

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
11 INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al.,

No. C 09-00404 WHA

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 SAL ROSSELLI, et al.,

**ORDER REGARDING DEPOSITION  
OF PHYLLIS WILLETT**


15 Defendants.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 Weeks ago, defendant Phyllis Willett was ordered to appear for deposition concerning  
18 NUHW's assets, both sides agreeing that she would be the most knowledgeable about that  
19 subject. At the request of Attorney Dan Siegel (representing NUHW and the witness), the date of  
20 the deposition was postponed until today, October 7, at 9:00 a.m. Yesterday at 5:19 p.m.,  
21 Attorney Dan Siegel sent an e-mail to counsel for plaintiffs stating, "We will not be appearing for  
22 the deposition tomorrow. Instead we will post the bond, which will automatically stay execution  
23 and make your discovery moot." Overnight, plaintiffs then made an application to the Court to  
24 compel the deposition, counsel having already traveled to San Francisco from Washington, D.C.  
25 to take the deposition. It is now 8:20 a.m. on October 7. If a proper bond is posted in the Clerk's  
26 office by 11:00 a.m. today then Ms. Willett need not appear for the deposition. Otherwise, she is  
27  
28

ordered to appear for the deposition at plaintiffs' office by 11:00 a.m. today and stay until at least 7:00 p.m., if requested by plaintiffs' counsel in order to make up for the lost time.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 7, 2010.



---

**WILLIAM ALSUP**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**