

EXHIBIT 19
TO DECLARATION OF O'CONNOR

Don Colleluori

From: Geoffrey Harper [harper@fr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2008 6:18 PM
To: Don Colleluori
Subject: Re: Nelson v. Mavericks (No. 1310016794)

Actually, I think it was neither. He did not want a concession. But in the process of running all of the numbers that we got from you, I think he discovered that the team was better off paying don postjudgment interest after 2 years of appeals than paying him in full now and having to pay the price of capital. That led to a discussion with me last night about whether don would take a haircut to true up the numbers (i.e. Make it at least neutral for the team). I told them what we had discussed (i.e. Don is more interested in the principal of the judgment than cash now and he would rather wait and get it all). So I was asked to get the bond number and, proving that the rich get richer, it appears that banks do bonds for free when you have 1 billion in the bank. That being said, they still need a number.

Anyway, sorry for the length of the email, but I do want you to know that I was not yanking you around on Friday. Mark was ready to pay until the CFO showed him how that was not financially smart. No concessions have been – or will be – requested (at least from me). I think don has made his position very clear and, quite frankly, I respect that. No need to waste time on that trail.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Don Colleluori
To: Geoffrey Harper
Sent: Tue Sep 09 17:40:39 2008
Subject: RE: Nelson v. Mavericks (No. 1310016794)

I understand that your client has the resources to do what he wants on this issue. I was just pointing out the abrupt change in your orders from how you were told to pitch it to me last week. "It's not a negotiation, we just want to make sure we've got the right number and then Mark's ready to cut a check. ... Oh, never mind, I was trying to negotiate some concession after all."

From: Geoffrey Harper [mailto:harper@fr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2008 5:06 PM
To: Don Colleluori
Subject: Re: Nelson v. Mavericks (No. 1310016794)

I just follow orders . . .

Based on the last comments from on high, I think he was prepared to negotiate a quick payment. However, I told him that, based on everything I have seen and heard from y'all, don was not even remotely interested in negotiating anything and would not compromise a penny. Thus, since the rate for postjudgment interest is much less than the cost of capital, I think the team is making a business decision. (I don't think anyone expects this to end differently than the current judgment; but apparently it is cheaper to use the money and pay later? I am not sure I understand it to be candid.)

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Don Colleluori
To: Geoffrey Harper
Sent: Tue Sep 09 16:46:18 2008

Subject: RE: Nelson v. Mavericks (No. 1310016794)

Does this also fall in the category of you're not trying to negotiate a concession?

From: Geoffrey Harper [mailto:harper@fr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 09, 2008 4:44 PM
To: Don Colleluori
Subject: Re: Nelson v. Mavericks (No. 1310016794)

Can you have someone there do the math re what bond you want posted during the appeal of this? I assume we will agree on it, but might as well let you decide what you think will protect you for the next year or so

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Don Colleluori
To: Moyer Megan
Cc: Geoffrey Harper; Thomas Melsheimer; John O'Connor ; Mark T. Davenport ; Ryan McComber
Sent: Tue Sep 09 16:11:09 2008
Subject: Nelson v. Mavericks (No. 1310016794)

Megan, pursuant to Judge Ashworth's request, the following is the damages calculation, utilizing the same methodology as the interim award, updated to September 10, 2008.

Past due deferred compensation through 9/10/08	\$2,041,667
Prejudgment Interest (\$279.68 per diem) through 9/10/08	164,172.40
Present Value of Future Payments	4,100,346.45
Total Due on 9/10/08:	\$6,306,185.85

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Don Colleluori

Don Colleluori

From: Geoffrey Harper [harper@fr.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 08, 2008 4:28 PM
To: Mark T. Davenport; Andrew R. Graben; Don Colleluori
Subject: Re: Mavericks/Nelson: Hearing Continuance

Ok. I will come back from the indian princess campout early and get something together. Of course, mark, this is not bringing closure, this is the start of the lovely appeal process. Closure will come, don't get me wrong, in about 18 months or so I predict. And I am sure don will get his money then (with interest).

Just stinks for me and the kids this weekend. But que sera. (Thank goodness I checked my bb while the firs were napping, eh?)

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Mark T. Davenport
To: Andrew R. Graben; Don Colleluori
Cc: Geoffrey Harper
Sent: Fri Nov 07 16:28:41 2008
Subject: RE: Mavericks/Nelson: Hearing Continuance

No we will not. There is no good faith basis for the Mavericks' refusal to pay this award, and it's time to bring closure to the case.

Mark T. Davenport
Figari & Davenport, LLP
901 Main Street
3400 Bank of America Plaza
Dallas, Texas 75202
Tel: 214/939-2002
Fax: 214/939-2090
www.figaridavenport.com

From: Andrew R. Graben [mailto:Graben@fr.com]
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2008 4:19 PM
To: Mark T. Davenport; Don Colleluori
Cc: Geoffrey Harper
Subject: Mavericks/Nelson: Hearing Continuance

Mark & Don,

I write regarding the hearing in the Mavericks/Don Nelson matter set for Monday, November 10th. Geoff has been in trial for the past three weeks and just finished this afternoon. Consequently, he is only now able to turn his attention to Application to Confirm Arbitration Award and the hearing.

In light of this, will you agree to a continuance of Monday's hearing? We will be willing to reset the hearing as

soon as possible, but Geoff needs a bit more time to get up to speed on this issue.

Thanks,
Andrew

Andrew R. Graben

~ Fish & Richardson P.C.
1717 Main Street
Suite 5000
Dallas, TX 75201
Direct Dial: (214)760-6106
Fax: (214) 747-2091
Email: graben@fr.com

www.fr.com

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. (FR08-i203d)
