at a
20 deposition; more will normally be deemed excessive. Ordinarily, no more than one attorney
21 need attend a law-and-motion hearing; more will normally be deemed excessive. To allow for
22 symmetry, however, the award will take into account the staffing used by the opposing party.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: June 17, 2010.

Ahr

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE