| 1 2 3 4 5 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417) michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 Rachel M. Herrick (Bar No. 191060) rachelherrick@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 | HEDGES, LLP | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| 7 8 | Redwood Shores, California 94065-213 Attorneys for Third-Party Google Inc. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | |
| 13 | CALCAR, INC., a California corporation; and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC., a Delaware | CASE NO. 3:08-mc-80083 MHP | |
| 14 | corporation, | DECLARATION OF ADAM BAREA IN SUPPORT OF THIRD PARTY GOOGLE | |
| 15 | Plaintiffs, | INC.'S (1) OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF CALCAR'S MOTION TO COMPEL, AND | |
| 16 | vs. | (2) CROSS-MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER | |
| 17 18 | THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual, | Date: May 23, 2008 Time: 2:00 pm | |
| 19 | Defendants. | Crtrm.: 15 | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| | | | |

I, Adam Barea, declare as follows:

I am a member of the bar of the State of New York, and a "Registered In

I submit this declaration to provide the court with information and exhibits

Google is a technology company based in Mountain View, California.

In 2007, Google.org issued a charitable grant to The California Cars

On March 18, 2008, Calcar, Inc. ("Calcar"), the plaintiff in a trademark

Google subsequently engaged in several meet-and-confer efforts with

House Counsel" attorney with the bar of the State of California. I am employed as in-house

counsel for third party Google Inc. ("Google"). I make this declaration of my personal and

cited in (1) Google's Opposition to Plaintiff Calcar, Inc.'s Notice of Motion and Motion to

Google.org is the philanthropic arm of Google Inc., and offers grants and other support to

Initiative ("TCCI"), a non-profit organization that promotes hybrid technology.

firsthand knowledge and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently

Compel Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Testimony, and (2) Google's Notice of Motion and Motion for

infringement action it brought against TCCI (to which Google is not a party), served Google with

two subpoenas for documents and deposition testimony, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure 45 and 30(b)(6). The deposition subpoena called for a deposition on April 7, 2008.

The document subpoena called for the production of certain documents on April 7, 2008. True

and correct copies of those two subpoenas are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, respectively.

Calcar in regarding both subpoenas. On April 4, 2008, Google legal assistant Suzanne Abbott

documents, but that it did not believe a deposition of Google was warranted or necessary. Later

Plaintiff's counsel Warren Bleeker. A true and correct copy of Google's written objections are

informed Calcar that it would consider producing documents responsive to the subpoena for

that same day, Ms. Abbott faxed Google's written objections to the deposition subpoena to

1.

2.

3.

5.

6.

attached hereto as Exhibit C.

organizations acting in the public interest.

thereto.

Protective Order.

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

•

-2-

Case No. 3:08-mc-80083 MHP

| | 7. | Also on April 4, 2008, Google received a facsimile from Mr. Bleeker |
|-----------------|---------|---|
| indicating that | , based | on Google's telephonic representation that it would not produce a witness |
| for the deposit | ion, Mr | . Bleeker would not travel to San Francisco, California, for the deposition |

- 8. On April 9, 2008, I spoke with Mr. Bleeker. I confirmed that Google would produce documents responsive to the document subpoena. I also stated Google's belief that the documents themselves would be sufficient to provide Calcar with the information it sought, and would demonstrate that no deposition would be necessary, given Google's lack of involvement in the subject matter of the underlying lawsuit. Accordingly, I asked that the issue of the deposition be deferred until Calcar had the opportunity to review the documents.
- 9. Google produced documents responsive to the document subpoena on April 11, 2008. Google supplemented its production with two additional responsive documents on April 28, 2008. The entire production is 47 pages, and consists of TCCI's grant proposal (dated February 25, 2007), the Grant Agreement (dated March 23, 2007), the grant letter from Google.org (dated April 23, 2007), a status report from TCCI (dated February 15, 2008), an affidavit of Felix Kramer regarding the grant (dated February 21, 2008), and a handful of assorted emails.
- I am informed and it is my understanding that several of the documents Google produced to Calcar had already been produced by defendant TCCI earlier in this action. I am further informed that the Plaintiff Calcar has already deposed defendant Felix Kramer and obtained testimony from Kramer concerning the Google.org grant agreement and the relationship and interactions between Google and TCCI.
- 11. Despite Google's document production on April 11, Calcar continued to press for a deposition under Rule 30(b)(6). In subsequent meet-and-confer efforts, on April 17, 2008, Mr. Bleeker indicated that Google need not file a motion for protective order because Calcar intended to move to compel the deposition anyway. When I asked Mr. Bleeker what information he thought Google possessed that would be in any way relevant to Calcar's trademark infringement action, Mr. Bleeker was unable to identify anything specific.

-3-

DECLARATION OF ADAM BAREA

12. TCCI does not buy advertising from Google or sell advertising to Google.

| 1 | | 13. | TCCI does not participate in any of Google's advertising programs (such as |
|----|-----------------|---------|--|
| 2 | AdSense). | , | |
| 3 | | 14. | Google is not involved in TCCI's business or marketing practices. Google |
| 4 | is not a custor | ner of | TCCI. |
| 5 | | I decl | lare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America |
| 6 | that the forego | oing is | true and correct. Executed this 1 day of May, 2008 at Mountain View, |
| 7 | California. | | \sim |
| 8 | | | PC () |
| 9 | | • | Adam Barea |
| 10 | | * | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | <u>.</u> | | • |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | • | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | • |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | • | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| | ! | | • |

51320/2483670.351320/2 83670.2

28



Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern DISTRICT OF California

CALCAR, INC., a California corporation; and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC., a California corporation,

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual,

Case Number 'SACV07-00723 AG (JWJx) Central District of California

| TO: GOOGLE, INC. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway | |
|---|---|
| Mountain View, California 94043 | |
| YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court a | t the place date and time enseited below to |
| testify in the above case. | |
| PLACE OF TESTBIONY | COURTROOM |
| | |
| | DATE AND TIME |
| | |
| | |
| YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified in the above case. | sclow to testify at the taking of a deposition in |
| PLACE OF DEPOSITION | DATE AND TIME |
| Arnold & Porter, LLP, 90 New Montgomery St. | April 7, 2008 |
| San Franciso, CA 94105, (415) 356-3000 | 9:30 a.m. |
| PLACE | DATE AND TIME |
| , | |
| YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises | at the date and time specified below. |
| PREMISES | DATE AND TIME |
| | |
| Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoensed for the taking of a d | POOSITION Shall designable see or more officer |
| directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf | . and may set forth, for each person designated |
| the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b) | (6). |
| ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLANTIFF OR DEFENDA | NT) DATE |
| Attorneys for Plaintiff | s March 17 , 2008 |
| ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME ADORESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER | • |
| G. Warren Bleeker, Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP, 35 Pasadena, California 91105, (626) 795-9900 | 0 W. Colorado Blvd., #500 |

Rule 45. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (c), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPORNAS.

(i) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpocus shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpocus. The court of behalf of which the subpocus was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in heach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or ungible things, or inspection of premites need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this sule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoens or before the time specified for compliance designated in the hubboens written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subposes shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoens was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoens may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection. copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compet shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

- (3) (A) On timely metion, the court by which a subpoons was issued shall quash or modify the subposms if it
 - (i) thits to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (i) find to above reasonable time for compliance, (ii) requires a person who is not a party of an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person regides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to accord trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

 (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and
- no exception of waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) If a subports
 (i) requires disclosure of a trade sever or other confidential research. development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained experts opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to inear substitutial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoents, quark or modify the subpoents or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoent is issued shows a substantial need for the restimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the suppoent is addressed will be ressorably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.
- (4) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.
- (1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand,
- (B) If a subpocts does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoens must produce the information in a form of forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.
- (C) A person responding to a subports need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- electronically stored information in more than one form.

 (D) A person responding to a subpoent need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burdon or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is snaght must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burdon or cost. If that showing is made, the court may anotheless order discovery from such sources if therequesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(0)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
- (2) (A) When information subject to a subpoeta is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the casture of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- the demanding party to contest the claim.

 (B) Hinformation is produced in response to a subposma that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, acquester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or displace the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- e) CONTEMPT. Follows of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subportal served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subportal issued. An adequate cause for follow to obey exists when a subposen purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (cx3xA).

ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. The term "TCCI" refers to The California Cars Initiative, Inc. its predecessors, successors, assigns, and all persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf, including but not limited to its employees, officers, directors, shareholders, independent contractors, consultants, affiliates, accountants, and attorneys.
- 2. The term "GOOGLE" refers to Google, Inc. its predecessors, successors, assigns, and all persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf, including but not limited to its employees, officers, directors, shareholders, independent contractors, consultants, affiliates, accountants, and attorneys.
- 3. The term "COMMUNICATIONS" means any transmission of information, whether oral or in writing (including electronic communications).

SUBJECT MATTERS

- 1. Any agreements or contracts between GOOGLE and TCCI.
- 2. Any agreements or contracts between GOOGLE and Felix Kramer.
- 3. Any COMMUNICATIONS between GOOGLE and TCCI.
- 4. Any COMMUNICATIONS between GOOGLE and Felix Kramer.
- 5. The Google.org grant to TCCI to promote plug-in hybrid vehicle education.

SC PAS783160.1-4-03/17/08 11:43 AM

| 1 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1 | ART HASAN, CA Bur No. 167323 | | |
| 2 | art,hasan@cph.com BRIAN K. BROOKEY, CA Bar No. 149522 | | |
| 3 | brian.brookey@cph.com G. WARREN BLEEKER, CA Bar No. 210834 | | |
| 4 | warren.bleeker@cph.com CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP | | |
| 5 | 350 West Colorado Boulevard, Suite 500 Post Office Box 7068 | | |
| 6 | Pasadena, California 91109-7068 Telephone: (626) 795-9900 | | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (626) 577-8800 | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff, CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 10 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | ODIVITOID DIDITAGE | | |
| 12 | CALCAR, INC., a California | Case No. SACV07-00723 AG (JWJx) | |
| 13 | corporation; and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC., a Delaware corporation, | NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF | |
| 14 | Plaintiffs, | GOOGLE, INC. | |
| 15 | vs. | DATE: April 7, 2008 | |
| 16 | THE CALIFORNIA CARS | TIME: 9:30 a.m. PLACE: Arnold & Porter, LLP | |
| 17 | INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, | 90 New Montgomery St. San Francisco, CA 94105 | |
| 18 | an individual, | (415) 356-3000 | |
| 19 | Defendants. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR | ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: | |
| | PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, under Rule 30(b)(6) and 45 of the Federal Rules | | |
| 22 | of Civil Procedure, CALCAR, INC. AND AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. will | | |
| 23 | take the deposition upon oral examination of GOOGLE, INC., last known address | | |
| 24 | is 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, commencing on 9:30 | | |
| 25 | a.m. at Arnold & Porter, LLP located at 90 New Montgomery Street, San | | |
| 34 | Il mine at the field and a color, when to the control | monegoment ander and | |

Francisco, California 94105, (415) 356-3000, or at another time and place

mutually agreed upon by counsel for the parties and the deponent.

27

. 28

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), the deponent is obligated to designate one or more of its officers, directors, managing agents or other consenting persons to testify in this action as to matters known or reasonably available to the deponent with respect to the subject matters listed on Attachment A to the attached subpoena. You are invited to attend and crossexamine. It is hereby requested that the deponent identify those persons whom it will designate to testify concerning the listed matters by no later than seven days prior to the date of deposition.

The deposition will be taken before an officer authorized to administer oaths by the laws of the United States. The deposition will be recorded stenographically via the use of real-time reporting.

DATED: March 17, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Procedure, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document described as

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF GOOGLE, INC.; SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL

CASE was served on the parties in this action by U.S. Mail addressed as follows:

I certify that on March 17, 2008, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil

1 2

3

5

б

7

8

9

William C. Rooklidge Russell B. Hill Bobby A. Ghajar HOWREY LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1700 Irvine, California 92614-8557

Attorneys for Defendants, THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC. and FELIX KRAMER

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Joshua A. Burt HOWREY LLP 550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90071

Attorneys for Defendants, THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC. and FELIX KRAMER

I declare that I am employed by a member of the bar of this Court, at whose direction this service was made.

Executed on March 17, 2008 at Pasadene, California.

Sonia Casas

Allakiti; svenim s dviti co

EXHIBIT B

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALCAR, INC., a California corporation; and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC., a California corporation,

(CORRECTED) SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

V.
THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual,

Case Number, SACV07-00723 AG (JWJx) Central District of California

| | , |
|--|--|
| TO: GOOGLE, INC., | |
| 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway | • |
| Mountain View, California 94043 | |
| YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at | the place, date, and time specified below to |
| testify in the above case. | |
| PLACE OF TESTIMONY | COURTROOM |
| | |
| | DATE AND TIME |
| | |
| YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified be the above case. | clow to testify at the taking of a deposition in |
| PLACE OF DEPOSITION | DATE AND TIME |
| | |
| | <u> </u> |
| | |
| PLACE | DATE AND TIME |
| Arnold & Porter LLP 90 New Montgomery Street, suite 600 | April 4, 2008 |
| San Francisco, California 94105, (415) 356-3000 | E0700 Equit |
| YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises | at the date and time specified below. |
| PREMISES | DATE AND TIME |
| | • 1 |
| Any organization not a party to this suit that is suppoenzed for the taking of a d | mastles shall declared an array mast |
| directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to teatify on its behalf, | |
| the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b) | • • • |
| ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEPENDA | NY) DATE |
| A laboration of the state of th | March 24 2009 |
| Attorneys for Plaintiff ISSUING OFFICERS NAME ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER | s March 27 .2008 |
| G. Warren Bleeker, Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP, 35 Pasadena, CA 91105, (626) 795-9900 | 00 W. Colorado Blvd., |
| | |

⁽See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (a), (d), and (e), on next page)

If petion is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number, .

| | PROOF OF SERVICE |
|--|--|
| DATE | PLACE |
| | • |
| SERVED | |
| SERVED ON (PRINT NAME) | MANUER OF SERVICE |
| | |
| Serveo by (Print Maxé) | Trice |
| · | |
| | DECLARATION OF SERVER |
| I declare under penalty of perjury under the | laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in t |
| Proof of Service is true and correct. | |
| Ехесијед ол | |
| DAYS | SIGNATURE OF SERVER |
| • | |
| | ADORESS OF SERVER |

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006; (c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (i) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subposes shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subposeta. The court on behalf of which the subposeta was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or enforce in the duty and dispose upon the party or enforce in the duty and appropriate senction, which may include, but is not limited to, tost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.

 (2)(A) A response of the property of the pro
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpocts or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoens written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested, if objection is made, the party serving the subpoents shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an orth of the court by which the subpocus was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpocus may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection. copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compet shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpocus was issued shall quash or modify the subpoens if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and
 to exception or waiver applies; or

- (iv) subjects a person to under burden.
- (8) If a subpocta
 (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research. development, or onninercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclurure of an unretained expent's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expents study made not at the request of any party, or

- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to mavel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court muy, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subporna, quast or modify the subporna or, if the party in whose behalf the subporna is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardring and essures that the person to whom the subports is addressed will be restorably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.
- (d) DUTIES IN KESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.
- (1) (A) A person responding to a subspons to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the estegories in the demand.
- (B) If a subposta does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subposen must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarity maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.
- (C) A person responding to a subpoent need not product the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) A person responding to a subpoems need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifier as not reasonably accountile because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compet discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or coat. If that showing is made, the open may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The cours may specify conditions for the discovery,
- (2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withhold on a chim that it is privileged or subject to protention as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the domanding party to contest the claim.
- (B) If information is produced in response to a subpoons that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being holdfied, a party must promptly cetum, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or discinct the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly preparate the information to the court under seel for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being matified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- c) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoens served upon that person may be deemed a consempt of the coun-from which the subpoens assued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoens purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3XA).

EXHIBIT A

DEFINITIONS:

- 1. The term "TCCI" refers to The California Cars Initiative, Inc. its predecessors, successors, assigns, and all persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf, including but not limited to its employees, officers, directors, shareholders, independent contractors, consultants, affiliates, accountants, and attorneys.
- 2. The term "GOOGLE" refers to Google, Inc. its predecessors, successors, assigns, and all persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf, including but not limited to its employees, officers, directors, shareholders, independent contractors, consultants, affiliates, accountants, and attorneys.

DOCUMENT REQUESTS:

- Any agreements or contracts between GOOGLE and TCCI.
- 2. Any agreements or contracts between GOOGLE and Felix Kramer.

SC PAS784737,1-4-03/24/08 2:22 PM

| 1 | ART HASAN, CA Bar No. 167323 art.hasan@cph.com | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | BRIAN K. BROOKEY, CA Bar No. 149522 | | |
| 3 | brian.brookey@cph.com G. WARREN BLEEKER, CA Bar No. 210834 | | |
| 4 | warren.bleeker@cph.com CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP | | |
| 5 | 350 West Colorado Boulevard, Suite 500 Post Office Box 7068 | | |
| 6 | Pasadena, California 91109-7068 Telephone: (626) 795-9900 | | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (626) 577-8800 | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff, CALCAR INC. and AMERICAN | | |
| 9 | CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | CALCAR DIC + Odio- | CN BACKIOS AOSON ACCONTIN | |
| | CALCAR, INC., a California corporation; and AMERICAN | Case No. SACV07-00723 AG (JWJx) | |
| 13 | CALCAR, INC., a Delaware corporation, | SUBPOENA FOR PRODUCTION | |
| 14 | Plaintiffs, | OF DOCUMENTS | |
| 15 | . Vs. | DATE: April 4, 2008 TIME: 10:00 a.m. | |
| 16 | THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown | PLACE: Arnold & Porter, LLP | |
| 17 | business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual, | 90 New Montgomery Street, # 600 San Francisco California 94105 | |
| 18 | Defendants. | · | |
| 19 | Detendants. | | |
| 20 | TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: | | |
| 21 | PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civi | | |
| 22 | Procedure 45, GOOGLE, INC. will be required by subpoena to produce for | | |
| 23 | inspection and copying, the materials designated on Attachment A to the attached | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |

CHRIST-MOORAHALEUP

subpocna on April 4, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. at Arnold & Porter, LLP, 90 New Montgomery Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, California 94105.

DATED: March 24, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By C Worrel Blocker

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Procedure, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document described as

(CORRECTED) NOTICE OF SUBPOENA FOR PRODUCTION OF

DOCUMENTS was served on the parties in this action by U.S. Mail addressed as

I certify that on March 24, 2008, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil

follows:

William C. Rooklidge Russell B. Hill Bobby A. Ghajar HOWREY LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1700 Irvine, California 92614-8557

Attorneys for Defendants, THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC. and FELIX KRAMER

Joshua A. Burt HOWREY LLP 550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90071

Attorneys for Defendants, THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC. and FELIX KRAMER

I declare that I am employed by a member of the bar of this Court, at whose direction this service was made.

Executed on March 24, 2008 at Pasadona, California.

CHARLE SAME ASSESSED.



Google Inc. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, California 94043 Google

Tel: 650.253.3425 Fax: 650.249.3429 www.google.com

April 4, 2008

Via Facsimile Only (626)577-8800

G. Warren Bleeker Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP 350 W. Colorado Bivd. Pasadena, CA 91109

Re: CalCar Inc. and American CalCar, Inc. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc and Felix Kramer. (Internal Ref. No. 63115-21699) and previous letter from Google dated 4/4/2008.

Dear G. Warren Bleeker:

We have received your subpoena for deposition, dated 03-17-2008, in the above-referenced matter.

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and all analogous rules under any applicable state law, Google Inc. ("Google"), which is not a party to the underlying action, responds and objects to the subpoena for deposition testimony and the deposition topics (the "Topics") for at least the following reasons.

- 1. Google objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition on the grounds that it imposes an undue burden on Google, a non-party, to appear as a witness. Google also objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition on the grounds that the information sought can be obtained through less burdensome means, including from the parties to the case.
- 2. Google objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition on the grounds it is vague, overbroad, duplicative, cumulative, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. Google objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition to the extent that the subpoena is abusively drawn and served for the purpose of annoying and harassing Google, a non-party.
- Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony that has been, or could be, obtained from any of the parties to the underlying litigation or by less burdensome means.
- 4. Google objects to the Topics on the grounds that they impose an undue burden and demand that Google, a non-party, appear as a witness at its own expense. To the extent that Google appears as a witness pursuant to the subpoena, Google shall only do so upon compensation for costs, including attorney fees, related to the deposition.
- 5. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony that contains, or may contain, trade secrets, or other confidential business or commercial information entitled to protection under applicable common law, statutes or rules. Google objects to the extent that any Stipulated Protective Order entered in the case does not provide sufficient protection for the information sought from Google.
- 6. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable

Tel: 650.253.3425 Fax: 650.249.3429 www.google.com

privilege or immunity. To the extent that Google testifies in response to the Topics, Google will not testify as to anything protected by such privileges or immunities, and any inadvertent disclosure shall not be deemed to constitute a waiver of any such privilege or immunity.

- 7. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek information that is not within Google's knowledge.
- 8. Google objects to the Topics to the extent that they are vague, overbroad or unduly burdensome.
- 9. Google objects to the Topics to the extent that they call for testimony that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 10. Google objects to the Topics to the extent that it seek testimony or impose obligations beyond what is permissible under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any applicable local rules.
- 11. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony concerning a large range of topics in only a short time frame for providing the testimony. To the extent that Google appears as a witness pursuant to the subpoena, Google shall do so at a mutually agreeable time and place.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Legal Investigations Support Department at LEGAL-COMPLIANCE@GOOGLE.COM. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Suzanne Abbott 2008.04.04

12:53:18 -07'00'

Suzanne Abbott Legal Investigations Support