Rodriguez et al v. Lehman Brothers Bank et al

Doc. 4

1				
2 3	NELSON RODRIGUEZ, MAYRA RODRIGUEZ and ERICKA RODRIGUEZ,	Z No		EMC
	Plaintiffs,		ORDER	
4	v			
5	LEHMAN BROTHERS BANK, FSB and			
6	AURORA LOANS/US BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,			
7	Defendants.			
8				
9	NELSON RODRIGUEZ and MAYRA	No	C 09-1192	ΒZ
10	RODRIGUEZ,		ORDER	
11	Plaintiffs,			
12	v			
13	WACHOVIA,			
14	Defendant.			
15	NELSON RODRIGUEZ and MAYRA RODRIGUEZ,	No	C 09-1193	JL
16	Plaintiffs,		ORDER	
17	v			
18				
19	WACHOVIA,			
20	Defendant.			
21	ERICKA RODRIGUEZ,			
22	Plaintiff,	No	C 09-1213	MHP
23	v		ORDER	
24	DHI MORTGAGE COMPANY LPD, LP,			
25				
26	Defendant.	/		
27				
28				

property claimed to be owned by Nelson, Mayra and Ericka Rodriguez. Cases C 09-0305 WHA, C 09-0306 MMC, C 09-0307 JCS and C 09-308 EMC raise substantially similar tort claims, and cases C 09-1192 BZ, C 09-1193 JL and C 09-1213 MHP raise substantially similar civil rights claims. In each case, the plaintiffs are: Nelson, Mayra and Ericka Rodriguez; Nelson and Mayra Rodriguez; or Ericka Rodriguez. The defendants are various financial institutions.

The cases appear related under Civ LR 3-12(a) as they

The above-captioned cases involve various pieces of

involve substantially similar parties and events. Further, conducting the cases before different judges would likely cause an "unduly burdensome duplication of labor." Civ LR 3-12(a)(2). Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE in writing within ten days of the date of this order why the cases should not be related. If the parties do not respond to this order, the cases will be related.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

VAUGHN R WALKER

Mulh

United States District Chief Judge