

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NELSON RODRIGUEZ, MAYRA RODRIGUEZ          No      C 09-0305 WHA  
and ERICKA RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiffs,

v

DOWNEY SAVINGS & LOAN,

Defendant.

---

NELSON RODRIGUEZ, MAYRA RODRIGUEZ          No      C 09-0306 MMC  
and ERICKA RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiffs,

v

AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY,

Defendant.

---

NELSON RODRIGUEZ, MAYRA RODRIGUEZ  
and ERICKA RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiffs,

No      C 09-0307 JCS

ORDER

v

DOWNEY SAVINGS & LOAN,

Defendant.

---

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

NELSON RODRIGUEZ, MAYRA RODRIGUEZ  
and ERICKA RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiffs,

v

LEHMAN BROTHERS BANK, FSB and  
AURORA LOANS/US BANK NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION,

Defendants.

---

No C 09-0308 EMC  
ORDER

NELSON RODRIGUEZ and MAYRA  
RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiffs,

v

WACHOVIA,

Defendant.

---

No C 09-1192 BZ  
ORDER

NELSON RODRIGUEZ and MAYRA  
RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiffs,

v

WACHOVIA,

Defendant.

---

No C 09-1193 JL  
ORDER

ERICKA RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

v

DHI MORTGAGE COMPANY LPD, LP,

Defendant.

---

No C 09-1213 MHP  
ORDER

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The above-captioned cases involve various pieces of property claimed to be owned by Nelson, Mayra and Ericka Rodriguez. Cases C 09-0305 WHA, C 09-0306 MMC, C 09-0307 JCS and C 09-308 EMC raise substantially similar tort claims, and cases C 09-1192 BZ, C 09-1193 JL and C 09-1213 MHP raise substantially similar civil rights claims. In each case, the plaintiffs are: Nelson, Mayra and Ericka Rodriguez; Nelson and Mayra Rodriguez; or Ericka Rodriguez. The defendants are various financial institutions.

The cases appear related under Civ LR 3-12(a) as they involve substantially similar parties and events. Further, conducting the cases before different judges would likely cause an "unduly burdensome duplication of labor." Civ LR 3-12(a)(2). Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE in writing within ten days of the date of this order why the cases should not be related. If the parties do not respond to this order, the cases will be related.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



VAUGHN R WALKER  
United States District Chief Judge