

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION,  
DAVID REGAN and ELISEO MEDINA, as trustees for  
SEIU UNITED HEALTHCARE WORKERS-WEST  
and fiduciaries of the SEIU UNITED HEALTHCARE  
WORKERS-WEST AND JOINT EMPLOYER  
EDUCATION FUND, SEIU UNITED HEALTHCARE  
WORKERS-WEST, an unincorporated association and  
fiduciary of the SEIU UNITED HEALTHCARE  
WORKERS-WEST AND JOINT EMPLOYER  
EDUCATION FUND, and REBECCA COLLINS, as a  
participant in the SEIU UNITED HEALTHCARE  
WORKERS-WEST AND JOINT EMPLOYER  
EDUCATION FUND,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SAL ROSELLI, JORGE RODRIGUEZ, JOAN  
EMSLIE, JOHN BORSOS, JOHN VELLARDITA,  
GABE KRISTAL, PAUL KUMAR, MARTHA  
FIGUEROA, BARBARA LEWIS, PHYLLIS  
WILLETT, DANIEL MARTIN, LAURA KURRE,  
RALPH CORNEJO, WILL CLAYTON, GLENN  
GOLDSTEIN, FRED SEAVEY, MARK KIPFER,  
AARON BRICKMAN, IAN SELDEN, GAIL  
BUHLER, FREJA NELSON, ANDREW REID,  
NATIONAL UNION OF HEALTHCARE WORKERS;  
MARYRUTH GROSS, CONNIE WILSON, ARLENE  
PEASNALL, CHERIE KUNOLD, FAYE LINCOLN,  
and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

---

No. C 09-00404 WHA

**ORDER RE REQUEST  
FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
MOTION TO MODIFY  
PRELIMINARY  
INUNCTION**


Defendants filed a request for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the preliminary injunction. Defendants argue that the requirement that they submit declarations regarding the missing files is moot given that defendants have now submitted declarations, and

1 they seek clarification of two factual statements in the preliminary injunction, among other  
2 matters. An order dated August 17, 2009, invited plaintiffs to respond, and plaintiffs' response  
3 has now been received.

4 This order accepts that Carrie Cianchetti did not work for UHW *prior* to the trusteeship,  
5 and that the *main* Kaiser office is in Oakland, not Sacramento. Neither clarification, however,  
6 necessitates further modification of the preliminary injunction. The preliminary injunction  
7 focused on Ms. Cianchetti's actions after the trusteeship, not before. Moreover, the preliminary  
8 injunction addressed whether files were missing from the Sacramento office and the fact that  
9 the Oakland office was the main office is immaterial to the findings therein. Defendants'  
10 request for leave to file is otherwise **DENIED**.

11  
12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13  
14 Dated: August 31, 2009.



---

15 WILLIAM ALSUP  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28