1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ART HASAN, CA Bar No. 167323 art.hasan@cph.com BRIAN K. BROOKEY, CA Bar No. 16 brian.brookey@cph.com G. WARREN BLEEKER, CA Bar No. warren.bleeker@cph.com CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 West Colorado Boulevard, Suite 5 Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, California 91109-7068 Telephone: (626) 795-9900 Facsimile: (626) 577-8800	. 210834 00	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC.		
9		DIGEDICE COLIDE	
10		DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
12			
13	CALCAR, INC., a California corporation; and AMERICAN	Case No. 3:08-MC-80083 MHP (WDBx)	
14	CALCAR, INC., a Delaware corporation,	DECLARATION OF G. WARREN	
15	Plaintiff(s),	BLEEKER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO	
16	vs.	GOOGLE'S MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER	
17	THE CALIFORNIA CARS	DATE: May 14, 2008	
18 19	INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual,	TIME: 1:00 p.m. CTRM: 4, Oakland	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
20			

#### I, G. Warren Bleeker, declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am an associate with the law firm Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP, counsel for Plaintiffs in this Miscellaneous Action, and am admitted to practice before the United States District Courts for the Central and Northern Districts of California. I have personal knowledge of the contents of this declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. On March 18, 2008, Calcar served Google with a deposition subpoena and a check for witness fees and anticipated mileage. Attached as Exhibit A is a true copy of the deposition subpoena, notice of deposition and proof of service.
- 3. Prior to the deposition date of April 7, I contacted Google to determine who from Google would be attending the deposition. On Friday, April 4, Google employee Suzanne Abbott (a Google legal assistant) responded and told me that Google generally did not sit for third party depositions and would probably not produce a witness for the deposition the following Monday. I requested that Google's litigation counsel contact me to discuss the issue further.
- 4. Later in the afternoon of April 4, Google, again through Ms. Abbott, faxed written objections to Calcar's deposition subpoena noticed for Monday, April 7. Attached as Exhibit B is a true copy of Google's written objections. Ms. Abbott also confirmed by telephone that Google would not produce any witness for deposition on April 7.
- 5. On the afternoon of April 4, I notified Ms. Abbott that, based on her representation that Google refused to make a representative available for deposition on April 7, Calcar would not incur the costs of traveling to San Francisco for the deposition. I again asked Ms. Abbott to have Google's litigation counsel contact me to discuss whether Google would appear for deposition. Attached as Exhibit C is a true copy of my correspondence to Ms. Abbott containing this information.

6. On April 7, 2008, Judge Andrew J. Guilford, Central District Court Judge, extended the deposition discovery cut-off date from April 8 to May 19, 2008 to allow Calcar to request relevant documents and testimony from Google, among others. Attached as Exhibit D is a true copy of that Order.

- 7. On April 9, 2008, Google's counsel finally responded to me and stated that Google wanted to defer the issue of complying with the deposition subpoena until after Google had produced documents in response to the separate subpoena for documents. Google produced documents on Friday, April 11. Having reviewed the documents, I again contacted Google's counsel on Monday, April 14 and Tuesday, April 15. Due to Google's counsel's schedule, the parties were not able to discuss whether Google would comply with the subpoena until April 17. On April 17, counsel for Google, Adam Barea and I, met and conferred. Google again refused to provide a witness in compliance with the subpoena.
- 8. On April 18, 2008, Calcar filed a motion to compel Google's deposition testimony and filed a motion to shorten time so that if the motion is granted, the deposition could take place before the May 19 deposition cutoff date. On May 2, 2008, Google filed a motion for a protective order. Google did not file its own motion to shorten time until late in the evening on May 8.
- 9. Defendants TCCI and Kramer have taken the position in the underlying litigation pending in the Central District of California, that because TCCI is purportedly a "non-profit," TCCI does not receive any "profits." This issue is currently pending in a discovery dispute pending in the underlying matter.
- 10. A protective order has been entered in the underlying action. I provided a copy of the protective order to Google on April 4, 2008. Attached as Exhibit E is a true copy of my correspondence to Google attaching the protective order. Google has utilized that protective order, designating certain emails as confidential.

11. Google has produced a "CalCars Due Diligence Report" that had never been produced by TCCI or Kramer. A true copy is attached as Exhibit F. Google did not designate this document as confidential.

12. After Google finally confirmed its refusal to make a corporate representative available for deposition, Calcar was required to open a miscellaneous action and to move to compel Google's deposition. After this Court granted Calcar's unopposed motion to shorten time and set the hearing for May 14, Google demanded that Calcar agree, within two hours, that Google's motion could also be heard on May 14, and that Calcar also agree to waive its right to file any opposition. Calcar did not agree to such an unfair proposition. Google then filed a motion to shorten time, but changed its position to allow Calcar the opportunity to file an opposition — a position it failed to offer during Google's self-imposed two hour meet and confer window.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed on May 12, 2008, in Pasadena, California.

G. Warren Bleeker

SCL PAS794197.1-\*-05/12/08 2:05 PM

Exhibit A

#### Issued by the

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern DISTRICT OF California				
CALCAR, INC., a California corporation; and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC., a California corporation,  V.  THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual,	SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE  Case Number: SACV07-00723 AG (JWJx) Central District of California			
TO: GOOGLE, INC.  1600 Amphitheatre Parkway  Mountain View, California 94043  YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States Ditestify in the above case.				
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM			
	DATE AND TIME			
X YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case.				
PLACE OF DEPOSITION  Arnold & Porter, LLP, 90 New Montgomery St.  San Franciso, CA 94105, (415) 356-3000	April 7, 2008 9:30 a.m.			
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):				
PLACE	DATE AND TIME			
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following	*			
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME			
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify of the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Proceedings	on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated,			
issuing officer's signature and title (indicate if attorney for plaintiff  Attorneys for P)				
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER G. Warren Bleeker, Christie, Parker & Hale, Pasadena, California 91105, (626) 795-9900				

<sup>(</sup>See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

<sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a	Civil Case		
		PROOF OF SERVICE	
	DATE	PLACE	
SERVED			
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE	
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE	
SERVED BY (FRINT NAME)		IIILE	
		DECLARATION OF SERVER	
I declare under penalty	of perjury under the	laws of the United States of America that the fore	egoing information contained in the
Proof of Service is true and	correct.		
Executed on			
<del></del>	DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER	
		ADDRESS OF SERVER	ě

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006: (c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
  - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
  - (B) If a subpocna
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.
- (d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.
- (1)(A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.
- (C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
- (2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

1 2 3	ART HASAN, CA Bar No. 167323 art.hasan@cph.com BRIAN K. BROOKEY, CA Bar No. 14 brian.brookey@cph.com G. WARREN BLEEKER, CA Bar No. warren.bleeker@cph.com				
5	CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 West Colorado Boulevard, Suite 500 Post Office Box 7068				
6	Pasadena, California 91109-7068 Telephone: (626) 795-9900 Facsimile: (626) 577-8800				
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff, CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC.				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10 11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	CALCAR, INC., a California	Case No. SACV07-00723 AG (JWJx			
13	corporation; and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC., a Delaware corporation,				
14	Plaintiffs,	GOOGLE, INC.			
15 16 17	vs.  THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual,	DATE: April 7, 2008 TIME: 9:30 a.m. PLACE: Arnold & Porter, LLP 90 New Montgomery St. San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 356-3000			
18 19	Defendants.				
20	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:			
22	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, under Ru	ale 30(b)(6) and 45 of the Federal Rules			
23	of Civil Procedure, CALCAR, INC. AND AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. will				
24 -	take the deposition upon oral examination of GOOGLE, INC., last known address				
25	is 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043, commencing on 9:30				
_ 11	a.m. at Arnold & Porter, LLP located	at 90 New Montgomery Street, San			

Francisco, California 94105, (415) 356-3000, or at another time and place

mutually agreed upon by counsel for the parties and the deponent.

28

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), the deponent is obligated to designate one or more of its officers, directors, managing agents or other consenting persons to testify in this action as to matters known or reasonably available to the deponent with respect to the subject matters listed on Attachment A to the attached subpoena. You are invited to attend and cross-examine. It is hereby requested that the deponent identify those persons whom it will designate to testify concerning the listed matters by no later than seven days prior to the date of deposition.

The deposition will be taken before an officer authorized to administer oaths by the laws of the United States. The deposition will be recorded stenographically via the use of real-time reporting.

DATED: March 17, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

G. Warren Bleeker

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN

CALCAR, INC.

### 2

### 3 4 5

## 6 7

# 8

# 9

# 10

### 11

# 12

### 13

# 14

# 15

# 16

### 17 18

### 19

### 20

### 21 22

### 23

#### 24

#### 25

#### 26

#### 27

#### 28

#### **ATTACHMENT A**

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- The term "TCCI" refers to The California Cars Initiative, Inc. its predecessors, successors, assigns, and all persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf, including but not limited to its employees, officers, directors, shareholders, independent contractors, consultants, affiliates, accountants, and attorneys.
- 2. The term "GOOGLE" refers to Google, Inc. its predecessors, successors, assigns, and all persons acting or purporting to act on its behalf, including but not limited to its employees, officers, directors, shareholders, independent contractors, consultants, affiliates, accountants, and attorneys.
- The term "COMMUNICATIONS" means any transmission of 3. information, whether oral or in writing (including electronic communications).

### **SUBJECT MATTERS**

- 1. Any agreements or contracts between GOOGLE and TCCI.
- 2. Any agreements or contracts between GOOGLE and Felix Kramer.
- 3. Any COMMUNICATIONS between GOOGLE and TCCI.
- 4. Any COMMUNICATIONS between GOOGLE and Felix Kramer.
- 5. The Google.org grant to TCCI to promote plug-in hybrid vehicle education.

SC PAS783160.1-\*-03/17/08 11:43 AM

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Procedure, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document described as

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF GOOGLE, INC.; SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL

CASE was served on the parties in this action by U.S. Mail addressed as follows:

I certify that on March 17, 2008, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

27

28

Joshua A. Burt HOWREY LLP 550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90071

Attorneys for Defendants, THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC. and FELIX KRAMER

William C. Rooklidge Russell B. Hill Bobby A. Ghajar HOWREY LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1700 Irvine, California 92614-8557

Attorneys for Defendants, THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC. and FELIX KRAMER

I declare that I am employed by a member of the bar of this Court, at whose direction this service was made.

Executed on March 17, 2008 at Pasadena, California.

Sonia Casas

usas

CHRISTO , PARKER & HALE, LLP

<i>•</i>			P
			For Court Use Only
			19
o: 626 577-8800			
R	ef. No. or File No.:		1
	93251		
ich Court:			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA ANA			
laintiff: CALCAR, INC			
Defendant: THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC			
Hearing Date:	Time:	Dept/Div:	Case Number:
Fri, Apr. 04, 2008	10:00AM		SACV07-00723
	nch Court: DF CALIFORNIA, SA TATIVE, INC Hearing Date:	Ref. No. or File No.: 93251  ach Court: DF CALIFORNIA, SANTA ANA  TATIVE, INC  Hearing Date:  Time:	Ref. No. or File No.: 93251  OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA ANA  TATIVE, INC  Hearing Date: Time: Dept/Div:

- 1. At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
- 2. I served copies of the SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE; NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF GOOGLE, INC.

3. a. Party served:

GOOGLE, INC

b. Person served:

BECKY DE GEORGE, AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS

4. Address where the party was served:

CSC LAWYERS INCORPORATING SERVICE

2730 GATEWAY OAKS

#100

SACRAMENTO, CA 95833

5. I served the party:

a. by personal service. I personally delivered the documents listed in item 2 to the party or person authorized to receive service of process for the party (1) on: Tue., Mar. 18, 2008 (2) at: 10:52AM

b. I received this subpena for service on:

Tuesday, March 18, 2008

6. Witness fees were offered or demanded, and paid:

\$68.00

- 7. Person Who Served Papers:
  - a. SCOTT A. OLIVER
  - b. CORPORATE LEGAL SERVICES
    PO BOX 27975, Registration # 2296
    LOS ANGELES, CA 90027
  - c. 213 202-6030, FAX 213 202-6045

Recoverable Cost Per CCP 1033.5(a)(4)(B)

- d. The Fee for Service was:
- e. I am: (3) registered California process server
  - (i) Independent Contractor
  - (ii) Registration No.:
- 2005-35

(iii) County:

Sacramento

8. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct

Date: Tue, Mar. 18, 2008

PROOF OF SERVICE SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL

(SCOTT A. OLIVER)

31982.chrpa.31982

Judicial Council Form Rule 982.9.(a)&(b) Rev January 1, 2007

Exhibit B

1000007 1073 From Suzanne Appon

Google Inc. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, California 94043



Tel: 650.253.3425 Fax: 650.249.3429 www.google.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET				
TO: G. Warren Bleek	FROM: stren Bleeker Suzanne Abbott			
COMPANY: Christie, Parke	r & Hale, LLP	DATE:		
FAX NUMBER: (626)577-8800		April 4, 2008  TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 3		
PHONE NUMBER: (626)795-9900		<b>SENDER'S FAX NUMBER</b> 650-249-3429		
RE: Subpoena dated 03-17-2008		SENDER'S TELEPHONE NUMBER:		
URGENT	☐ FOR REVIEW	☐ PLEASE COMMENT	☐ PLEASE REPLY	
NOTES/COMMENT	S:			

Subpoena dated 03-17-2008 (Internal Ref. No. 21699)

Google Inc. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, California 94043



Tel: 650.253.3425 Fax: 650.249.3429 www.google.com

April 4, 2008

Via Facsimile Only (626)577-8800

G. Warren Bleeker Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP 350 W. Colorado Blvd. Pasadena, CA 91109

Re: CalCar Inc. and American CalCar, Inc. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc and Felix Kramer. (Internal Ref. No. 63115-21699) and previous letter from Google dated 4/4/2008.

Dear G. Warren Bleeker:

We have received your subpoena for deposition, dated 03-17-2008, in the above-referenced matter.

Pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and all analogous rules under any applicable state law, Google Inc. ("Google"), which is not a party to the underlying action, responds and objects to the subpoena for deposition testimony and the deposition topics (the "Topics") for at least the following reasons.

- 1. Google objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition on the grounds that it imposes an undue burden on Google, a non-party, to appear as a witness. Google also objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition on the grounds that the information sought can be obtained through less burdensome means, including from the parties to the case.
- 2. Google objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition on the grounds it is vague, overbroad, duplicative, cumulative, unduly burdensome, and oppressive. Google objects to the subpoena to appear for a deposition to the extent that the subpoena is abusively drawn and served for the purpose of annoying and harassing Google, a non-party.
- 3. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony that has been, or could be, obtained from any of the parties to the underlying litigation or by less burdensome means.
- 4. Google objects to the Topics on the grounds that they impose an undue burden and demand that Google, a non-party, appear as a witness at its own expense. To the extent that Google appears as a witness pursuant to the subpoena, Google shall only do so upon compensation for costs, including attorney fees, related to the deposition.
- 5. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony that contains, or may contain, trade secrets, or other confidential business or commercial information entitled to protection under applicable common law, statutes or rules. Google objects to the extent that any Stipulated Protective Order entered in the case does not provide sufficient protection for the information sought from Google.
- 6. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable

Google Inc. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, California 94043



Tel: 650.253.3425 Fax: 650.249.3429 www.google.com

privilege or immunity. To the extent that Google testifies in response to the Topics, Google will not testify as to anything protected by such privileges or immunities, and any inadvertent disclosure shall not be deemed to constitute a waiver of any such privilege or immunity.

- 7. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek information that is not within Google's knowledge.
- 8. Google objects to the Topics to the extent that they are vague, overbroad or unduly burdensome.
- 9. Google objects to the Topics to the extent that they call for testimony that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 10. Google objects to the Topics to the extent that it seek testimony or impose obligations beyond what is permissible under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any applicable local rules.
- 11. Google objects to the Topics to the extent they seek testimony concerning a large range of topics in only a short time frame for providing the testimony. To the extent that Google appears as a witness pursuant to the subpoena, Google shall do so at a mutually agreeable time and place.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Legal Investigations Support Department at LEGAL-COMPLIANCE@GOOGLE.COM. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Suzanne

Abbott 2008.04.04

12:53:18 -07'00'

Suzanne Abbott Legal Investigations Support

Exhibit C



PASADENA OFFICE
350 W. Colorado Blvd., Suite 500
Pasadena, CA 91105
Post Office Box 7068
Pasadena, CA 91109-7068
E-mail: info@cph.com
Tel: (626) 795-9900 · Fax: (626) 577-8800

Via Facsimile

April 4, 2008

Suzanne Abbott Google, Inc. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, CA 94043

Phone: 650-253-0000 Fax: 650-249-3429

Re: Calcar, Inc. et al. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc., et al.;

CPH Ref. C685:100.1

Dear Ms. Abbott:

I have received your recent correspondence containing your boilerplate objections and acknowledgement of Google's receipt of the March 17, 2008 deposition subpoena. Service of objections more than 14 days after personal service, and the business day prior to the deposition date, does nothing to absolve Google from having to comply with the deposition subpoena. If Google did not intend to comply, it should have promptly filed a motion to quash the subpoena after it was personally served, instead of waiting 17 days and then sending boilerplate objections.

Based on your representation to me today that Google is refusing to comply with the subpoena and will not appear for deposition on Monday, April 7, I will not incur the costs of traveling to San Francisco for the deposition on Monday. However, please advise me immediately, and by no later than Monday, April 7, of alternate dates Google intends to make itself available for deposition, or if Google simply will not make itself available for deposition.

Be aware that by failing to appear for deposition on Monday, Google will be in contempt of Court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(e), and if Google fails to make itself available for deposition at all, Plaintiffs will promptly file an application for an order to show cause why a contempt citation should not issue and will seek all appropriate sanctions. This correspondence shall serve as Plaintiffs' request to meet and confer on this topic.

In addition, you represented to me earlier today that Google might be able to produce documents responsive to the business records subpoen a sometime next week. Please provide me a date certain for service of responsive documents. Also,

James B. Christie (1904-1959) Robert L. Parker (1920-1980) C. Russell Hale (1916-2004)

D. Bruce Prout Walter G. Maxwell David A. Dillard Thomas J. Daly Edward R. Schwartz John D. Carpenter Wesley W. Monroe David A. Plumley Gregory S. Lampert Mark Garscia Syed A. Hasan Robert A. Green Howard A. Kroll Michael J. MacDermott Anne Wang Constantine Marantidis Daniel M. Cavanagh Gary J. Nelson Raymond R. Tabandeh Josephine E. Chang Jun-Young E. Jeon Brian K. Brookey Tom H. Dao David 1. Steele Gary S. Dukarich Peter C. Hsueh Oliver S. Bairacharva Lauren E. Schneider Saeid Mirsafian, Ph.D.\* Gabriel Fitch Tiffany A. Parcher Jonas J. Hodges Steven E. Lauridsen Patrick J. Orme Nikki M. Dossman Derek W. Yeung G. Warren Bleeker Jason C. Martone Jeffrey T. Burgess Uyen N. Tang Joshua T. Chu Anne F. Bradley Joseph J. Mellema Daniel L. Essig

Patent Agent Brigitte C. Phan, Ph.D.

#### Of Counsel

Hayden A. Carney Richard J. Ward, Jr. Richard A. Wallen Daniel R. Kimbell Richard J. Paciulan\*\*

\*Admitted only in IL \*\*Admitted only in MA Suzanne Abbott Google, Inc. April 4, 2008 Page 2

Plaintiffs request that you withdraw all objections based on confidentiality, given that a protective order is in place in this matter, a copy of which I faxed to you earlier today. This correspondence shall serve as Plaintiffs' request to meet and confer on these topics also.

Very truly yours,

G. Warren Bleeker

GWB PAS787972.1-\*-04/4/08 3:46 PM



# facsimile Transmittal

Date: April 4, 2008

No. of Pages: 3 (including this cover sheet)

Fax No.: (650) 249-3429

# PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES IMMEDIATELY TO:

Name: Suzanne Abbott

Google, Inc.

Phone: (650) 253-0000

Your Ref:

From: G. Warren Bleeker

Re: Calcar, Inc. et al. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc., et al.

File: C685:100.1

Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP

350 West Colorado Boulevard Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068

626-795-9900 Fax: **626-577-8800** 

For Office Services Use Only Return Fax to Sonia Casas

### privileged and confidential

The information contained in this transmission is attorney-client privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone collect, and return the original message to us at the above address via U.S. mail. We will reimburse you for postage. Thank you.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* TX REPORT \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

4762

RECIPIENT ADDRESS

916502493429

DESTINATION ID

04/04 16:12

ST. TIME TIME USE

00'57

PAGES SENT

3 0 K

RESULT

# facsimile TRANSMITTAL

Date: April 4, 2008

No. of Pages: 3 (Including this cover sheet)

Fax No.: (650) 249-3429

# PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES IMMEDIATELY TO:

Name: Suzanne Abbott

Google, Inc.

Phone: (650) 253-0000

Your Ref:

From: G. Warren Bleeker

Re: Calcar, Inc. et al. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc., et al.

File: C685:100.1

Exhibit D

```
1 Brian K. Brookey (SBN 149522)
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP
2 350 West Colorado Boulevard, Suite 500
      Post Office Box 7068
     Pasadena, CA 91109-7068
Telephone: (626) 795-9900
Facsimile: (626) 577-8800
      Email: brian.brookey@cph.com
   5
      Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
      CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN
   6
      CALCAR, INC.
   7
     William C. Rooklidge (SBN 134483)
HOWREY LLP
      4 Park Plaza, Suite 1700
     Irvine, CA 92614-8557
      Telephone: (949) 721-6900 Facsimile: (949) 721-6910
     Facsimile:
      E-mail: rooklidgew@howrey.com
     E-mail: hillr@howrey.com
 11
     Bobby A. Ghajar (SBN 198719)
HOWREY LLP
     550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 892-1800
Facsimile: (213) 892-2300
 15 E-mail: ghajarb@howrey.com
     Attorneys for Defendants
THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE
     and FELIX KRAMER
17
18
                              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19
                            CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20
                                      SOUTHERN DIVISION
     CALCAR, INC., a California corporation,
                                                    ) Case No. SACV 07-00723 AG (JWJx)
22
                  Plaintiff.
                                                       STIPULATION OF PARTIES RE
                                                       CONTINUANCE OF DISCOVERY
23
           VS.
                                                       AND MOTION CUT-OFF DATES
                                                       [PROPOSED] ORDER
    THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE,
    INC., an unknown business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual,
                                                       [Hon. Andrew J. Guilford]
                                                       TRIAL DATE: 7-15-08
                  Defendants.
26
                                                       FINAL PRE-TRIAL CONF: 6-30-08
27
28
    DM US:21126650_1
```

- The deposition discovery cut-off date shall be continued from April 8, 2008 1. to May 19, 2008 only for the purposes of accommodating the depositions and the parties' schedules, as set forth below in Paragraphs 2-4.
  - 2. The following depositions will take place on the following dates:
- Felix Kramer, individually and as the 30(b)(6) representative of a. 15 Defendant, The California Cars Initiative, Inc. ("TCCI"), on April 3, 2008 in San 16 Francisco, California. As Mr. Kramer is both an individual witness as well as the 30(b)(6) representative of Defendant, Plaintiff reserves the right to further depose Mr. Kramer on a different day as necessary to complete the deposition.
  - b. Third party Google, Inc. on April 7, 2008 in San Francisco, California.
    - Third party BMW on April 15, 2008 in New York City, New York. C.
  - Alex Yip, individually and as the 30(b)(6) representative of d. American Calcar, Inc. ("ACI"), on April 14, 2008 in New York City, New York. As Mr. Yip is both an individual witness as well as the 30(b)(6) representative of Plaintiff ACI, Defendants reserve the right to further depose Mr. Yip on a different day as necessary to complete the deposition.
    - Karen Obradovich on April 22, 2008 in Irvine, California. e.

27

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

Exhibit E



PASADENA OFFICE 350 W. Colorado Blvd., Suite 500 Pasadena, CA 91105 Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068 E-mail: info@cph.com

Tel: (626) 795-9900 · Fax: (626) 577-8800

Via Facsimile

April 4, 2008

Suzanne Abbott Google, Inc. 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, CA 94043 Phone: 650-253-0000

Phone: 650-253-0000 Fax: 650-249-3429

Re:

Calcar, Inc. et al. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc., et al.;

CPH Ref. C685:100.1

Dear Ms. Abbott:

Thank you for speaking with me this morning. As you requested, enclosed is a copy of the protective order entered in this matter, which contains two tiers of protection for confidential information.

You represented to me during our phone call that Google might be able to produce the subpoenaed documents by next week. Google, however, is required by subpoena to produce a witness for deposition on Monday, April 7, 2008 and Plaintiffs Calcar, Inc. and American Calcar, Inc need to receive the requested documents from Google prior to the deposition. Plaintiffs therefore request that Google produce all responsive, non-privileged documents by today, as required by the business records subpoena.

Please have counsel for Google contact me today to confirm whether Google will produce all responsive, non-privileged documents by today and also to discuss the logistics and the identity of Google's deponent(s) for Monday's deposition.

Very truly yours,

G. Warren Bleeker

enclosure G.

Robert L. Parker (1920-1980 C. Russell Hale (1916-2004 D. Bruce Prout

James B. Christie (1904-1959

D. Bruce Prout Walter G. Maxwell David A. Dillard Thomas J. Daly Edward R. Schwartz John D. Carpenter Wesley W. Monroe David A. Plumley Gregory S. Lampert Mark Garscia Sved A. Hasan Robert A. Green Howard A. Kroll Michael J. MacDermott Anne Wang Constantine Marantidis Daniel M. Cavanagh Gary J. Nelson Raymond R. Tabandeh Josephine E. Chang Jun-Young E. Jeon Brian K. Brookey Tom H. Dao David 1. Steele Gary S. Dukarich Peter C. Hsueh Oliver S. Bajracharya Lauren E. Schneider Saeid Mirsafian, Ph.D.\* **Gabriel Fitch** Tiffany A. Parcher Jonas J. Hodges Steven E. Lauridsen Patrick J. Orme Nikki M. Dossman Derek W. Yeung G. Warren Bleeker Jason C. Martone Jeffrey T. Burgess Uyen N. Tang Joshua T. Chu Anne F. Bradley Joseph J. Mellema Daniel L. Essig

Patent Agent Brigitte C. Phan, Ph.D.

Of Counsel
Hayden A. Carney
Richard J. Ward, Jr.
Richard A. Wallen

Daniel R. Kimbell
Richard J. Paciulan\*\*

\*Admitted only in IL \*\*Admitted only in MA

FILED

FEB 26 2008

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 1 BRIAN K. BROOKEY, CA Bar No. 149522 brian.brookey@cph.com G. WARREN BLEEKER, CA Bar No. 210834 2 warren.bleeker@cph.com CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 3 350 West Colorado Boulevard, Suite 500 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF Post Office Box 7068 4 Pasadena, California 91109-7068 Telephone: (626) 795-9900 Facsimile: (626) 577-8800 5 NOTE CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff. 7 CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN CALCAR, INC. 8 [Full List of Attorneys on the Signature Page] 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 CALCAR, INC., a California corporation; and AMERICAN 12 Case No. SACV07-723 AG (MLGx) 13 CALCAR, INC., a Delaware corporation, STIPULATED PROTECTIVE 14 Plaintiffs. ORDER 15 VS. 16 THE CALIFORNIA CARS INITIATIVE, INC., an unknown 17 business entity; and FELIX KRAMER, an individual, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 It is stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for Calcar, Inc. and 22 American Calcar Inc. ("Plaintiffs") on one hand, and counsel for The California Cars Initiative, Inc. and Felix Kramer ("Defendants") on the other hand, that the terms and conditions of this Stipulated Protective Order shall govern the handling

of documents, depositions, pleadings, exhibits and all other information

exchanged by the parties in this Action, or provided by or obtained from non-

23

24

25

26

27

28

CHRISTIS PARKER & HALK LLP

parties in this Action.

SCOPE

- 1. This Protective Order shall apply to all documents, depositions, pleadings, exhibits and all other material or information subject to discovery in this Action, including responses to requests for production of documents, answers to interrogatories, responses to requests for admissions, deposition testimony, expert testimony and reports, and all other discovery taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as well as testimony adduced at trial, trial exhibits, matters in evidence and any other information used or disclosed at trial, hereafter furnished, directly or indirectly, by or on behalf of any party, non-party or witness in connection with this Action ("Discovery Material").
- 2. As used herein, "Producing Party" shall refer to any party to this Action and to any non-party who gives testimony or produces documents or other information, and "Receiving Party" shall refer to any individual who receives, is shown, or is exposed to material or information pursuant to this Protective Order.

#### **DESIGNATION**

- 3. Any Producing Party may designate Discovery Material as "CONFIDENTIAL" or as "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in accordance with this Protective Order. The burden of establishing that Discovery Material is CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY as defined herein shall be on the Producing Party. The designation of any Discovery Information as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY shall be deemed effective unless and until the Court orders otherwise or the Producing Party withdraws the designation.
- 4. Discovery Material falling into one or more of the following categories may be designated as CONFIDENTIAL: information (regardless of

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

how generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under standards developed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) which shall only be disclosed to the parties to this litigation and their respective counsel, as set forth in paragraph 13 below. Such information should fall into one or more of the following categories: (i) sales, marketing, or product or service development strategies, tactics or plans; (ii) financial data; (iii) costs of doing business; (iv) customer lists; (v) business agreements and contracts; (vi) licensing negotiations and agreements; and (vii) third-party documents covered by an obligation of confidentiality.

- 5. Discovery Material falling into one or more of the following categories may be designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -- ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY: information (regardless of how generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that are extremely sensitive - such as trade secrets or highly sensitive business or product expansion plans or developments -- and whose disclosure to any person other than the parties' respective outside counsel (including any future outside counsel), as set forth in paragraph 14 below, would create a substantial risk of serious injury that could not be avoided by less restrictive means.
- 6. The Producing Party may designate documents or other Discovery Material as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY by placing either of the following legends (or similar legends) on the document or thing: CONFIDENTIAL - Civil Action No. 07-723 AG (MLGx) or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - Civil Action No. 07-723 AG (MLGx) provided, however, that in the event that original documents are produced for inspection, the Receiving Party and Producing Party shall agree jointly upon a mechanism to place the appropriate legend on the documents in the copying process. CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY materials shall not include any document,

-3-

1	
2	
3	

information or other materials that (a) are, at the time of disclosure, in the public domain by publication or otherwise through no fault of the Receiving Party or, (b) are not under law entitled to be treated as confidential.

7. Any party or non-party may designate discovery requests or responses (and the information contained therein) as CONFIDENTIAL or as ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY by placing either of the following legends (or similar legends) on the face of any such document:

"Contains CONFIDENTIAL information. Designated parts not to be used, copied or disclosed except as authorized by Court Order or the party or parties whose CONFIDENTIAL information is included":

or

"Contains ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information. Designated parts not to be used, copied or disclosed except as authorized by Court Order or the party or parties whose ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information is included."

In the case of discovery requests or responses, a statement must also be included within the document specifying the portion(s) thereof designated as CONFIDENTIAL or as ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY and the face of the document and those pages containing CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information shall be so designated.

8. Any party or non-party may designate depositions and other testimony (including exhibits) as CONFIDENTIAL or as ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY by stating on the record at the time the testimony is given that the entire testimony or portions thereof shall be designated as CONFIDENTIAL or as ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. If entire testimony is designated CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY, and the designating party later determines that only portions of the previously designated testimony should be designated CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY, the designating

party shall, within 30 days of receipt of the transcript, de-designate those portions of the transcript that should not be designated as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. In addition, a party may designate information disclosed at such deposition as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY by notifying all of the parties in writing within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the transcript, of the specific pages and lines of the transcript which should be treated as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. The following legends (or similar legends) shall be placed on the front of any deposition transcript (and, if videotaped, any copies of the videotape) containing CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information:

"Contains CONFIDENTIAL information. Designated parts not to be used, copied or disclosed except as authorized by Court Order or the party or parties whose CONFIDENTIAL information is included":

or

"Contains ATTORNEYS', EYES ONLY information. Designated parts not to be used, copied or disclosed except as authorized by Court Order or the party or parties whose ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information is included."

9. Subject to any overriding rules imposed by the Court in this Action, all CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material, or an excerpt, reproduction or paraphrase thereof, filed with the Court shall be filed under seal in accordance with Local Civil Rule 79-5. The Producing Party bears the burden of proof to establish that the designated information should be filed under seal. Where a moving party files and serves a written application to file CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information under seal, and the moving party did not designate that information as CONFIDENTIAL OR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY, the Producing Party may, within 5 court days from the date that the moving party files its application, file and serve supplemental

papers supporting the Producing Party's contention that the information should remain under seal. If the Court grants a party permission to file an item under seal, a duplicate disclosing all non-confidential information shall be filed and made a part of the public record. The item shall be redacted to eliminate confidential material from the document. The document shall be titled to show that it corresponds to an item filed under seal, e.g., "Redacted Copy of Sealed Declaration of John Smith in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment." The sealed and redacted documents shall be filed simultaneously.

#### <u>USE</u>

- 10. All Discovery Material produced in this case, including Discovery Material designated CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY shall be used only in preparation for, and in, the trial of this Action or any appeal therefrom and cannot be used for any other purpose including, but not limited to, any other litigation, arbitration or claim. Nothing in this Protective Order shall preclude a Producing Party from using or disseminating its own CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material.
- 11. All material designated CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY shall be protected from disclosure as specified herein, unless a party obtains an Order of the Court declaring that all or certain portions of such Discovery Material are not, in fact, protected.
- 12. Third parties may (i) designate deposition transcripts of their witnesses and any documents or information they produce, whether voluntarily or by subpoena, CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY to the same extent and in the same manner as parties to this Action and any such materials and information shall be treated by the parties to this Action in the same manner as materials and information so designated by a party, and (ii) intervene in this Action to enforce the provisions of this Protective Order as if they were a party.

**5** 

#### **DISCLOSURE**

- 13. Unless otherwise directed by the Court or authorized in writing by the Producing Party, Discovery Material designated as CONFIDENTIAL may be disclosed by the Receiving Party only to the following persons:
- (a) any "outside" attorney of record in this Action (including attorneys employed by firms of record but not otherwise identified specifically on pleadings, and the parties agree to identify those outside attorneys on request if not identified specifically on pleadings);
- (b) support personnel for attorneys listed in sub-paragraph (a) above, including law clerks, analysts, paralegals, interpreters, translators, secretaries, and clerical staff employed by any attorney identified in sub-paragraph (a) and assisting in connection with this Action;
- (c) any outside expert or consultant who is expressly retained or sought to be retained by any attorney described in sub-paragraph (a) to assist in the preparation of this Action for trial, with disclosure only to the extent necessary to perform such work;
- (d) no more than two designated persons representing each Party (including persons employed by affiliates) with whom counsel find it necessary to consult, in the discretion of such counsel, in preparation for trial in this Action;
- (e) any non-party interpreter, translator, or court or other shorthand reporter, videographer or typist translating, recording or transcribing testimony and who is not an employee of a Party or an employee of an affiliate of a Party;
- (f) service contractors (such as document copy services), jury consultants and graphic artists;
- (g) any person who authored and/or was an identified original recipient of the particular CONFIDENTIAL material sought to be disclosed to

that person, or any deponent where the examining attorney has a good faith basis to believe the deponent is aware of the particular CONFIDENTIAL material sought to be disclosed;

- (h) personnel of the Court, including Mediators (and their assistants) assigned by the Court and all appropriate courts of appellate jurisdiction; and
  - (i) any person agreed to by the Producing Party in writing.

CONFIDENTIAL material shall not be disclosed to persons described in paragraphs 13 (b), (c), (d), (e) (with respect to non-party interpreters or translators), (f), (g) (with respect to any deponent when the examining attorney has a good faith basis to believe the deponent is aware of the particular ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material) or (i), unless and until such person has executed the affidavit in the form attached as Exhibit A. CONFIDENTIAL material shall not be disclosed to any person unless such person is authorized to receive CONFIDENTIAL material pursuant to Paragraphs 13 and 15 of this Protective Order.

- 14. Unless otherwise directed by the Court or authorized in writing by the Producing Party, Discovery Material designated as ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY may be disclosed by the Receiving Party only to the following persons:
- (a) any "outside" attorney of record in this Action (including attorneys employed by firms of record but not otherwise identified specifically on pleadings and the parties agree to identify those outside attorneys on request if not identified specifically on pleadings);
- (b) support personnel for attorneys listed in sub-paragraph (a) above, including law clerks, analysts, paralegals, secretaries, interpreters, translators, and clerical staff employed by any attorney identified in sub-paragraph (a) and assisting in connection with this Action;

- (c) any outside expert or consultant who is expressly retained or sought to be retained by any attorney described in sub-paragraph (a) to assist in the preparation of this Action for trial, with disclosure only to the extent necessary to perform such work;
- (d) any non-party interpreter, translator, or court or other shorthand reporter, videographer or typist translating, recording or transcribing testimony and who is not an employee of a Party or an employee of an affiliate of a Party;
- (e) service contractors (such as document copy services), jury consultants and graphic artists;
- (f) any person who authored and/or was an identified original recipient of the particular ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material sought to be disclosed to that person or any deponent when the examining attorney has a good faith basis to believe the deponent is aware of the particular ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material sought to be disclosed;
- (g) personnel of the Court and all appropriate courts of appellate jurisdiction; and
  - (h) any person agreed to by the Producing Party in writing.

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material shall not be disclosed to persons described in paragraphs 14 (b), (c), (d) (with respect to non-party interpreters or translators), (e), (f) (with respect to any deponent when the examining attorney has a good faith basis to believe the deponent is aware of the particular ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material) or (h), unless and until such person has executed the affidavit in the form attached as Exhibit A. ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material shall not be disclosed to any person unless such person is authorized to receive ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material pursuant to Paragraphs 15 and 16 of this Protective Order.

15. At least seven (7) days before the disclosure of any CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material of the Producing Party is made to an individual above in Paragraph 13, sub-paragraphs (c), (d) or (i) or Paragraph 14, sub-paragraphs (c), or (h), and where that individual is either (1) employed in the automotive industry, other than solely as a litigation expert or consultant (including survey experts and academics retained as expert witnesses), or (2) currently involved in providing goods and services to the automotive industry, other than solely as a litigation expert or consultant (including survey experts and academics retained as expert witnesses), an attorney for the Receiving Party shall serve a Notice on the Producing Party identifying said individual by name and including a curriculum vitae or equivalent resume setting forth such person's present residence and business address(es), current employer and job title, any company or companies by which that individual was employed during the past five (5) years, and a list of all consulting agreements or arrangements that said individual may have entered into during the past five (5) years. The list should disclose the name and address of each such entity for which consulting work is being, or has been, performed, and the subject matter of that consulting work. If disclosure of either the identity of the entity for which the work is being performed or the subject matter of that work, or both, is deemed proprietary by the Receiving Party, then the fact that certain information is being withheld on the basis that it is proprietary shall be disclosed by the Receiving Party, and any such information that is not deemed proprietary shall be disclosed. Such notice shall be accompanied by an executed acknowledgment from the individual to whom the disclosure is to be made, in the form of Exhibit A attached hereto. In the event that said individual enters into any subsequent consulting agreements or arrangements during the course of this litigation, the Receiving Party shall promptly notify the Producing Party of such agreements or arrangements. The

26

Producing Party shall state any objections to the proposed disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information to any individual described in Paragraph 13, sub-paragraphs (c), (d), or (i) or Paragraph 14, subparagraphs (c), or (h), and state the reasons therefore in writing to the Receiving Party within six (6) days of receipt of the Notice. Upon the making of any such objection(s), the Producing Party shall have ten (10) days (or any additional time which may be agreed upon by the parties or granted by the Court) from sending its objection(s) in which to challenge, by filing an expedited motion, the disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information. Unless the Producing Party objects to the disclosure and files an expedited motion in accordance with the Notice and timing provisions of this Paragraph 15 and Paragraph 29, the Producing Party waives its right to challenge the disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY information to the identified individual(s), and the individual identified in the Notice shall be considered a qualified recipient of CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material under the terms of this Protective Order. Should the Producing Party properly object and file its expedited motion, CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material will not be disclosed pending resolution of the expedited motion. Before the disclosure of any CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material of the Producing Party is made to an individual described above in Paragraph 13, sub-paragraphs (c), (d) or (i), or Paragraph 14, sub-paragraphs (c) or (h), an attorney for the Receiving Party shall obtain from each such person an executed copy of the affidavit attached as Exhibit A and shall thereafter retain such executed affidavits at least until the Termination of this Action (defined below).

16. The recipient of any CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material that is provided under this Protective Order shall maintain such

26

1
2
3
4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

information in a secure and safe area and shall exercise the same standard of due and proper care with respect to the storage, custody, use and/or dissemination of such information as is exercised by the recipient with respect to its own proprietary information.

#### **EXEMPTED MATERIALS**

- 17. None of the provisions of this Protective Order shall apply to the following categories of documents and information, and any party may seek to remove the restrictions set forth herein on the ground that information designated as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY has/had been:
  - (a) in the public domain at the time of its production hereunder;
- (b) in the public domain after the time of its production through no act, or failure to act, on behalf of the Receiving Party, its counsel, representatives or experts;

The parties will use their best efforts to resolve any dispute under this Paragraph 17, before involving the Court.

- 18. None of the limitations on disclosure of this Protective Order shall apply to the following category of documents and information, and any party may seek to remove the restrictions set forth herein on the ground that:
- (a) information designated CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY does not fall within the definitions of "CONFIDENTIAL" or "ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" set forth in Paragraphs 4, 5 and 6 above.

The Producing Party shall have the burden of proof of establishing that Discovery Material challenged under this Paragraph 18 constitutes CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY Discovery Material as defined in Paragraphs 4, 5 and 6.

#### **INADVERTENT PRODUCTION/DESIGNATION**

19. The inadvertent production of documents subject to the attorney-

2728

18 19 20

21

22

15

16

17

23 24

25 26

27 28

client privilege or the attorney work-product doctrine will not waive the attorneyclient privilege or the attorney work-product doctrine. In addition, the fact that a document was inadvertently produced shall not be used in any manner or evidence in support of any such alleged waiver. Upon a request from a party that has inadvertently produced any document which it believes may be subject to the attorney-client privilege or attorney work-product doctrine, each party receiving said document shall return it and all copies within three (3) business days to the Producing Party. Nothing herein shall prevent the Receiving Party from preparing a record for its own use containing the date, author, address(es), and topic of the document and such other information as is reasonably necessary to identify the document and describe its nature to the Court in any motion to compel production of the document. Such a record of the identity and nature of a document may not be used for any purpose other than preparation of a motion to compel in this Action. After the return of the document(s), the Receiving Party may challenge the Producing Party's claim(s) of privilege or work-product by making a motion to the Court.

20. The inadvertent failure by a party to designate Discovery Material as CONFIDENTIAL or as ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY shall not be a waiver of such designation provided that the party who fails to make such designation informs the Receiving Party that such Discovery Material is CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY within seven (7) days from when the failure to designate first became known to the Producing Party. The party receiving Discovery Material that the Producing Party inadvertently failed to designate as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY shall not be in breach of this Order for any use made of such Discovery Material before the Receiving Party is informed of the inadvertent failure to designate. Once the Receiving Party has been informed of the inadvertent failure to designate pursuant to this Paragraph

W 87  20, the Receiving Party shall take reasonable steps to, at the Producing Party's option, either ensure that all copies of any such Discovery Materials are returned promptly to the Producing Party or ensure that all copies of any such Discovery Materials are marked with the proper designation and distributed only as permitted under Paragraphs 13 through 16 of this Protective Order. If data or information has been extracted from any Discovery Materials that are subsequently re-designated pursuant to this Paragraph 20, to the extent possible, the information and/or data will be expunged and not used, or used only to the extent allowed under this Protective Order pursuant to its new confidentiality designation.

21. In the event of disclosure of Discovery Materials designated CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY to any person not authorized to such access under this Protective Order, the party responsible for having made such disclosure, and each party with knowledge thereof, shall immediately inform counsel for the party whose Discovery Material has been disclosed of all known relevant information concerning the nature and circumstances of the disclosure. The party responsible for improperly disclosing such Discovery Material shall also promptly take all reasonable measures to retrieve the improperly disclosed Discovery Material and to ensure that no further or greater unauthorized disclosure and/or use thereof is made.

### **USE IN COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS**

ONLY material is to be used in any court proceeding, the Producing Party may seek an appropriate protective order from the Court before its introduction, and in any event, it shall not lose its confidential status through such use, and the parties shall take all steps reasonably required to protect its confidentiality during such use. The istance of any efficience presented in a public court proceeding shall be determined by the Court.

#### **OBJECTION TO DESIGNATIONS**

- 23. Any party may object to the designation by the Producing Party of any material as CONFIDENTIAL or as ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. The process for making such an objection and for resolving the dispute shall be as follows:
- (a) The objecting party shall notify the Producing Party in writing as to its objection(s) to the designations. This notice shall include, at a minimum, a specific identification of the designated material objected to as well as the reason(s) for the objection.
- (b) The objecting party shall thereafter have the burden of conferring either in person or by telephone with the Producing Party claiming protection (as well as any other interested party) in a good faith effort to resolve the dispute.
- (c) Failing agreement, the objecting party may bring a noticed motion to the Court for a ruling that the Discovery Material sought to be protected is not entitled to such designation. The Producing Party bears the burden to establish that the Discovery Material is CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY and entitled to such protection under this Protective Order.

Notwithstanding any such challenge to the designation of material as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY, all such material so designated shall be treated as such and shall be subject to the provisions of this Protective Order until one of the following occurs: (a) the party who designated the material as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY withdraws such designation in writing, or (b) the Court rules that the designation is not proper and that the designation be removed.

**5** 

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALF, LLP

#### RETURN/DESTRUCTION OF MATERIALS

24. Not later than sixty (60) days after the Termination of this Action (defined below) all CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material, including all copies and derivative works thereof, shall be returned to the Producing Party or, with advance written consent of the Producing Party, destroyed. The party receiving any CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material shall certify in writing that all such material, including CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material disclosed hereunder, has been returned or destroyed. The Court and its personnel are much specified to the MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 25. This stipulated Protective Order shall not prevent a party from seeking additional protection of information for which the protection of this Protective Order is not believed by such party to be adequate. The parties, however, may not withhold the production of information solely on the basis of a confidentiality objection once this Order is effective. If a party wishes to seek additional protections beyond those contained within this Protective Order, the burden is on that party to file a motion with the Court seeking such additional protections.
- 26. The entry of this Protective Order shall not be construed as a waiver of any right to object to the furnishing of information in response to discovery and, except as expressly provided, shall not relieve any party of the obligation of producing information in the course of discovery.
- 27. If at any time CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material is subpoenaed by any court, arbitral, administrative or legislative body, the person to whom the subpoena or other request is directed shall immediately give written notice thereof to counsel for every party who has produced such

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material and shall provide each such party with an opportunity to object to the production of CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY materials. If the Producing Party does not move for a protective order within ten (10) days of the date written notice is given, the party to whom the referenced subpoena is directed may produce, on or after the date set for production in the subpoena but not prior to the end of the ten (10) day notice period, such material in response thereto.

- 28. Counsel for any party to this Protective Order shall have the right to exclude from depositions, other than the deponent and the reporter, any person who is not authorized under this Protective Order to receive materials or information designated as CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. Such right of exclusion shall be applicable only during periods of examination or testimony directed to CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material.
- All notices required by any paragraphs of this Protective Order are to 29. be made by facsimile or electronic mail to counsel representing the noticed party. The date by which a party receiving notice shall respond or otherwise take action shall be computed from the date of receipt of the notice.

Any of the notice requirements herein may be waived in whole or in part, but only in a writing signed by an attorney for the Producing Party. All specified time periods are in calendar days unless otherwise indicated.

30. Nothing in this Protective Order shall bar or otherwise restrict counsel from rendering advice to his or her client with respect to this Action and, in the course thereof, relying in a general way upon his or her examination of CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material produced or exchanged in this Action; provided, however, that in rendering such advice and in otherwise communicating with his or her client, the attorney shall not disclose the

content of CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material produced by any other party or non-party.

- 31. Execution of this Protective Order shall not constitute a waiver of the right of any party to claim in this Action or otherwise that any documents, or any portion thereof, are privileged or otherwise non-discoverable, or are not admissible in evidence in this Action or any other proceeding.
- 32. All persons receiving CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY materials are enjoined from producing them to any other persons, except in conformance with this Protective Order. Each individual who receives CONFIDENTIAL or ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY material agrees to subject himself/herself to the jurisdiction of this Court for the purpose of any proceedings relating to the performance under, compliance with, or violation of this Protective Order.
- 33 For purposes of this Protective Order, "Termination of this Action" is defined to mean the exhaustion of all appeals from orders and final judgments in this Action or the settlement of this Action by the parties.
- 34. The parties agree that the terms of this Protective Order shall survive and remain in effect after the Termination of this Action. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear disputes arising out of this Protective Order.
- 35. Any party may move at any time to modify the terms of this Protective Order. A party seeking to modify this Protective Order shall request only the minimum modification as is reasonably necessary to address the grounds upon which its motion to modify is based.
- 36. Any headings used in this Protective Order are for reference purpose only and are not to be used to construe or limit the meaning of any provision.
- 37. This Protective Order may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which upon completed execution thereof by all parties,

DM\_US:20970248\_2

1	collectively shall be deemed to constitute one original.		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
<b>3</b>	μ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8	
4	DATED: February 20, 2008	HRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP	
5	·	y D. Walsh	
6	1	Brain K Brookey (SRN 140522)	
7		brian.brookey@cph.com G. Warren Bleeker, (SBN 210834) warren.bleeker@cph.com CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 West Colorado Boulevard, Suite	
8	25	CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP	
9		300	
10		Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, California 91109-7068	
11		Telephone: (626) 795-9900 Facsimile: (626) 577-8800	
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff, CALCAR, INC. and AMERICAN	
13		CALCAR, INC. and AIVIERICAN CALCAR, INC.	
14	· ·		
15	DATED: February 14, 2008 H	OWREY LLP	
16	В	ash la	
17		William C. Rooklidge (SBN 134483) HOWREY LLP	
18		2020 Main Street, Suite 1000 Irvine, CA 92614-8200	
19	>	Telephone: (949) 721-6900 Facsimile: (949) 721-6910	
20		E-mail: rooklidgew@howrey.com	
21		Bobby A. Ghajar (SBN 198719) Joshua A. Burt (SBN 222302)	
22		HOWREY LLP	
23		550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 892-1800	
24		Facsimile: (213) 892-2300 E-mail: ghajarb@howrey.com	
25		E-mail: burtj@howrey.com	
26 27	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Attorneys for Defendants The California Cars Initiative, Inc. and Felix Kramer	
28	DATED: Fc610017 26, 2007 -1	9-	
NTELLES	DM Will Wahn		

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

4756

RECIPIENT ADDRESS

916502493429

DESTINATION ID

04/04 11:45

ST. TIME TIME USE

06'37

PAGES SENT

21

RESULT

0 K

# facsimile TRANSMITTAL

Date: April 4, 2008

No. of Pages: 21 (including this cover sheet)

Fex No.: (650) 249-3429

## PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES IMMEDIATELY TO:

Name: Suzanne Abbott

Google, inc.

Phone: (650) 253-0000

Your Ref:

From: G. Warren Bleeker

Re: Calcar, Inc. et al. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc., et al.

File: C685:100.1

# facsimile TRANSMITTAL

Date: April 4, 2008

21 (including this cover sheet) No. of Pages:

Fax No.: (650) 249-3429

## PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES IMMEDIATELY TO:

Name: Suzanne Abbott

Google, Inc.

Phone: (650) 253-0000

Your Ref:

G. Warren Bleeker From:

Calcar, Inc. et al. v. The California Cars Initiative, Inc., et al.

File: C685:100.1

Christie, Parker & Hale, LLP

350 West Colorado Boulevard Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068 626-795-9900

Fax: 626-577-8800

For Office Services Use Only Return Fax to Sonia Casas

### privileged and confidential

The information contained in this transmission is attorney-client privileged and confidential. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone collect, and return the original message to us at the above address via U.S. mail. We will reimburse you for postage. Thank you.

Exhibit F

calcars-google-due-diligence25feb07.doc

Page 1 of 5

From: Felix Kramer, CalCars To: Kirsten Olsen, Google.org

Date: 2/25/07

Re: CalCars Due Diligence Report

As we discuss at <a href="http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html">http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html</a>, The California Cars Initiative (CalCars) is a fiscal agent of our fiscal sponsor — we are a Project of the <a href="http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html">http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html</a>, The California Cars Initiative (CalCars) is a fiscal agent of our fiscal sponsor — we are a Project of the <a href="http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html">http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html</a>, The California Cars Initiative (CalCars) is a fiscal agent of our fiscal sponsor — we are a Project of the <a href="http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html">http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html</a>, The California Cars Initiative (CalCars) is a fiscal agent of our fiscal sponsor — we are a Project of the <a href="http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html">http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html</a>, The California Cars Initiative (CalCars) is a fiscal agent of our fiscal sponsor — we are a Project of the <a href="http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html">http://www.calcars.org/sponsor.html</a>, The California Cars Initiative (CalCars) is a fiscal agent of our fiscal sponsor.html</a>, we plan to incorporate as an independent non-profit in the near-term future.

Some of the material that follows is derived from the "Calcars Expansion Plan to Speed PHEV Commercialization proposal (calcars-policy-budget-23jan07.doc) that, most recently, was the basis for our request for support.

# Research/Bittle ound into Organization

- 1. EIN: 33-0767921 (IHC)
- 2. Board of Directors (include bios)

# International Humanities Center Board of Directors - see http://www.ihcenter.org

Catherine Carroll, (Financial Director of IHCenter) co-founding director of the Renaissance Foundation; dedicated to empowering people and projects; accounting and tax preparation industries; tax planning, money management, and estate planning. Her insights show others how to minimize income and estate taxes to expand the possibilities for change at a grass-root as well as corporate level.

Timothy Hall, two tours in Vietnam earning him a Bronze Star and other medals; founder of Eco Ranchos, a company based in the US and Mexico dedicated to environmentally sound agriculture supporting sustainable communities; reintroduced the native amaranth grain to regions of Mexico as well as spearheaded pioneering efforts in the fig industry; co-founder of Paulownia International Ltd. that meets the agricultural, commercial, environmental, and social needs of regions in Mexico through development of widespread use of the Paulownia tree for the international plywood market. Katherine O'Flahtery, former systems analyst and training manager with Fingerhut Corporation; quality assurance troubleshooter for Wal-Mart Logistics; currently applies skills and expertise to streamline educational processes to high levels of efficiency and understanding in personal health, lifestyle, and well being; fully dedicating her life and livelihood to the health and well being of all persons seeking education and self empowerment through natural health care; currently enrolled in the certification program with Tree of Light Institute.

Dave Sanders, (Operations Director of IHCenter) research and development in sustainable technologies; active in organic farming, sustainable forestry, eco-responsible construction systems, and renewable energy generation; past president of an international nutritional products company and owned several for-profit ventures; holds a distant background of seventeen years in administrative and engineering positions with government contractors such as GE-Nuclear, a Department of Energy contractor, and FMC, a Department of Defense contractor; has since reversed his course fifteen years ago applying his body, mind, and spirit to the development and integration of sustainable living systems and the deployment of ecologically responsible development.

Steven Sugarman, M.A. in Research Psychology (Executive Director of IHCenter); former

calcars-google-due-diligence25feb07.doc Page 2 of 5

Executive Director of the Social & Environmental Entrepreneurs (SEE); Co-Founder, Bolsa Chica Stewardship Group; author of The Blueprint for Planetary Evolution, as well as an avid creator of conceptual statistical models that are translated into games of strategy and probability.

David Michael Tanner, alchemic formulating, research and development of alchemic mineral, herbal, and essential oil formulas as well as other organic and inorganic substances; strong background in business administration and marketing; owned and managed two R&D laboratories; served as executive vice president to two international natural health product companies; currently working with Tree of Light Publishing, a distributor of herbal training, health education, and natural health products aligned with natural, healthful ways of living.

## CalCars Key Advisors (from http://www.calcars.org/about.html

<u>Dave Bagshaw</u>, Senior Advisor, an entrepreneur with a background both in technical engineering and business, former CEO of Shutterfly and a senior executive at Excite@Home, @Home Networks and the early Silicon Graphics. See announcement at the <u>CalCars-News Archive</u>.

<u>Gail Slocum</u>, Senior Advisor, former Mayor, Menlo Park and Regulatory Attorney at Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Andy Frank, Director, advanced hybrid vehicle research center (which has built 9 plug-in hybrid proof-of-concept vehicles), Professor, University of California at Davis; see a 2003 interview in EVWorld, part 1 and patt 2 and a 2006 Wall Street Journal profile.

Daniel M. Kammen, Director, Renewable and Appropriate Energy Laboratory, Professor, UC Berkeley, leading expert on renewable energy and climate change mitigation policy. His recent studies on ethanol have reversed decades of thinking on manufacturing efficiencies and proved ethanol's positive balance.

Paul Scott, Santa Monica-based electric vehicle owner, Co-Founder of Plug In America, works in the visual effects industry on feature films, and represents Energy Efficiency Solar for commercial and residential photovoltaic cell installations in Southern California.

Sven Thesen, electric utility analyst, chemical/environmental engineer, new parent

#### 3. Officers (include bios)-Full-time intermittently-paid staff

Founder Felix Kramer is a marketing strategist and communicator with an entrepreneurial track record with startups; in the environmental realm, he has managed major events and run campaigns and organizations. After founding, running and selling a small Internet company, since 2001 he has volunteered his time to CalCars. See Kramer Communications and Felix Kramer Bio, his Feb. 14, 2005 OpEd on global warming, Krato and Beyond. and Power, Plugs and People, his blog.

Ron Gremban, Technology Development Lead and electrical and software engineer involved in sales of solar energy systems, has long experience with electric cars—he helped design and drive the Caltech entry in the transcontinental 1968 Great Electric Car Race. See his not-recently-updated bio.

calcars-google-due-diligence25feb07.doc

Page 3 of 5

4. Identity of key funders and amounts (top 10) Totals from Jan. 2006-Jan. 2007

Amount	Donor
\$194,664.69	Carl Berg/Mission West
\$92,561.40	Carl B Page
\$20,000.00	Anonymous donor
\$10,000.00	Lori Park
\$10,000.00	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
\$9,000.00	Severns Family Foundation
\$5,000.00	Cypress Semiconductor Corp
\$5,000.00	Dawson Family Fund
<b>\$5,</b> 000.00	Novellus Systems Inc
<b>\$5,</b> 000.00	The Kathryn/Paul Miller Family Fund
356,226.09	Total Top 11
156,123.52	Additional donations
\$512.349.61	TOTAL ALL DONORS

5. Summary of program / description for project requiring funding (executive overview)

In our Jan 23 proposal, we requested a six-month \$498,000 grant to enable CalCars to achieve our #1 priority: getting auto-makers to commercialize PHEVs. We proposed advocacy, business and technology efforts aimed at leading to initial production and demonstration fleet commitments from automakers. We believe our efforts could significantly shorten the time before substantial numbers of PHEVs are in use. The efforts described below are in addition to our current technology demonstration projects.

We have customized strategies for each auto-maker. They include/reflect

- 1. external demand-side campaigns and approaches along with partners to OEMs.
- 2. our "no-worry" plan to remove battery risk factors for a PHEV demonstration fleet;
- We will lead, coordinate and partner on policy and government initiatives:
- \* in Sacramento towards a unified Plug-In California Initiative to make CA the leader in commercialization of PHEVs, including incentives, regulatory exemptions and "whatever it takes" measures to create an inviting environment for OEMs
- in Washington leading to changes in regulatory requirements and leveraged public funds,
  - Staffing business model, organizational plan

We have two full-time staff and hope to expand with three more full-time equivalent people plus minimal administrative support.

Budget/Use of Funds 1. Overall organization budget please attach Proposed budget from Jan 23, 2007. We hope to raise the balance of these funds to accomplish our goals. (Technical Lead and technical projects funded from other sources.)

calcars-google-due-diligence25feb07.doc

Page 4 of 5

## Six-Month Budget (March 2007-August 2007) (Positions are Full-Time Equivalent)

\$ 72,000 founder
72,000 communications director
72,000 policy director/grant-writer
72,000 campaign organizer/networker
60,000 multimedia documentation/ads production/distribution, PR
75,000 conferences, expositions, travel, memberships
60,000 collateral design and production/signage/printing
15,000 misc overhead
\$ 498,000 TOTAL"

Demiled outline for use of Google.org funds with attached budget

In view of the expected shortfall between our request and funds we may receive from Google, we will recruit some or between three and six people to fulfill these functions part-time or full-time on short-term contracts, some on minimal stipends, with no guarantee of continued contract renewals.

3. Additional funds needed to carry out program

To the extent we are able to raise additional funds from other sources to complete financing for the initial plan for six months and additional funds thereafter, we will be able to contract with or retain the personnel described, and attend conferences, produce and distribute collateral material, etc.

4. Financial management systems for organization

As fiscal agent, Felix Kramer authorizes direct payments from IHC to vendors and materials/service providers by approving and submitting invoices using IHC forms with standard accounting categories. He also invoices to reimburse expenses for which he pays in advance by check or credit card. We will replace this with new systems when we become an indepedent non-profit.

5. Key milestones for Google.org grant money

Our most fundamental milestones are reflected at What are Car-Makers Saying? our page that tracks the evolving responses of automakers and at The CalCars News-Archive.

1. Desired ourcomes - short and medium-rorm (and metrics to be used)

Short- and medium-term, we engage in advocacy, business and technology efforts to gain initial production and timetable commitments for demonstration fleets. We would apply as the most significant metrics the volume of campaigns and activities we conduct, the number of PHEV conversions we help to inspire, and number of commitments by companies to PHEV production.

Long term impact sought by organization (and theory of change)

We aim to ensure the successful commercialization of PHEVs, their progressive technical optimization and product improvement to ensure cleaner air quality, lower transportation impact on

calcars-google-due-diligence25feb07.doc Page 5 of 5

climate change and lower dependency on foreign oil.

We hope to promulgate some of the strategies embodied by CalCars into other climate change-related campaigns.

3. Expected reporting deliverables to Google.org from CalCars

We report on the results of our efforts and those of our partners and allies with frequent updates at Car-Makers and News-Archive pages referenced above.

4. Timeline for reporting

We plan to provide quarterly or semi-annual reports.

#### Advocacy Disclosure of the Control o

1. Description of any type of advocacy that will be undertaken by the organization

We provide technical advice and non-partisan analysis and research to individuals, companies and government bodies. We provide industry examples by doing conversions and making those conversions available for demonstration at public venues. We publicize existing, and promote future, activities by individuals, NGOs, corporations and all levels of government to build a market of buyers for PHEVs and to encourage commercialization and mass production of PHEVs.

2. Intended audience for advocacy

Our audiences are individual citizens as consumers and car-owners, as well as opinion leaders in the public and private sector, including community leaders, journalists and business analysts, government employees, appointees and elected officials.

3. Last any intended activities with government officials and specific use of Google.org funds

We testify at public hearings and communicate with government officials regarding rule-making and policy options. We do not endorse individual politicians. As a mostly-volunteer organization, we spend insubstantial funds on direct lobbying or on grassroots lobbying. Our role in relation to legislation is to provide information on specific news, proposals and bills, analyzing their social, economic and environmental implications to enable CalCars volunteers and those who subscribe to our CalCars-News information service to find out the positions of their elected officials and convey their views to other NGOs and to their elected officials.

Fraud or Abuse

Disclosure of any accusations of fraud or abuse by the organization

We know of no accusations of fraud or abuse by The California Cars Initiative.

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE P. O. BOX 2508 CINCINENTI, OH 45201

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

DECE: MAY 23 2002

INTERNATIONAL HUMANITIES CEPTER 321 N MALL DR 5TE 1 201 5T GEORGE, UT 84790 Smployer Identification Number:
33-0767921
DLN:
17053089754052
Contact Person:
TRACY D POST ID= 31471
Contact Telephone Number:
(877) 823-5500
Our Lector Dated:
December 1998
Addondum Applies:
No

Dear Applicant:

This modifies our letter of the above date in which we stated that you would be treated as an organization that is not a private foundation until the expiration of your advance ruling period.

Your examp, status under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code as an organization described in section 501(c)(a) is still in effect. Based on the information you submitted, we have determined that you are not a private foundation within the meaning of section 509(a) of the Code because you are an organization of the type described in section 509(a)(1) and 170(b)(a)(a)(v).

Grantons and contributors may rely on this determination unless the Internal Revenue Service publishes notice to the contrary. However, if you lose your section 509(a)(1) status, a granton or contributor may not rely on this determination if he or she was in part responsible for, or was aware of, the est or failure to act, or the substantial or material change on the part of the organization that resulted in your loss of such status, or if he or she acquired knowledge that the Internal Revenus Service had given notice that you would no longer be classified as a section 509(a)(1) organization.

You are required to make your annual information return. Form 390 or form 390-EZ, available for public inspection for three years after the later of the due date of the return or the date the return is filed. You are also required to make available for public inspection your exception application, any supporting documents, and your exemption letter. Copies of these documents are also required to be provided to any individual upon written or in person request without there other than reasonable fees for copying and postige. You may fulfill this requirement by placing these documents on the internet. Panalties may be imposed for failure to comply with these requirements. Additional information is available in Publication 557, Tax-Exempt Status for Your Organization, or you may call our toll free number shows above.

If we have indicated in the heading of this letter that an addendum applies, the addendum enclosed is an integral part of this letter.

Letter 1050 (DO/OS)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on May 12, 2008, I electronically filed the document described as **DECLARATION OF G. WARREN BLEEKER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE'S MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER.** with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the parties. I further certify that I have e-mailed a true copy of the above document to the non-ECF participants addressed as follows:

William C. Rooklidge - rooklidgew@howrey.com

Russell B. Hill - hillr@howrey.com

Bobby A. Ghajar - ghajarb@howrey.com

Susan Lovelace