1	JASON A. CATZ, #224205				
2	jasoncatz@yahoo.com 801 Calmar Avenue				
3	Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (415) 336-0648				
4	Plaintiff in Pro Per				
5	Ronald D. Echeguren, #76307				
6	CRESSWELL ECHEGUREN RODGERS & NOBLE 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 440				
7	Oakland, CA 94612-3741 Telephone: (510) 444-6923				
8					
9	Attorney for Defendants CHALKER, KARP AND EVERLOVE				
10	UNITED STATES I	NISTRICT COURT			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION			
14					
15	SHAWN D.CATZ, JASON A. CATZ, ROBERT S. CATZ,) No. CV 08-80022 MISC (VRW)			
16	Plaintiffs,) <u>STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]</u>) ORDER VACATING WRIT OF			
		EXECUTION AND DISMISSING			
17	VS.) <u>ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE</u>)			
18	SUSAN R. CHALKER, LEONARD KARP, ANNETTE EVERLOVE et al.,) Judge: Vaughn Walker			
19	Defendants.)			
20)			
21					
22	WHEREAS, on October 26, 2007, the United States District Court for the				
23	District of Arizona ("Arizona District Court") issued an order in Case No. 4:03-CV-				
24	00091-FRZ-JCG (D. Ariz.) awarding Susan R. Chalker, Leonard Karp and Annette				
25	Everlove ("Defendants") \$66,126 in attorneys fees (the "Arizona Fee Award")				
26	jointly and severally against Robert Catz, Shawn Catz, and Jason Catz ("Plaintiffs")				
27					
28					

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2008, Defendants applied to this Court for the
purpose of registering a judgment from the Arizona District Court that would allow
them to garnish the wages of Jason Catz to collect the Arizona Fee Award (the
"Action");

5 WHEREAS, on or about May 13, 2009, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals 6 issued an order in Catz v. Chalker, Case No. 08-16468 (May 13, 2009) (9th Cir.) (the 7 "Ninth Circuit Order," a true and correct copy of which is attached to this stipulation 8 and proposed order as Exhibit A), wherein the Ninth Circuit: 1) vacated the Arizona Fee 9 Award; 2) held that Susan Chalker was not a prevailing party in the Arizona litigation 10 for any award of attorneys fees in the Arizona litigation (Exh. A, p. 4), and 3) remanded 11 the case to the Arizona District Court for consideration of the amount of fees 12 attributable solely to Defendants Karp and Everlove (Exh. A, p. 6);

WHEREAS, on or about July 6, 2009, this Court issued a writ of execution
against Jason Catz in the amount of \$66,126 ("July 6, 2009 Order");

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Ninth Circuit Order of May 13, 2009, the parties
intend to litigate the amount of attorneys fees attributable to the representation of
Defendants Karp and Everlove while on remand before the Arizona District Court;

18 NOW THEREFORE, Defendants, by and through their counsel, and Plaintiff
19 Jason Catz, hereby stipulate and agree that:

To avoid further motion practice before this Court, the parties agree that
 the July 6, 2009 Order should be vacated and that this matter should be dismissed
 without prejudice until such time that the Arizona District Court issues a new fee award
 consistent with the Ninth Circuit's Order.

24 2. Plaintiff Jason Catz does not waive any rights to oppose Defendants' fee
25 application on remand in the Arizona District Court or to appeal any award of attorneys
26 fees by that Court.

27

28

1	3.	3. Defendants do not waive any rights they have to appeal any fee award by			
2	the Arizona District Court or waive the right to register a judgment for new fee award				
3	issued by th	ssued by the Arizona District Court at a later date.			
4	Dated:	August 11, 2009		JASON A. CATZ 801 Calmar Ave.	
5				Oakland, CA 94610	
6					
7			By:	<u>/s/ Jason A. Catz</u> JASON A. CATZ	
8				Plaintiff in Pro per	
9					
10	Dated:	August 11, 2009		CRESSWELL ECHEGUREN RODGERS & NOBLE	
11					
12			By:	/s/ Ronald D. Echeguren RONALD D. ECHEGUREN	
13				Attorneys for Defendants SUSAN CHALKER, LEONARD KARP,	
14 15				AND ANNETTE EVERLOVE	
15					
17					
18	[PROPOSED] ORDER				
19	The Court having considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing				
20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:				
21	1.	This Court's July 6	5 2009	Order Granting Writ of Execution shall be, and	
22					
23	it hereby is, vacated; and				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
				2 STIPLILATION AND IPROPOSEDLORDER VACATING	

