

1 Steven J. Pollinger
 Texas State Bar No. 24011919
 2 spollinger@mckoolsmith.com
 Geoffrey L. Smith
 3 Texas State Bar No. 24041939
 4 gsmith@mckoolsmith.com
 MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
 5 300 West Sixth Street, Suite 1700
 Austin, Texas 78701
 6 Telephone: (512) 692-8702
 7 Telecopier: (512) 692-8744

8 Martin C. Robson
 Texas State Bar No. 24004892
 9 MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500
 10 Dallas, Texas 75201
 Telephone: (214) 978-4000
 11 Telecopier: (214) 978-4044
 12 mrobson@mckoolsmith.com

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Visto Corporation
 (Additional counsel listed on signature pages)

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16
 17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 18
 19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 VISTO CORPORATION,
 20 Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,
 21 v.
 22 RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED, and
 RESEARCH IN MOTION CORPORATION
 23 Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.

Case No. CV-08-0031-MSC

Court of Original Jurisdiction:

Civil Action No. 2-06-CV-181-TJW(CE)
 United States District Court For The Eastern
 District of Texas - Marshall Division

**STIPULATION OF COUNSEL RE: USE
 AND DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS
 SUBMITTED UNDER SEAL BY VISTO
 CORPORATION**

Date: April 23, 2008

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Judge: Magistrate Judge Larson

1 Plaintiff Visto Corporation (“Visto Corporation”) and Google Inc. (“Google”), by and
2 through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

3 1. Google agrees to abide by the terms of the Agreed Protective Order entered by the
4 U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas on April 2, 2007 in the original action, *Visto*
5 *Corporation. v. Research in Motion Limited and Research in Motion Corporation*, Case No. 2-
6 06-CV-181-TJW (CE). This agreement pertains only to Google’s and its outside counsel’s
7 receipt of the materials identified below in paragraphs 2 and 3, and is not an agreement that
8 Google agrees for any other purpose to be bound by the Agreed Protective Order. Specifically,
9 while Visto contends the Agreed Protective Order would apply to any information that Google
10 may eventually produce in response to Visto’s subpoena, Google does not agree by this
11 Stipulation that the Agreed Protective Order would be applicable to such information. A true
12 and correct copy of the Agreed Protective Order is attached to the accompanying Declaration of
13 Martin C. Robson and designated Exhibit 1.”
14

15
16 2. The Agreed Protective Order governs the manner in which Google may use and
17 disclose the protected information found in the below documents, which Visto Corporation
18 submitted under seal pursuant to the Agreed Protective Order and pursuant to Local Rule 79-5:

- 19 a. Visto Corporation’s Opposition to Google’s Motion to Quash Subpoena, or in
20 the Alternative, For Protective Order, and Visto Corporation’s Cross-Motion
21 to Compel Google to Comply with Third-Party Subpoena;
22 b. Declaration of Martin C. Robson in Support of Visto Corporation’s
23 Opposition to Google’s Motion to Quash Subpoena, or in the Alternative, For
24 Protective Order, and Visto Corporation’s Cross-Motion to Compel Google to
25 Comply with Third-Party Subpoena, including accompanying exhibits.

26 3. To the extent the above documents contain information designated as
27 “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” or “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only – Computer
28 Source Code,” Google agrees to comply with sections 5.2 and 5.3 of the Agreed Protective Order

1 by restricting the use and disclosure of such information only to its outside counsel as defined in
2 the Protective Order. In particular, Exhibits B, H, I, J and K contain RIM Confidential -
3 Attorney's Eyes Only information, and should be treated in accordance with section 5.2 of the
4 Agreed Protective Order.

5 4. Upon receiving Google's executed Written Acknowledgement to Abide by the
6 Terms of the Protective Order (Attachment A to the Agreed Protective Order), Visto Corporation
7 shall serve on Google the unredacted versions of the two documents set forth above.
8

9 Dated: April 3, 2008.

10
11 /s/ Charles M. Kagay

12 _____
13 Charles M. Kagay
14 California State Bar No. 73377
15 SPIEGEL LIAO & KAGAY, LLP
16 388 Market Street, Suite 900
17 San Francisco, California 94111
18 Telephone: (415) 956-5959
19 Telecopier: (415) 362-1431
20 cmk@slksf.com

21 Steven J. Pollinger
22 Texas State Bar No. 24011919
23 spollinger@mckoolsmith.com
24 Geoffrey L. Smith
25 Texas State Bar No. 24041939
26 gsmith@mckoolsmith.com
27 MCKOOL SMITH, P.C.
28 300 West Sixth Street, Suite 1700
Austin, Texas 78701
Telephone: (512) 692-8702
Telecopier: (512) 692-8744

1 Martin C. Robson
2 Texas State Bar No. 24004892
3 mrobson@mckoolsmith.com
4 McKool Smith, P.C.
5 300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500
6 Dallas, Texas 75201
7 Telephone: (214) 978-4000
8 Telecopier: (214) 978-4044

9 **ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**
10 **VISTO CORPORATION**

11 /s/ Khari J. Tillery

12 _____
13 Michael H. Page
14 Khari J. Tillery
15 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
16 710 Sansome Street
17 San Francisco, CA 94111
18 mpage@kvn.com
19 ktillery@kvn.com
20 415.391.5400 (phone)
21 415.397.7188 (fax)

22 **ATTORNEYS FOR GOOGLE, INC.**

23 SPIEGEL LIAO & KAGAY, LLP

24 I, Charles M. Kagay, attest that concurrence
25 in the filing of the document has been
26 obtained from each of the other signatories.

27 /s/ Charles M. Kagay
28 _____
Charles M. Kagay

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED:

United States Magistrate Judge