

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW, LTD.,

No. C-09-0219 MMC

Plaintiff

v.

HECTOR ANTONIO ORELLANA, et al.,

Defendants

**ORDER DENYING IN PART AND  
REFERRING IN PART TO MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE LAPORTE STIPULATION TO  
CONTINUE SETTLEMENT  
CONFERENCE AND VARIOUS  
DEADLINES**

Before the Court is the parties' Stipulation to Continue Settlement Conference and Various Deadlines, filed February 8, 2010. Having read and considered the stipulation, the Court rules as follows:

1. To the extent the parties seek an order continuing the settlement conference from February 11, 2010 to March 4, 2010, the stipulation is hereby REFERRED to Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte.

2. To the extent the parties seek an order continuing the non-expert discovery deadline from January 31, 2010<sup>1</sup> to April 1, 2010, the stipulation is hereby DENIED for the

---

<sup>1</sup>Although the stipulation refers to the existing deadline as December 25, 2009, the deadline was extended, at the parties' request, to January 31, 2010. (See Order, filed December 9, 2009.)

1 reason that the parties have failed to show good cause exists for such an extension.<sup>2</sup>

2 3. To the extent the parties seek an order continuing the deadlines to designate  
3 experts, to complete expert discovery, and to file dispositive motions, the stipulation is  
4 hereby DENIED, for the reason that the parties fail to address the effect of those proposed  
5 extensions on the remaining pretrial dates, specifically, the May 25, 2010 Pretrial  
6 Conference and the June 7, 2010 trial date. For example, if the Court were to extend the  
7 deadline to file dispositive motions to May 10, 2010, as the parties request, the hearing on  
8 any such motions would be conducted, under the Local Rules of this District, on June 18,  
9 2010, which date is after the first date of the trial.

10 4. To the extent the parties seek an order continuing the Status Conference from  
11 March 5, 2010 to May 7, 2010, a date only eighteen days before the Pretrial Conference,  
12 the stipulation is hereby DENIED.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14  
15 Dated: February 10, 2010

16   
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>2</sup>The sole reason offered by the parties in support of the request is that the parties  
28 engaged in settlement discussions during the first week of February 2010. The parties fail  
to explain why discussions occurring after the deadline to complete non-expert discovery  
serve to excuse any prior failure to complete non-expert discovery.