

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

625 3RD STREET ASSOCIATES, L.P., a California
limited partnership,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. C 09-00564 WHA
No. C 09-03820 WHA

BOARD OF THE NATIONAL CREDIT UNION
ADMINISTRATION, in its capacity as liquidating
agent for the Kaiperm Federal Credit Union,
KAIPERM FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, a federal
credit union, and STANLEY ABRAMS, an individual,

Defendants.

_____ /

PROPOSED VERDICT FORM
FOR CHARGING CONFERENCE
AT CLOSE OF EVIDENCE ON TUESDAY, OCTOBER 5, 2010
PROVIDED TO COUNSEL ON OCTOBER 4, 2010, AT 11:45 A.M.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

1. Has plaintiff 625 3rd Street proven that either of the following defendants committed fraud in connection with the sale-and-leaseback transaction?

	Yes	No
Kaiperm	_____	_____
Stanley Abrams	_____	_____

2. If you have answered “yes” to Question 1, state the amount of damages proven by plaintiff, if any, by reason of any proven fraud.

As to Kaiperm \$ _____ As to Stanley Abrams \$ _____

3. Has plaintiff 625 3rd Street proven that defendant Kaiperm breached any warranties in the contract?

Yes _____ No _____

4. If you have answered “yes” to Question 3, state the amount of damages proven by plaintiff, if any, by reason of breach of warranty. \$ _____

5. If you have awarded damages under both Questions 3 and 4, then state the amount of damages proven by plaintiff, eliminating any double-counting of damage items. (This applies only to Kaiperm.)

As to Kaiperm \$ _____

CONCLUSION

ONCE YOU HAVE ANSWERED ALL YOU NEED TO ANSWER, THE FOREPERSON SHOULD SIGN AND DATE THIS FORM. THEN SEND OUT A NOTE THAT YOU HAVE REACHED A VERDICT.

Dated: October __, 2010.

Foreperson