	-	
1	1	
2		
3	3	
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9 10		No. C 09-0137 WHA
10		DEATH PENALTY CASE
12		ORDER REGARDING REQUEST
13		FOR REPLY
14	State Prison	
15	5 Respondent.	
16	6	
17	A question has arisen whether Attorney Bryan may file a reply to the brief of Attorney	
18	Rifkin on the issue of whether petitioner may dismiss certain claims from his petition for writ of	
19	habeas corpus. So far, a reply has not been authorized and a request for one will not be	
20	considered until after Attorney Rifkin's brief is filed this Wednesday, January 28.	
21	The proper way to request the filing of a reply that is not automatically provided for is to	
22	do so by written motion. Any such motion is due by Friday, January 30 at noon. The motion	
23	will be limited to three pages, double-spaced. Footnotes and attachments will not be	
24	considered. If a reply is granted, it will be limited to the legal issue addressed in Attorney	
25	5 Rifkin's brief.	
26	6 //	
27	7 //	
28	8 //	
		Dockets.Jus

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Furthermore, all parties are reminded that they were in agreement at the December 3 hearing at San Quentin that petitioner was and is competent to waive the claims at issue. The Court has already made a formal finding on this issue at Docket No. 83, p. 2. The Court intends to issue a ruling on this matter promptly. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January <u>27</u>, 2015. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE