1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9			
10	CHARLES STEVENS,	No. C 9-137 WHA	
11	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE	
12	v.	ORDER DENYING ATTORNEY BRYAN'S REQUEST FOR A REPLY	
13	RON DAVIS, Warden, San Quentin State Prison	DATAN 5 REQUEST FOR A REFET	
14			
15	/		
16			
17	Attorney Bryan has filed a request for the opportunity to reply to Attorney Rifkin's brief		
18	supporting petitioner's right to dismiss certain claims from the petition. The request is based on		
19	the fact that Attorney Rifkin's brief raises "unusual contentions", the lack of Ninth Circuit or		

Supreme Court precedent, and that the "complex arguments necessitate responses."

Each party has had an opportunity to file one brief on this issue, with Attorney Bryan's being twice the length of the other parties. Someone must be allowed to have the last word. In this instance, it is fair to allow that party to be petitioner. Additionally, the reasons stated in the request for reply are exceedingly vague. Accordingly, the request is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: January <u>30</u>, 2015.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

20

25

26

28